

FEDERAL REGISTER

THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
OF THE UNITED STATES
1934

VOLUME 27 NUMBER 112

Washington, Saturday, June 9, 1962

Contents

THE PRESIDENT

Executive Order

Board of Inquiry to report on a labor dispute affecting the aircraft industry of the United States; creation..... 5467

EXECUTIVE AGENCIES

Agricultural Marketing Service

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Fruit grown in Arizona and California; handling limitations:
Lemons..... 5490
Valencia oranges..... 5489
Fruit grown in Florida; shipments limitations:
Grapefruit..... 5488
Oranges..... 5489

Agricultural Research Service

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Scabies in sheep; interstate movement..... 5491
Tuberculosis in cattle; restrictions on interstate movement..... 5491

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service

PROPOSED RULE MAKING:
Milk in Colorado Springs-Pueblo and Eastern Colorado marketing areas; hearing..... 5508
RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Feed grain program, 1962; county average yields and county payment rates for corn and grain sorghums..... 5469

Agriculture Department

See also Agricultural Marketing Service; Agricultural Research Service; Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service; Commodity Credit Corporation.

NOTICES:
Fort Leonard Wood Military Reservation, Missouri; order interchanging administrative jurisdiction of military and national forest lands..... 5515

Army Department

See Engineers Corps.

Atomic Energy Commission

NOTICES:
Babcock and Wilcox Co.; notice of issuance of amendment to utilization facility license..... 5519
Martin-Marietta Corp.; notice of proposed issuance of byproduct material license..... 5518
RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Licensing of production and utilization facilities; technical specifications..... 5491

Civil Aeronautics Board

NOTICES:
North Central Airlines, Inc.; "use it or lose it" investigation of transborder route 86F..... 5519
RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Construction, publication, filing and posting of tariffs of air carriers and foreign air carriers; postponement of effective date of amendment..... 5494

Civil Service Commission

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Exceptions from competitive service:
Executive Office of the President..... 5490
Justice Department..... 5490

Commerce Department

See also Great Lakes Pilotage Administration.
NOTICES:
Weather Bureau; field organization..... 5516

Commodity Credit Corporation

NOTICES:
Sales of certain commodities; June 1962 monthly sales list..... 5511

Defense Department

See Engineers Corps.

Engineers Corps

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Bridge regulations; Gasparilla Sound, Florida..... 5503

Federal Aviation Agency

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Control area extension; alteration..... 5495
Control zones; alterations (3 documents)..... 5495
Jet advisory area; designation..... 5497
Jet routes and jet advisory areas; alterations; (2 documents)..... 5496
Reporting points; alteration..... 5496

Federal Maritime Commission

NOTICES:
Agreements filed for approval:
Carolina Shipping Co. and Universal Transport Corp..... 5520
Hanson, T. J., et al.; correction..... 5520
Stone Forwarding Co., Inc., and A. V. Berner and Co., Inc..... 5521
Federal and Atlantic Lakes Lines; notice of filing of exclusive patronage (dual rate) contract..... 5521
Organization and functions; specific authorities delegated to Special Permission Committee..... 5520
RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Shipping Act, 1916; further interpretation..... 5504

Federal Power Commission

NOTICES:
Hearings, etc.:
Department of Water Resources of California..... 5521
Placid Oil Co. et al..... 5522
Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp..... 5522

Federal Reserve System

NOTICES:
Marine Corp.; order approving application..... 5511

(Continued on next page)

Federal Trade Commission**NOTICES:**

Kosher food products and kosher products industry; trade practice conference..... 5522

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Prohibited trade practices:
Harry's Linoleum Co. et al..... 5497
Helbros Watch Co., Inc., et al... 5498

Fish and Wildlife Service**RULES AND REGULATIONS:**

Migratory birds; miscellaneous amendments..... 5505
Processed fishery products, processed products thereof, and certain other processed food products; inspection and certification; approved identification..... 5506

Food and Drug Administration**PROPOSED RULE MAKING:**

Food additives:
Filing of petitions (3 documents)..... 5510
Order denying proposed regulation..... 5510

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Antibiotic drugs in tablet form; disintegration; time requirements..... 5499

Drugs; certification of penicillin and penicillin-containing drugs; miscellaneous amendments.... 5498
Fish flour; identity; postponement of public hearing..... 5498

Great Lakes Pilotage Administration**PROPOSED RULE MAKING:**

Rates, charges, and conditions for pilotage services..... 5509

Health, Education, and Welfare Department

See Food and Drug Administration; Public Health Service.

Indian Affairs Bureau**NOTICES:**

Flathead Indian Reservation; transfer of land records to Billings Area Office..... 5516
Swinomish Indian Reservation; transfer of land records to Portland Area Office..... 5516

Interior Department

See Fish and Wildlife Service; Indian Affairs Bureau; Land Management Bureau.

Interstate Commerce Commission**NOTICES:**

Fourth section applications for relief (2 documents)..... 5523, 5524

Land Management Bureau**NOTICES:**

Florida; notice of filing of plat of survey..... 5516

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Nevada; public land order; revoking Executive Order No. 7435 of August 19, 1936..... 5504

Public Health Service**RULES AND REGULATIONS:**

Grants for survey, planning and construction of hospitals and medical facilities; distribution of beds for acute and long-term illness..... 5503

Small Business Administration**NOTICES:**

Disaster areas; declarations:
Connecticut..... 5522
Kansas..... 5523
Oklahoma..... 5523
Texas..... 5523

Codification Guide

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

Monthly, quarterly, and annual cumulative guides, published separately from the daily issues, include the section numbers as well as the part numbers affected.

3 CFR

PROCLAMATIONS:
2416 (see PLO 2690)..... 5504
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:
7435 (revoked by PLO 2690)..... 5504
11025..... 5467

5 CFR

6 (2 documents)..... 5490

7 CFR

775..... 5469
905 (2 documents)..... 5488, 5489
908..... 5489
910..... 5490

PROPOSED RULES:

1135..... 5508
1137..... 5508

9 CFR

74..... 5491
77..... 5491

10 CFR

50..... 5491

14 CFR

221..... 5494
601 (5 documents)..... 5495, 5496
602 (3 documents)..... 5496, 5497

16 CFR

13 (2 documents)..... 5497, 5498

21 CFR

37..... 5498
141a..... 5499
141b..... 5499
141c..... 5499
141d..... 5499
141e..... 5499
146a (2 documents)..... 5498, 5499
146b..... 5499
146c..... 5499
146d..... 5499
146e..... 5499

PROPOSED RULES:

121 (4 documents)..... 5510

33 CFR

203..... 5503

42 CFR

53..... 5503

43 CFR

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:
2690..... 5504

46 CFR

530..... 5504

PROPOSED RULES:

401..... 5509

50 CFR

10..... 5505
260..... 5506

Latest Revision**GUIDE TO
RECORD RETENTION
REQUIREMENTS**

[Updated to January 1, 1962]

Lists (1) published requirements (in laws and regulations) on the keeping of non-Federal records, (2) what records must be kept and who must keep them, and (3) retention periods.

Price: 15 cents

Compiled by Office of the Federal Register,
National Archives and Records Service,
General Services Administration
Order from Superintendent of Documents,
Government Printing Office, Washington
25, D.C.

Presidential Documents

Title 3—THE PRESIDENT

Executive Order 11025

CREATING A BOARD OF INQUIRY TO REPORT ON A LABOR DISPUTE AFFECTING THE AIRCRAFT INDUSTRY OF THE UNITED STATES

WHEREAS, there exists a labor dispute between Republic Aviation Corporation, Farmingdale, Long Island, New York, and certain of its employees represented by Republic Lodge 1987, International Association of Machinists, AFL-CIO; and

WHEREAS, such dispute has resulted in a strike which, in my opinion, affects a substantial part of the aircraft industry, an industry engaged in trade, commerce, and transportation among the several States and with foreign nations, and which strike will, if permitted to continue, imperil the national health and safety:

NOW THEREFORE, by virtue of the authority vested in me by Section 206 of the Labor Management Relations Act, 1947, 61 Stat. 155 (29 U.S.C. 176), I hereby create a Board of Inquiry, consisting of Honorable Lloyd K. Garrison, Chairman, Honorable James C. Hill, and Honorable Arthur Stark as members to inquire into the issues involved in such dispute.

The Board shall have powers and duties as set forth in Title II of such Act. The Board shall report to the President in accordance with the provisions of Section 206 of such Act on or before June 14, 1962.

Upon submission of its report, the Board shall continue in existence to perform such other functions as may be required under such Act.

JOHN F. KENNEDY

THE WHITE HOUSE,
June 7, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5742; Filed, June 8, 1962; 10:08 a.m.]

Presidential Documents

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20503

RECEIVED BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

ON THE 10TH DAY OF JANUARY 1964

AT THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON, D. C.

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

JOHN F. KENNEDY

BY THE VICE PRESIDENT

LYNCH B. BEAVER

SECRETARY OF THE WHITE HOUSE

JOHN W. MCCARTHY

BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

ROBERT F. KENNEDY

BY THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

ROBERT F. KENNEDY

BY THE SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

ROBERT F. KENNEDY

BY THE SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

ROBERT F. KENNEDY

BY THE SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

ROBERT F. KENNEDY

BY THE SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

ROBERT F. KENNEDY

BY THE SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

ROBERT F. KENNEDY

BY THE SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

ROBERT F. KENNEDY

BY THE SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

ROBERT F. KENNEDY

Rules and Regulations

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter VII—Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Agricultural Adjustment), Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER D—SPECIAL FEED GRAIN PROGRAM

[1962 Feed Grain Program, Supp. 1, Amdt. 2]

PART 775—FEED GRAINS

Subpart—1962 Feed Grain Program Regulations

Corn and Grain Sorghums

The 1962 Feed Grain Program Regulations, Supplement 1, as amended (27 F.R. 155, January 6, 1962, and 27 F.R. 2307, March 10, 1962), are further amended by adding two new sections (§§ 775.153 and 775.154) to provide county average yields and county payment rates for corn and grain sorghums. Such sections shall read as follows:

§ 775.153 County average yields and county payment rates for corn.

County average yields and county minimum acre payment rates (50 percent payment rate per acre) and additional acre payment rates (60 percent payment rate per acre) for corn are as follows:

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Corn

ALABAMA				
District	County	1959-60 adjusted average yield	50 percent payment rate per acre	60 percent payment rate per acre
1	Colbert	Bushels 26.0	\$16.50	\$19.80
	Fayette	25.0	15.90	19.00
	Franklin	24.8	15.70	18.90
	Lamar	22.8	14.50	17.40
	Marion	24.2	15.40	18.40
2	Lauderdale	25.4	16.10	19.30
	Lawrence	26.8	17.00	20.40
	Limestone	31.7	20.10	24.10
	Madison	32.7	20.80	24.90
	Marshall	29.8	18.90	22.70
2A	Morgan	26.2	16.60	19.90
	Bibb	25.5	16.30	19.40
	Blount	27.4	17.40	20.80
	Chilton	27.0	17.10	20.60
	Cullman	29.5	18.80	22.50
3	Jefferson	29.2	18.50	22.20
	Saint Clair	26.2	16.60	19.90
	Shelby	24.8	15.70	18.90
	Walker	25.8	16.40	19.70
	Winston	26.5	16.80	20.20
4	Calhoun	26.9	17.00	20.40
	Cherokee	25.2	16.00	19.20
	Cleburne	25.3	16.00	19.30
	De Kalb	30.3	19.30	23.10
	Etowah	28.2	17.90	21.50
5	Jackson	30.0	19.00	22.90
	Greene	22.0	14.00	16.80
	Hale	21.6	13.70	16.50
	Marion	21.2	13.50	16.10
	Pickens	22.2	14.10	16.90
6	Sumter	20.2	12.80	15.40
	Tuscaloosa	23.0	14.60	17.50
	Antauga	26.4	16.80	20.10
	Dallas	26.0	16.50	19.80
	Elmore	26.2	16.60	19.90
7	Lowndes	25.0	15.90	19.00

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Corn—Continued

ALABAMA—continued				
District	County	1959-60 adjusted average yield	50 percent payment rate per acre	60 percent payment rate per acre
5	Montgomery	Bushels 23.4	\$14.90	\$17.80
	Perry	25.4	16.10	19.30
	Wilcox	24.8	15.70	18.90
	Chambers	22.0	14.00	16.80
	Clay	23.2	14.70	17.70
6	Coosa	24.8	15.70	18.90
	Lee	23.9	15.20	18.20
	Macon	22.1	14.00	16.90
	Randolph	23.3	14.70	17.80
	Russell	23.4	14.90	17.80
7	Talladega	23.3	14.70	17.80
	Tallapoosa	20.5	13.00	15.60
	Baldwin	35.8	22.70	27.30
	Choctaw	23.8	15.10	18.20
	Clarke	24.2	15.40	18.40
8	Mobile	31.1	19.80	23.70
	Washington	25.7	16.30	19.60
	Butler	27.6	17.50	21.10
	Conecuh	26.2	16.60	19.90
	Covington	25.1	16.00	19.20
9	Crenshaw	25.8	16.40	19.70
	Escambia	29.0	19.00	22.70
	Monroe	28.2	17.90	21.50
	Barbour	24.2	15.40	18.40
	Bullock	22.8	14.50	17.40
10	Coffee	24.0	15.20	18.30
	Dale	24.0	15.20	18.30
	Geneva	25.2	16.00	19.20
	Henry	25.8	16.40	19.70
	Houston	26.7	17.00	20.30
11	Pike	24.0	15.20	18.30

ARIZONA				
District	County	1959-60 adjusted average yield	50 percent payment rate per acre	60 percent payment rate per acre
2	Apache	Bushels 11.0	\$7.40	\$8.80
	Cocino	11.1	7.50	9.00
	Mohave	20.0	13.40	16.10
	Navajo	15.2	10.20	12.20
	Yavapai	26.8	17.30	20.80
5	Maricopa	57.5	38.60	46.20
	Pinal	51.0	34.20	41.00
	Yuma	50.0	33.50	40.20
	Cochise	50.9	34.00	40.90
	Gila	45.0	30.20	36.20
9	Graham	45.0	30.20	36.20
	Greenlee	42.5	28.40	34.20
	Pima	50.0	33.50	40.20
	Santa Cruz	45.0	30.20	36.20

ARKANSAS				
District	County	1959-60 adjusted average yield	50 percent payment rate per acre	60 percent payment rate per acre
1	Benton	Bushels 39.8	\$24.90	\$29.90
	Boone	40.2	25.10	30.10
	Carroll	44.0	27.90	33.50
	Madison	40.1	25.00	30.10
	Newton	33.6	21.00	25.20
2	Washington	41.4	25.90	31.00
	Baxter	33.8	21.10	25.40
	Cleburne	27.2	17.00	20.40
	Fulton	35.8	22.40	26.90
	Izard	29.5	18.50	22.10
3	Marion	39.3	24.50	29.50
	Searcy	30.6	19.10	23.00
	Sharp	31.4	19.60	23.50
	Stone	33.3	20.80	25.00
	Van Buren	25.9	16.20	19.40
4	Clay	32.8	20.50	24.60
	Craighead	30.8	19.20	23.10
	Greene	28.4	17.80	21.20
	Independence	41.5	26.00	31.10
	Jackson	38.8	24.20	29.10
5	Lawrence	36.2	22.60	27.10
	Mississippi	44.6	27.90	33.50
	Poinsett	35.7	22.20	26.80
	Randolph	35.4	22.10	26.50
	White	29.8	18.60	22.40
6	Crawford	32.1	20.00	24.10
	Franklin	33.0	20.60	24.80
	Johnson	32.6	20.40	24.50
	Logan	35.7	22.20	26.80
	Polk	28.5	17.80	21.40
7	Pope	29.2	18.20	21.50

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Corn—Continued

ARKANSAS—continued				
District	County	1959-60 adjusted average yield	50 percent payment rate per acre	60 percent payment rate per acre
4	Scott	Bushels 25.9	\$16.20	\$19.40
	Sebastian	28.0	17.50	21.00
	Yell	31.1	19.50	23.40
	Conway	26.0	16.20	19.50
	Faulkner	24.4	15.20	18.20
5	Garland	26.6	16.60	20.00
	Grant	32.5	20.20	24.40
	Hot Spring	30.0	18.80	22.50
	Perry	28.2	17.60	21.10
	Pulaski	41.0	25.60	30.80
6	Saline	33.9	21.20	25.40
	Arkansas	22.4	14.00	16.80
	Crittenden	33.2	20.80	24.90
	Cross	27.7	17.20	20.80
	Lee	27.6	17.20	20.80
7	Lonoke	28.0	17.50	21.00
	Monroe	27.3	17.00	20.50
	Phillips	28.1	17.50	21.10
	Prairie	26.7	16.80	20.00
	Saint Francis	31.5	19.80	23.60
8	Woodruff	34.8	21.80	26.10
	Hempstead	24.5	15.20	18.40
	Howard	27.4	17.10	20.50
	Lafayette	29.8	18.60	22.40
	Little River	30.7	19.20	23.00
9	Miller	27.0	16.90	20.20
	Montgomery	27.4	17.10	20.50
	Pike	29.6	18.50	22.20
	Sevier	27.2	17.00	20.40
	Bradley	22.0	13.80	16.50
10	Calhoun	25.8	16.10	19.40
	Clark	26.6	16.60	20.00
	Cleveland	26.8	16.80	20.10
	Columbia	20.6	12.90	15.50
	Dallas	26.4	16.50	19.80
11	Nevada	28.0	14.40	17.20
	Ouachita	19.8	12.40	14.90
	Union	15.9	10.00	11.90
	Ashley	31.3	19.50	23.50
	Chicot	27.2	17.00	20.40
12	Desha	32.4	20.20	24.20
	Drew	24.5	15.20	18.40
	Jefferson	30.4	19.00	22.80
	Lincoln	32.6	20.40	24.50

CALIFORNIA				
District	County	1959-60 adjusted average yield	50 percent payment rate per acre	60 percent payment rate per acre
1	Mendocino	Bushels 45.0	\$30.20	\$36.20
	Shasta	39.0	26.10	31.40
	Lassen	35.0	23.40	28.10
	Alameda	50.0	33.50	40.20
	Contra Costa	68.5	45.80	55.10
2	Lake	69.0	46.20	55.50
	Monterey	72.0	48.20	57.90
	Napa	64.0	42.90	51.50
	San Benito	60.0	40.20	48.20
	San Luis Obispo	65.0	43.60	52.30
3	Santa Clara	73.0	48.90	58.70
	Sonoma	69.5	46.60	55.90
	Butte	71.0	47.60	57.10
	Colusa	56.0	37.50	45.00
	Glenn	56.5	37.80	45.40
4	Sacramento	81.0	54.30	65.10
	Solano	87.5	58.70	70.40
	Sutter	73.0	48.90	58.70
	Tehama	76.0	50.90	61.10
	Yolo	86.5	57.90	69.50
5	Yuba	45.5	30.60	36.60
	Fresno	64.0	42.90	51.50
	Kern	63.5	42.60	51.10
	Kings	56.5	37.80	45.40
	Madera	63.5	42.60	51.10
6	Merced	65.5	44.00	52.70
	San Joaquin	72.5	48.50	58.30
	Stanislaus	57.5	38.60	46.20
	Tulare	66.5	44.50	53.50
	Amador	51.0	34.20	41.00
7	Inyo	40.0	26.80	32.20
	Placer	65.0	43.60	52.30
	Imperial	68.5	45.80	55.10
	Los Angeles	75.5	50.70	60.70
	Orange	37.0	24.80	29.70
8	Riverside	60.0	40.20	48.20
	San Bernardino	66.0	44.20	53.10

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued

CALIFORNIA—continued				
District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
8-----	San Diego.....	<i>Bushels</i> 58.0	\$38.90	\$46.60
	Santa Barbara.....	80.0	53.60	64.30
	Ventura.....	75.0	50.20	60.30

COLORADO

2-----	Boulder.....	<i>Bushels</i> 73.0	\$45.30	\$54.30
	Jefferson.....	40.0	25.00	30.00
	Larimer.....	74.0	45.50	54.60
	Logan.....	58.4	35.60	42.70
6-----	Morgan.....	75.5	46.10	55.30
	Sedgwick.....	57.5	35.10	42.10
	Weld.....	73.0	44.50	53.40
	Adams.....	66.0	40.60	48.70
7-----	Arapahoe.....	34.0	21.10	25.30
	Cheyenne.....	21.4	13.20	15.70
	Douglas.....	25.0	15.60	18.80
	Elbert.....	15.4	9.50	11.40
8-----	El Paso.....	18.0	11.20	13.50
	Kiowa.....	32.5	20.10	24.20
	Kit Carson.....	48.4	29.50	35.40
	Lincoln.....	21.6	13.40	16.10
9-----	Phillips.....	24.8	15.10	18.20
	Washington.....	33.0	20.10	24.20
	Yuma.....	26.7	16.30	19.50
	Archuleta.....	32.0	20.60	24.80
10-----	Delta.....	66.5	43.50	52.30
	Dolores.....	16.5	10.90	13.20
	Garfield.....	40.5	26.50	31.80
	La Plata.....	35.4	23.20	27.80
11-----	Mesa.....	65.5	43.00	51.50
	Montezuma.....	42.6	28.30	34.00
	Montrose.....	64.5	42.20	50.70
	Ouray.....	59.5	39.60	47.50
12-----	San Miguel.....	39.5	26.30	31.50
	Alamosa.....	50.0	31.50	37.80
	Conejos.....	49.0	31.10	37.30
	Costilla.....	48.0	30.00	36.00
13-----	Rio Grande.....	51.0	32.90	39.50
	Saguache.....	49.0	31.10	37.30
	Baca.....	31.0	19.40	23.20
	Bent.....	54.0	33.80	40.50
14-----	Crowley.....	53.5	33.50	40.10
	Custer.....	25.0	15.60	18.80
	Fremont.....	42.5	26.50	31.90
	Huerfano.....	37.5	23.50	28.10
15-----	Las Animas.....	31.2	19.50	23.40
	Otero.....	57.5	36.00	43.10
	Prowers.....	56.8	35.20	42.30
	Pueblo.....	57.0	35.60	42.80

CONNECTICUT

2-----	Fairfield.....	<i>Bushels</i> 60.0	\$40.80	\$49.00
	Hartford.....	67.0	45.60	54.70
	Litchfield.....	69.5	47.30	56.70
	Middlesex.....	67.5	46.00	55.10
3-----	New Haven.....	62.5	42.40	51.00
	New London.....	59.0	40.10	48.10
	Tolland.....	66.5	45.20	54.30
	Windham.....	66.0	44.90	53.90

DELAWARE

2-----	New Castle.....	<i>Bushels</i> 65.0	\$42.60	\$51.10
	Kent.....	60.0	39.30	47.20
	Sussex.....	57.4	37.60	45.10

FLORIDA

1-----	Bay.....	<i>Bushels</i> 27.0	\$17.10	\$20.60
	Calhoun.....	29.0	18.40	22.10
	Escambia.....	32.4	20.60	24.60
	Gadsden.....	35.2	22.40	26.80
2-----	Gulf.....	28.0	17.80	21.30
	Holmes.....	25.0	15.90	19.00
	Jackson.....	30.1	19.00	23.00
	Jefferson.....	29.4	18.70	22.40
3-----	Leon.....	28.4	18.00	21.60
	Liberty.....	23.1	14.70	17.70
	Okaloosa.....	27.0	17.10	20.60
	Santa Rosa.....	33.2	21.10	25.30
4-----	Wakulla.....	21.7	13.70	16.50
	Walton.....	27.0	17.10	20.60
	Washington.....	27.0	17.10	20.60

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued

FLORIDA—continued				
District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
3-----	Baker.....	<i>Bushels</i> 20.0	\$12.70	\$15.20
	Columbia.....	27.0	17.10	20.60
	Dixie.....	26.5	16.80	20.20
	Duval.....	42.5	26.90	32.40
4-----	Hamilton.....	30.4	19.30	23.10
	Lafayette.....	26.0	16.50	19.80
	Madison.....	29.0	18.40	22.10
	Nassau.....	26.5	16.80	20.20
5-----	Suwannee.....	27.0	17.10	20.60
	Taylor.....	29.0	18.40	22.10
	Alachua.....	30.7	19.60	23.40
	Bradford.....	25.0	15.90	19.00
6-----	Citrus.....	22.0	14.00	16.80
	Flagler.....	30.0	19.00	22.90
	Clay.....	34.0	21.60	25.90
	Gilchrist.....	27.2	17.30	20.70
7-----	Hernando.....	27.5	17.50	21.00
	Hillsborough.....	28.5	18.00	21.70
	Lake.....	31.5	20.10	24.00
	Levy.....	29.0	18.40	22.10
8-----	Marion.....	29.0	18.40	22.10
	Orange.....	42.5	26.90	32.40
	Osceola.....	37.5	23.90	28.60
	Pasco.....	32.5	20.60	24.80
9-----	Polk.....	30.2	19.20	23.00
	Putnam.....	37.1	23.60	28.30
	St. Johns.....	36.5	23.10	27.80
	Seminole.....	40.0	25.40	30.50
10-----	Sumter.....	30.0	19.00	22.90
	Union.....	27.0	17.10	20.60
	Volusia.....	38.0	24.10	29.00
	Dade.....	42.5	26.90	32.40
11-----	De Soto.....	25.0	15.90	19.00
	Glades.....	27.0	17.10	20.60
	Hardee.....	23.0	14.60	17.50
	Hendry.....	50.0	31.80	38.10
12-----	Highlands.....	40.0	25.40	30.50
	Lee.....	27.0	17.10	20.60
	Manatee.....	28.5	18.00	21.70
	Okeechobee.....	40.0	25.40	30.50
13-----	Palm Beach.....	50.0	31.80	38.10

GEORGIA

1-----	Bartow.....	<i>Bushels</i> 25.5	\$16.30	\$19.40
	Catoosa.....	37.6	23.90	28.70
	Chattooga.....	25.6	16.30	19.60
	Dade.....	31.6	20.10	24.00
2-----	Floyd.....	29.8	18.90	22.70
	Gordon.....	26.3	16.80	20.10
	Murray.....	29.4	18.70	22.40
	Paulding.....	21.3	13.50	16.30
3-----	Polk.....	25.9	16.50	19.70
	Walker.....	30.6	19.40	23.40
	Whitfield.....	28.2	17.90	21.50
	Barrow.....	19.7	12.40	15.00
4-----	Cherokee.....	29.4	18.70	22.40
	Clarke.....	26.1	16.50	19.90
	Cobb.....	21.5	13.70	16.40
	Dawson.....	31.2	19.80	23.70
5-----	De Kalb.....	24.2	15.40	18.40
	Fannin.....	41.0	26.00	31.20
	Forsyth.....	27.2	17.30	20.70
	Fulton.....	23.6	15.00	18.00
6-----	Gilmer.....	39.0	24.80	29.70
	Gwinnett.....	21.0	13.30	16.00
	Hall.....	27.6	17.50	21.10
	Jackson.....	24.8	15.70	18.90
7-----	Lumpkin.....	40.2	25.50	30.60
	Oconee.....	22.4	14.20	17.00
	Pickens.....	34.3	21.80	26.20
	Towns.....	47.4	30.10	36.10
8-----	Union.....	44.2	28.10	33.70
	Walton.....	22.8	14.50	17.40
	White.....	37.0	23.50	28.20
	Banks.....	20.5	13.00	15.60
9-----	Elbert.....	20.9	13.20	15.90
	Franklin.....	24.0	15.20	18.30
	Habersham.....	33.4	21.20	25.40
	Hart.....	23.0	14.60	17.50
10-----	Lincoln.....	18.8	11.90	14.40
	Madison.....	21.6	13.70	16.50
	Oglethorpe.....	19.2	12.20	14.60
	Rabun.....	45.1	28.70	34.40
11-----	Stephens.....	28.4	18.00	21.60
	Wilkes.....	19.7	12.40	15.00
	Carroll.....	23.5	15.00	17.90
	Chattahoochee.....	16.2	10.30	12.30
12-----	Clayton.....	19.7	12.40	15.00
	Coweta.....	24.6	15.60	18.80
	Douglas.....	21.7	13.70	16.50
	Fayette.....	25.1	16.00	19.20
13-----	Haralson.....	21.0	13.30	16.00
	Harris.....	19.8	12.60	15.10

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued

GEORGIA—continued				
District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
4-----	Heard.....	<i>Bushels</i> 22.8	\$14.50	\$17.40
	Henry.....	23.4	14.90	17.80
	Lamar.....	24.8	15.70	18.90
	Macon.....	28.6	18.20	21.80
5-----	Marion.....	18.0	11.40	13.70
	Meriwether.....	25.2	16.00	19.20
	Muscogee.....	20.6	13.10	15.70
	Pike.....	24.4	15.50	18.50
6-----	Schley.....	27.0	17.10	20.60
	Spalding.....	29.0	18.40	22.10
	Talbot.....	18.2	11.60	13.80
	Taylor.....	27.2	17.30	20.70
7-----	Troup.....	23.2	14.70	17.70
	Upson.....	22.0	14.00	16.80
	Baldwin.....	26.0	16.50	19.80
	Bibb.....	33.2	21.10	25.30
8-----	Bleckley.....	26.8	17.00	20.40
	Bolton.....	23.4	14.00	16.80
	Crawford.....	22.0	14.00	16.80
	Dodge.....	25.0	15.90	19.00
9-----	Greene.....	20.4	13.00	15.50
	Hancock.....	17.4	11.00	13.20
	Houston.....	34.9	22.10	26.50
	Jasper.....	23.8	15.10	18.20
10-----	Johnson.....	19.2	12.20	14.60
	Jones.....	22.2	14.10	16.90
	Laurens.....	23.2	14.70	17.70
	Monroe.....	24.6	15.60	18.80
11-----	Montgomery.....	25.7	16.30	19.60
	Morgan.....	21.3	13.50	16.30
	Newton.....	26.1	16.50	19.90
	Peach.....	36.8	23.40	28.10
12-----	Putnam.....	27.0	17.10	20.60
	Rockdale.....	19.2	12.20	14.60
	Telfair.....	23.5	15.00	17.90
	Tellaferrero.....	15.6	9.90	11.90
13-----	Treutlen.....	21.0	13.30	16.00
	Twiggs.....	20.6	13.10	15.70
	Washington.....	26.2	16.50	19.9

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued

GEORGIA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
	<i>Bushels</i>			
0	Charlton	25.4	\$16.10	\$19.30
	Chatham	25.7	16.30	19.60
	Evans	32.8	20.80	25.00
	Glynn	21.0	13.30	16.00
	Liberty	24.6	15.60	18.80
	Long	31.2	19.80	23.70
	McIntosh	23.8	15.10	18.20
	Pierce	31.9	20.30	24.30
	Tattnall	30.8	19.60	23.50
	Toombs	29.6	18.80	22.60
	Ware	35.4	22.50	26.90
	Wayne	36.4	23.10	27.70

IDAHO

	<i>Bushels</i>			
1	Bonner	35.0	\$22.60	\$27.10
	Idaho	36.0	23.20	27.90
	Kootenai	40.0	25.80	31.00
	Latah	36.0	23.20	27.90
	Nez Perce	36.0	23.20	27.90
7	Adams	78.2	49.10	59.00
	Canyon	45.0	29.00	34.80
	Elmore	76.4	49.30	59.10
	Gem	72.5	46.70	56.10
	Owyhee	80.5	51.90	62.30
	Payette	74.5	48.00	57.70
	Washington	71.0	45.80	55.00
8	Blaine	50.0	32.20	38.70
	Cassia	65.0	41.90	50.30
	Gooding	58.2	37.50	45.00
	Jerome	69.2	44.60	53.50
	Lincoln	55.8	36.00	43.20
	Minidoka	65.0	41.90	50.30
9	Twin Falls	73.8	47.60	57.10
	Bannock	60.0	38.70	46.40
	Bingham	60.0	38.70	46.40
	Bonneville	55.0	35.50	42.60
	Caribou	48.0	31.00	37.20
	Clark	40.0	25.80	31.00
	Custer	35.0	22.60	27.10
	Franklin	60.0	38.70	46.40
	Fremont	45.0	29.00	34.80
	Jefferson	50.0	32.20	38.70
	Lemhi	35.0	22.60	27.10
	Madison	50.0	32.20	38.70
	Oneida	55.0	35.50	42.60
	Power	60.0	38.70	46.40

ILLINOIS

	<i>Bushels</i>			
1	Bureau	78.2	\$47.30	\$56.70
	Carroll	78.4	46.60	55.90
	Henry	72.8	43.70	52.40
	Jo Daviess	70.1	41.60	50.10
	Lee	77.6	46.90	56.40
	Mercer	69.0	41.00	49.30
	Ogle	75.2	45.10	54.10
	Putnam	72.6	43.90	52.80
	Rock Island	67.8	40.30	48.40
	Stephenson	77.6	46.60	55.90
	Whiteside	76.4	45.50	54.50
8	Winnebago	73.0	43.80	52.60
	Boone	73.0	44.20	53.00
	Cook	62.9	38.30	46.00
	De Kalb	74.0	44.80	53.70
	Du Page	68.6	41.80	50.30
	Grundy	71.8	43.40	52.20
	Kane	75.4	46.00	55.10
	Kendall	73.3	44.30	53.20
	Lake	61.9	37.80	45.30
	La Salle	76.5	45.20	55.50
	McHenry	68.4	41.40	49.60
4	Will	63.6	38.80	46.60
	Adams	62.7	37.70	45.10
	Brown	58.2	35.20	42.20
	Fulton	65.2	39.40	47.30
	Hancock	64.6	38.80	46.60
	Henderson	72.2	43.00	51.50
	Knox	70.2	42.50	50.90
	McDonough	68.2	40.90	49.10
	Schuyler	60.9	36.80	44.20
4a	Warren	76.1	45.60	54.80
	Bond	51.6	31.50	37.80
	Calhoun	57.9	35.10	42.00
	Cass	70.2	42.80	51.40
	Christian	70.6	43.10	51.70
	Greene	62.8	38.30	46.00
	Jersey	58.8	35.90	43.10
	Macoupin	60.1	36.60	44.00
	Madison	56.6	34.50	41.50
	Montgomery	63.7	38.80	46.60

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued

ILLINOIS—continued

	<i>Bushels</i>			
4a	Morgan	72.2	\$44.00	\$52.80
	Pike	61.0	36.90	44.30
	Sangamon	70.2	42.80	51.40
	Scott	64.0	39.00	46.80
5	De Witt	74.5	45.00	54.10
	Logan	76.4	46.60	55.90
	McLean	77.5	46.90	56.30
	Macon	80.7	48.90	58.60
	Marshall	72.6	44.30	53.20
	Mason	65.3	39.80	47.80
	Menard	67.6	41.20	49.50
	Peoria	68.4	41.40	49.60
	Stark	77.7	46.90	56.40
	Tazewell	72.0	43.90	52.70
6	Woodford	76.3	46.60	55.90
	Champaign	74.0	44.40	53.30
	Ford	67.8	40.70	48.80
	Iroquois	68.6	41.50	49.90
	Kankakee	70.0	42.40	50.80
	Livingston	72.9	44.00	52.90
	Piatt	82.8	49.70	59.60
6a	Vermilion	70.6	42.40	50.90
	Clark	59.8	36.20	43.40
	Clay	45.0	27.40	32.90
	Coles	65.4	39.20	47.00
	Crawford	52.4	32.00	38.30
	Cumberland	59.0	35.70	42.80
	Douglas	74.0	44.40	53.30
	Edgar	69.4	41.60	49.90
	Effingham	54.2	33.10	39.60
	Fayette	51.8	31.60	37.90
	Jasper	56.0	34.20	41.00
	Lawrence	48.5	29.80	35.80
	Marion	46.3	28.30	33.90
	Moultrie	70.7	42.50	50.90
	Richland	48.4	29.80	35.70
	Shelby	62.7	38.00	45.50
7	Alexandria	47.0	28.90	34.70
	Clinton	50.4	30.70	36.80
	Jackson	48.8	30.00	36.00
	Johnson	41.8	25.70	30.90
	Monroe	58.7	36.20	43.30
	Perry	41.1	25.30	30.40
	Polaski	46.2	28.40	34.10
	Randolph	50.6	31.10	37.40
	St. Clair	56.6	34.80	41.80
	Union	51.2	31.50	37.80
	Washington	51.0	31.40	37.60
	Williamson	87.6	53.10	63.80
9	Edwards	55.6	34.20	41.10
	Franklin	49.2	26.60	31.90
	Gallatin	51.2	31.50	37.80
	Hamilton	44.7	27.60	33.00
	Hardin	40.9	25.30	30.40
	Jefferson	46.7	28.50	34.20
	Massac	46.2	28.40	34.10
	Pope	39.4	24.20	29.00
	Saline	47.4	29.20	34.90
	Wabash	55.3	33.90	40.80
	Wayne	46.4	28.30	33.90
	White	53.3	32.70	39.40

INDIANA

	<i>Bushels</i>			
1	Benton	77.3	\$46.70	\$56.10
	Jasper	72.0	43.60	52.30
	Lake	66.7	40.40	48.40
	La Porte	70.6	42.70	51.30
	Newton	74.6	45.10	54.20
	Porter	72.4	43.80	52.50
	Pulaski	65.8	39.80	47.80
	Starke	64.9	39.20	47.10
2	White	76.0	46.00	55.20
	Carroll	76.4	46.20	55.40
	Cass	70.6	42.70	51.30
	Elkhart	58.0	35.10	42.10
	Fulton	62.4	37.80	45.30
	Kosciusko	62.0	37.50	45.00
	Marshall	63.3	38.20	46.00
	Miami	70.6	42.70	51.30
	St. Joseph	62.6	37.90	45.50
	Wabash	69.6	42.10	50.60
3	Adams	61.4	37.10	44.50
	Allen	65.0	39.30	47.20
	De Kalb	59.7	36.10	43.30
	Huntington	64.2	38.80	46.60
	Lagrange	62.0	37.50	45.00
	Noble	61.4	37.10	44.50
	Steuben	61.8	37.40	44.90
	Wells	63.4	38.40	46.00
	Whitley	58.4	35.30	42.40
4	Clay	66.3	39.80	47.80
	Fountain	67.1	40.30	48.40
	Montgomery	71.1	42.70	51.20

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued

INDIANA—continued

	<i>Bushels</i>			
4	Owen	56.9	\$34.10	\$40.90
	Parke	64.6	38.80	46.60
	Putnam	65.8	39.80	47.80
	Tippecanoe	76.4	45.80	55.00
	Vermillion	63.6	38.20	45.80
	Vigo	58.2	34.90	41.90
	Warren	72.3	43.40	52.10
5	Bartholomew	58.7	35.90	42.90
	Boone	69.0	41.70	50.10
	Clinton	77.8	47.10	56.50
	Decatur	68.0	41.50	49.80
	Grant	69.0	41.70	50.10
	Hamilton	68.7	41.60	49.90
	Hancock	63.2	38.20	45.90
	Hendricks	70.8	42.80	51.40
	Howard	80.0	48.40	58.10
	Johnson	62.0	37.50	45.00
	Madison	72.0	43.60	52.30
	Marion	61.2	37.00	44.40
	Morgan	60.7	36.80	44.00
	Rush	65.8	39.80	47.80
	Shelby	63.0	38.10	45.70
	Tipton	81.2	49.10	58.90
6	Blackford	61.1	37.00	44.40
	Delaware	64.8	39.20	47.10
	Fayette	66.9	40.40	48.50
	Henry	69.8	42.20	50.70
	Jay	53.8	32.50	39.10
	Randolph	62.1	37.50	45.10
	Union	71.8	43.40	52.20
	Wayne	65.2	39.40	47.30
7	Daviess	67.0	40.90	49.00
	Dubois	59.0	36.00	43.20
	Gibson	57.3	35.20	42.30
	Greene	56.8	34.40	41.30
	Knox	58.1	35.40	42.60
	Martin	57.3	34.90	42.00
	Pike	52.0	31.70	38.10
	Posey	59.4	36.60	43.80
	Spencer	47.9	29.60	35.30
	Sullivan	56.3	34.40	41.20
	Vanderburgh	60.4	37.10	44.60
	Warrick	51.0	31.40	37.60
8	Brown	61.6	31.20	37.50
	Crawford	46.0	28.30	33.90
	Floyd	44.9	27.60	33.10
	Harrison	55.0	33.80	40.60
	Jackson	56.0	34.20	41.00
	Lawrence	53.5	32.70	39.20
	Monroe	54.1	32.70	39.30
	Orange	54.0	32.90	39.50
	Perry	46.2	28.40	34.10
	Washington	52.0	32.00	38.40
9	Clark	49.0	30.10	36.20
	Dearborn	56.5	34.70	41.70
	Franklin	63.2	38.60	46.20
	Jefferson	54.9	33.70	40.50
	Jennings	52.7	32.20	38.60
	Ohio	52.1	32.00	38.50
	Ripley	55.3	33.70	40.50
	Scott	50.0	30.80	36.90
	Switzerland	52.8	32.50	39.00

IOWA

		<i>Bushels</i>		
1-----	Buena Vista	70.6	\$39.50	\$47.50
	Cherokee	66.8	37.70	45.30
	Clay	62.0	34.70	41.70
	Dickinson	67.1	31.70	38.10
	Emmet	60.1	33.30	40.10
	Lyon	57.8	32.10	38.50
	O'Brien	65.4	36.60	43.90
	Osceola	59.0	32.70	39.30
	Palo Alto	61.8	34.30	41.20
	Plymouth	60.0	32.30	39.30
	Pocahontas	66.6	37.30	44.80
	Sioux	62.2	34.80	41.80
2-----	Butler	65.9	37.60	45.00
	Cerro Gordo	65.4	36.60	43.90
	Floyd	64.7	36.60	43.80
	Franklin	68.9	38.90	46.70
	Hancock	64.6	36.20	43.50
	Humboldt	66.4	37.20	44.60
	Kossuth	63.7	35.60	42.80
	Mitchell	63.3	35.40	42.60
	Winnebago	65.8	36.80	44.20
	Worth	62.8	35.20	42.20
	Wright	66.4	37.20	44.60
3-----	Allamakee	66.2	38.10	45.70
	Black Hawk	69.2	39.80	47.70
	Bremer	66.5	37.80	45.50
	Buchanan	66.1	38.30	46.10
	Chickasaw	61.7	35.10	42.20
	Clayton	63.6	36.90	44.30
	Delaware	67.7	39.50	47.50

RULES AND REGULATIONS

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Corn—Continued

IOWA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
3.....	Dubuque	69.9	\$41.30	\$49.40
	Fayette	64.4	37.40	44.80
	Howard	58.5	33.30	40.00
4.....	Winnebago	61.9	35.60	42.70
	Audubon	66.5	38.20	45.90
	Calhoun	69.2	39.10	46.90
	Carroll	69.0	39.30	47.20
	Crawford	67.1	38.30	45.90
	Greene	69.2	39.40	47.30
	Guthrie	62.8	36.10	43.40
	Harrison	63.0	36.50	43.80
	Ida	68.6	38.80	46.60
	Monona	59.2	34.00	40.80
	Sac	70.8	40.00	48.00
	Shelby	73.0	42.00	50.40
	Woodbury	59.0	33.30	40.00
5.....	Boone	69.7	39.70	47.70
	Dallas	65.9	38.00	45.40
	Grundy	75.8	43.20	51.90
	Hamilton	72.2	40.80	48.90
	Hardin	73.9	42.20	50.50
	Jasper	67.1	38.60	46.30
	Marshall	72.2	41.20	49.40
	Polk	65.5	37.70	45.20
	Poweshiek	65.6	37.70	45.30
	Story	71.5	40.80	48.90
	Tama	73.5	42.30	50.70
6.....	Webster	69.2	39.10	46.90
	Benton	73.5	43.10	51.60
	Cedar	73.5	43.80	52.50
	Clinton	73.5	43.80	52.50
	Iowa	66.0	38.60	46.30
	Jackson	69.6	41.40	49.70
	Johnson	67.0	39.50	47.40
	Jones	73.5	43.40	52.00
	Linn	68.0	39.80	47.70
	Muscatine	67.0	39.90	47.80
7.....	Scott	73.5	43.80	52.50
	Adair	62.4	36.20	43.40
	Adams	58.8	34.40	41.30
	Cass	65.9	38.30	45.80
	Freemont	61.5	36.00	43.20
	Mills	62.8	36.70	44.10
	Montgomery	60.4	35.30	42.40
	Page	60.3	35.30	42.40
	Pottawattamie	65.6	38.40	46.10
8.....	Taylor	51.1	30.00	35.90
	Appanoose	51.8	30.60	36.70
	Clarke	49.8	29.10	35.00
	Decatur	46.9	27.40	32.90
	Lucas	50.6	29.60	35.60
	Madison	60.5	35.00	42.10
	Marion	59.5	34.60	41.40
	Monroe	49.8	29.10	35.00
	Ringgold	49.7	29.00	34.90
	Union	54.0	31.60	37.90
	Warren	58.5	33.90	40.70
	Wayne	49.4	28.90	34.60
9.....	Davis	51.0	30.10	36.10
	Des Moines	71.0	42.20	50.70
	Henry	63.3	37.60	45.20
	Jefferson	58.0	34.20	41.10
	Keokuk	63.0	36.90	44.20
	Lee	62.2	37.00	44.40
	Louisa	62.0	36.90	44.30
	Mahaska	63.0	36.20	43.50
	Van Buren	55.8	32.90	39.50
	Wapello	57.9	33.90	40.60
	Washington	63.0	37.20	44.60

KANSAS

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
1.....	Cheyenne	29.4	\$17.60	\$21.10
	Decatur	25.0	14.90	17.80
	Graham	29.8	17.70	21.30
	Norton	23.5	13.90	16.60
	Rawlins	26.0	15.60	18.70
	Sheridan	31.8	18.90	22.70
	Sherman	52.0	31.50	37.80
	Thomas	36.0	21.80	26.10
4.....	Gove	30.5	18.50	22.30
	Greeley	64.0	39.40	47.20
	Lane	67.5	41.60	49.80
	Logan	49.5	30.30	36.20
	Ness	32.7	20.20	24.10
	Scott	74.0	45.50	54.60
	Trego	26.7	16.30	19.50
	Wallace	43.0	26.20	31.50
	Wichita	66.0	40.60	48.70
7.....	Clark	35.0	21.70	26.00
	Finney	68.0	41.80	50.20
	Ford	31.2	19.20	23.00
	Grant	83.0	51.00	61.30
	Gray	55.5	34.20	41.00
	Hamilton	46.5	28.50	34.30

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Corn—Continued

KANSAS—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
7.....	Haskell	73.5	\$45.30	\$54.20
	Hodgeman	27.8	17.10	20.50
	Kearny	54.6	33.60	40.30
	Meade	71.0	44.00	52.80
	Morton	55.2	34.20	41.00
	Seward	72.0	44.60	53.60
	Stanton	63.0	38.70	46.50
	Stevens	59.4	36.80	44.10
2.....	Clay	40.5	23.60	28.40
	Cloud	41.0	24.00	28.80
	Jewell	40.0	23.20	27.80
	Mitchell	38.6	22.80	27.40
	Osborne	45.5	26.90	32.20
	Ottawa	36.6	21.60	26.00
	Phillips	30.5	17.80	21.40
	Republic	42.0	24.40	29.20
	Rooks	29.5	17.60	21.10
	Smith	32.0	18.60	22.30
	Washington	41.5	24.30	29.10
5.....	Barton	23.0	13.90	16.70
	Dickinson	36.0	21.40	25.70
	Ellis	28.5	17.00	20.50
	Ellsworth	32.0	19.20	23.00
	Lincoln	34.3	20.50	24.50
	McPherson	39.0	23.40	28.10
	Marion	36.0	21.60	25.90
	Rice	30.0	18.20	21.80
	Rush	50.5	30.50	36.70
	Russell	29.0	17.30	20.70
	Saline	36.5	21.70	26.10
8.....	Barber	27.8	17.20	20.70
	Comanche	30.6	19.00	22.80
	Edwards	36.0	15.90	19.00
	Harper	28.5	17.60	21.20
	Harvey	34.5	21.00	25.30
	Kingman	29.0	17.80	21.40
	Kiowa	28.0	17.20	20.70
	Pawnee	43.8	26.70	32.10
	Pratt	29.5	18.20	21.80
	Reno	30.5	18.50	22.30
	Sedgewick	42.5	26.10	31.40
	Stafford	27.1	16.60	19.90
	Sumner	41.5	25.80	30.90
3.....	Atchison	47.5	28.60	34.20
	Brown	55.0	32.40	38.90
	Doniphan	55.5	33.10	39.60
	Jackson	40.5	24.00	28.90
	Jefferson	46.5	27.80	33.50
	Leavenworth	50.0	30.20	36.30
	Marshall	41.0	24.00	28.80
	Nemaha	41.0	24.20	29.00
	Pottawatomie	44.0	26.00	31.20
	Riley	42.5	24.80	29.80
	Wyandotte	54.5	32.90	39.60
6.....	Anderson	53.5	32.40	38.80
	Chase	47.0	28.20	33.80
	Coffey	46.5	28.10	33.80
	Douglas	54.0	32.40	38.90
	Franklin	47.0	28.40	34.10
	Geary	45.0	26.80	32.10
	Johnson	50.0	30.20	36.30
	Linn	47.0	28.70	34.40
	Lyon	41.5	25.00	29.90
	Miami	47.5	28.80	34.50
	Morris	38.0	22.80	27.40
	Osage	44.0	26.40	31.70
	Shawnee	49.0	29.20	35.00
	Wabaunsee	45.0	26.80	32.10
9.....	Allen	46.0	28.10	33.70
	Bourbon	44.0	26.80	32.20
	Butler	46.0	28.10	33.70
	Chautauqua	41.5	25.80	30.90
	Cherokee	37.5	23.30	27.90
	Cowley	50.5	31.20	37.60
	Crawford	43.5	26.80	32.10
	Elk	45.0	27.90	33.50
	Greenwood	44.0	26.80	32.20
	Labette	37.5	23.30	27.90
	Montgomery	42.5	26.30	31.60
	Neosho	44.0	27.10	32.50
	Wilson	46.5	28.50	34.30
	Woodson	44.5	27.10	32.60

KENTUCKY

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
1.....	Ballard	45.0	\$27.90	\$33.50
	Calloway	41.5	26.00	31.10
	Carlisle	42.0	26.00	31.20
	Fulton	50.8	31.50	37.80
	Graves	41.8	25.90	31.10
	Hickman	49.8	30.90	37.10
	Livingston	42.0	26.00	31.20
	Lyon	40.5	25.50	30.60
	McCracken	40.0	24.80	29.80

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Corn—Continued

KENTUCKY—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
		<i>Bushels</i>		
1.....	Marshall	35.0	\$21.90	\$26.20
	Trigg	45.5	29.00	34.70
2.....	Caldwell	42.0	26.50	31.80
	Christian	53.2	33.80	40.50
	Crittenden	40.0	24.80	29.80
	Daviess	50.0	31.00	37.20
	Hancock	43.5	27.00	32.40
	Henderson	49.2	30.50	36.60
	Hopkins	42.0	26.50	31.80
	Logan	48.2	30.60	36.70
	McLean	45.8	28.60	34.40
	Muhlenberg	37.8	23.80	28.60
	Ohio	39.0	24.40	29.20
	Simpson	51.5	33.00	39.60
	Todd	53.2	33.80	40.50
	Union	59.2	36.70	44.00
	Webster	47.2	29.50	35.40
3.....	Adair	40.0	25.60	30.70
	Allen	40.0	25.60	30.70
	Barren	44.2	28.10	33.70
	Breckinridge	44.5	27.50	33.10
	Bullitt	46.5	29.00	34.90
	Butler	37.8	23.80	28.60
	Casey	42.5	27.10	32.60
	Clinton	41.5	26.80	32.10
	Cumberland	41.0	26.20	31.50
	Edmonson	37.8	23.80	28.60
	Grayson	39.2	24.50	29.40
	Green	46.8	30.00	36.00
	Hardin	49.8	31.10	37.40
	Hart	49.8	31.60	37.80
	Jefferson	52.5	32.50	39.10
	Larue	51.2	32.30	38.70
	Marion	51.8	32.90	39.50
	Meade	48.0	29.80	35.70
	Metcalfe	40.8	26.10	31.40
	Monroe	41.8	26.80	32.10
	Nelson	50.5	31.80	38.20
	Russell	41.2	26.60	31.90
	Taylor	51.8	32.90	39.50
	Warren	45.8	29.10	34.90
4.....	Boone	51.2	31.70	38.10
	Bracken	60.8	38.30	46.00
	Campbell	49.2	30.50	36.60
	Carroll	49.2	30.50	36.60
	Gallatin	47.0	29.10	35.00
	Grant	43.8	27.40	32.90
	Henry	52.8	33.00	39.60
	Kenton	48.5	30.00	36.10
	Oldham	51.5	32.00	38.30
	Owen	48.2	30.10	36.10
	Pendleton	48.8	30.50	36.60
	Trimble	53.5	33.20	39.80
5.....	Anderson	45.5	29.00	34.70
	Bath	48.8	31.50	37.80
	Bourbon	61.5	39.40	47.20
	Boyle	54.0	34.60	41.50
	Clark	59.2	38.20	45.80
	Fayette	62.0	39.70	47.60
	Fleming	57.8	36.70	44.10
	Franklin	53.8	33.90	40.70
	Garrard	54.2	35.00	41.90
	Harrison	58.8	37.30	44.80
	Jessamine	51.0	32.90	39.60
	Lincoln	52.5	33.80	40.60
	Madison	49.8	32.10	38.80
	Mason	64.5	40.60	48.80
	Mercer	53.8	34.40	41.30
	Montgomery	53.5	34.60	41.40
	Nicholas	48.2	30.80	37.00
	Robertson	48.5	30.70	37.00
	Scott	52.8	33.50	40.30
	Shelby	54.5	34.00	40.90
	Spencer	54.0	33.80	40.50
	Washington	50.2	31.90	38.20
	Woodford	50.5	32.30	38.80
6.....	Bell	43.5	28.10	33.70
	Boyd	51.0	32.60	39.20
	Breathitt	36.0	23.20	27.60
	Carter	39.0	25.00	30.00
	Clay	38.0	24.50	29.40
	Elliott	40.8	26.30	31.60
	Estill	42.0	27.10	32.50
	Floyd	36.5	23.50	28.30
	Greenup	42.8	27.20	32.60
	Harlan	34.8	22.40	27.00
	Jackson	39.8	25.70	30.80
	Johnson	42.8	27.60	32.60
	Knott	31.0	20.00	24.00
	Knox	38.8	25.00	30.10
	Laurel	41.0	26.40	31.70
	Lawrence	39.0	25.20	30.20
	Lee	40.5	26.10	31.30
	Leslie	32.3	20.90	25.00
	Letcher	33.5	21.70	25.90
	Lewis	46.5	29.20	35.00
	McCreary	36.0	23.20	27.60
	Magoffin	41.8	27.00	32.40

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued

KENTUCKY—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
	<i>Bushels</i>			
6-----	Martin	31.5	\$20.40	\$24.40
	Menifee	39.5	25.50	30.60
	Morgan	45.2	29.20	35.00
	Owsley	43.8	28.30	33.90
	Perry	33.5	21.70	25.90
	Pike	36.2	23.30	28.00
	Powell	40.8	26.30	31.60
	Pulaski	42.5	27.30	32.90
	Rockcastle	45.8	29.50	35.50
	Rowan	40.8	26.30	31.60
	Wayne	44.0	28.40	34.10
	Whitley	35.0	22.60	27.10
	Wolfe	42.0	27.10	32.50

LOUISIANA

	<i>Bushels</i>			
1-----	Bossier	39.8	\$24.90	\$29.90
	Caddo	35.5	22.20	26.80
	De Soto	20.4	12.80	15.20
	Red River	30.3	19.00	22.80
	Webster	19.9	12.50	14.90
2-----	Bienville	21.8	13.60	16.40
	Caldwell	35.0	21.90	26.20
	Claiborne	20.5	12.80	15.40
	Jackson	27.0	16.90	20.20
	Lincoln	20.0	12.50	15.00
	Quachita	35.7	22.20	26.80
	Union	23.6	14.80	17.80
	Winn	24.7	15.50	18.50
3-----	East Carroll	41.7	26.00	31.20
	Franklin	22.4	14.00	16.80
	Madison	43.0	26.90	32.20
	Morehouse	34.4	21.59	25.80
	Richland	22.5	14.00	16.90
	Tensas	39.3	24.50	29.50
	West Carroll	22.2	13.90	16.60
4-----	Natchitoches	33.8	21.10	25.40
	Sabine	24.6	15.40	18.50
	Vernon	28.8	18.00	21.60
5-----	Avoynes	32.0	20.00	24.00
	Catahoula	28.0	17.50	21.00
	Concordia	38.6	24.10	29.00
	Evangeline	26.0	16.20	19.50
	Grant	32.2	20.10	24.10
	La Salle	27.0	16.90	20.20
	Pointe Coupee	38.0	23.80	28.50
	Rapides	37.8	23.60	28.40
	Saint Landry	28.0	17.50	21.00
	West Baton Rouge	39.3	24.50	29.50
6-----	East Baton Rouge	34.8	21.80	26.10
	East Feliciana	25.5	16.00	19.10
	Livingston	36.8	23.00	27.60
	Saint Helena	22.6	14.10	17.00
	Saint Tammany	29.8	18.60	22.40
	Tangipahoa	31.4	19.60	23.50
	Washington	33.4	20.90	25.00
	West Feliciana	35.2	22.00	26.40
7-----	Acadia	23.0	14.40	17.20
	Allen	27.1	17.00	20.40
	Beauregard	27.6	17.20	20.80
	Calcasieu	31.2	19.50	23.40
	Cameron	29.1	18.20	21.90
	Jefferson Davis	27.3	17.00	20.50
	Vermilion	28.9	18.00	21.60
8-----	Assumption	30.0	18.80	22.50
	Iberia	30.0	18.80	22.50
	Iberville	35.4	22.10	26.50
	Lafayette	26.1	16.20	19.60
	Saint Martin	27.0	16.90	20.20
	Saint Mary	30.0	18.80	22.50
9-----	Ascension	32.4	20.20	24.20
	Jefferson	30.2	18.90	22.60
	Lafourche	22.0	13.80	16.50
	Orleans	30.0	18.80	22.50
	Plaquemines	30.0	18.80	22.50
	Saint Bernard	30.2	18.90	22.60
	Saint Charles	27.8	17.40	20.90
	Saint James	30.0	18.80	22.50
	St. John the Baptist	30.0	18.80	22.50
	Terrebonne	23.4	14.60	17.50

MAINE

	<i>Bushels</i>			
1-----	Aroostook	54.0	\$36.70	\$44.10
2-----	Hancock	59.5	40.50	48.60
	Penobscot	56.0	38.10	45.70
	Piscataquis	60.0	40.80	49.00
	Somerset	56.0	38.10	45.70
	Waldo	61.0	41.50	49.80

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued

MAINE—continued

	<i>Bushels</i>			
2-----	Washington	54.0	\$36.70	\$44.10
3-----	Androscoggin	56.0	38.10	45.70
	Cumberland	53.5	36.40	43.70
	Franklin	61.0	41.50	49.80
	Kennebec	55.0	37.40	44.90
	Knox	60.5	41.10	49.40
	Lincoln	54.0	36.70	44.10
	Oxford	62.0	42.20	50.60
	Sagadahoc	58.0	39.40	47.30
	York	54.0	36.70	44.10

MARYLAND

	<i>Bushels</i>			
1-----	Allegany	42.1	\$27.50	\$33.10
	Garrett	52.6	34.50	41.40
2-----	Baltimore	64.2	42.10	50.40
	Carroll	61.8	40.50	48.60
	Cecil	62.8	41.10	49.40
	Frederick	60.8	39.80	47.80
	Harford	68.8	45.10	54.10
	Howard	65.3	42.70	51.40
	Kent	65.0	42.60	51.10
	Montgomery	53.8	35.20	42.30
	Queen Annes	60.0	39.30	47.20
	Washington	57.7	37.70	45.30
8-----	Anne Arundel	53.7	35.10	42.20
	Calvert	43.4	28.40	34.10
	Charles	47.9	31.40	37.60
	Prince Georges	45.9	30.10	36.00
9-----	St. Marys	47.0	30.80	36.90
	Caroline	54.4	35.60	42.70
	Dorchester	56.6	37.10	44.50
	Somerset	53.6	35.10	42.20
	Talbot	58.0	38.00	45.60
	Wicomico	50.0	32.80	39.30
	Worcester	53.8	35.20	42.30

MASSACHUSETTS

	<i>Bushels</i>			
	Barnstable	44.5	\$30.20	\$36.30
	Berkshire	68.0	46.20	55.50
	Bristol	56.5	38.40	46.10
	Dukes	40.5	27.50	33.00
	Essex	51.0	34.70	41.60
	Franklin	69.0	46.90	56.30
	Hampden	68.0	46.20	55.50
	Hampshire	64.0	43.50	52.20
	Middlesex	59.0	40.10	48.10
	Norfolk	45.5	31.00	37.10
	Plymouth	50.5	34.30	41.20
	Worcester	53.0	36.00	43.20

MICHIGAN

	<i>Bushels</i>			
1-----	Alger	27.0	\$16.70	\$20.10
	Baraga	27.0	16.70	20.10
	Chippewa	27.0	16.70	20.10
	Delta	32.7	20.30	24.30
	Dickinson	32.0	19.80	23.80
	Gogebic	27.0	16.70	20.10
	Houghton	27.0	16.70	20.10
	Iron	27.0	16.70	20.10
	Keweenaw	27.0	16.70	20.10
	Luce	27.0	16.70	20.10
	Mackinac	27.0	16.70	20.10
	Marquette	27.0	16.70	20.10
	Menominee	42.2	26.20	31.40
	Ontonagon	27.0	16.70	20.10
	Schoolcraft	27.0	16.70	20.10
2-----	Antrim	36.5	22.60	27.20
	Benzie	37.8	23.40	28.10
	Charlevoix	34.3	21.30	25.50
	Emmet	31.4	19.50	23.30
	Grand Traverse	42.0	26.00	31.20
	Kalkaska	34.0	21.10	25.30
	Leelanau	36.5	22.60	27.20
	Manistee	39.8	24.70	29.60
	Missaukee	40.0	24.80	29.80
	Wexford	38.6	23.90	28.80
3-----	Alcona	28.8	17.90	21.50
	Alpena	32.0	19.80	23.80
	Cheboygan	28.6	17.70	21.30
	Crawford	27.0	16.70	20.10
	Iscos	39.2	24.30	29.10
	Montmorency	28.2	17.50	21.00
	Ogemaw	39.7	24.60	29.50
	Oscoda	31.5	19.60	23.40
	Otsego	27.8	17.20	20.70
	Presque Isle	29.5	18.40	21.90

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued

MICHIGAN—continued

	<i>Bushels</i>			
3-----	Roscommon	27.0	\$16.70	\$20.10
4-----	Lake	32.4	20.10	24.10
	Mason	51.8	32.10	38.60
	Muskegon	48.3	30.00	36.00
	Newaygo	48.8	30.30	36.30
	Oceana	44.5	27.50	33.10
5-----	Clare	48.9	30.30	36.30
	Gladwin	44.2	27.40	32.90
	Gratiot	65.4	40.20	48.20
	Isabella	53.7	33.00	39.60
	Mecosta	50.6	31.10	37.40
	Midland	51.9	32.00	38.30
	Montcalm	57.5	35.40	42.40
	Oscoda	45.3	28.00	33.70
6-----	Arenac	41.2	25.50	30.60
	Bay	60.4	37.40	44.90
	Buron	56.6	35.10	42.20
	Saginaw	56.8	34.90	41.90
	Sanilac	47.6	29.30	35.20
	Tuscola	61.0	37.50	45.00
7-----	Allegan	53.2	32.50	38.90
	Berrien	60.0	36.30	43.60
	Cass	53.3	32.20	38.70
	Kalamazoo	50.3	30.70	36.80
	Kent	48.4	29.80	35.70
	Ottawa	47.8	29.60	35.60
	Van Buren	44.6	27.00	32.40
8-----	Barry	48.7	29.80	35.60
	Branch	56.6	34.50	41.50
	Calhoun	58.2	35.50	42.60
	Clinton	59.0	36.30	43.50
	Eaton	59.2	36.40	43.70
	Hillsdale	53.4	32.60	39.00
	Ingham	63.8	39.20	47.10
	Ionia	51.8	31.90	38.30
	Jackson	53.2	32.70	39.20
	St. Joseph	52.0	31.50	37.80
	Shiawassee	62.2	38.30	45.90
9-----	Genesee	48.6	29.90	35.90
	Lapeer	50.4	31.00	37.10
	Lanawee	67.6	41.60	49.90
	Livingston	49.2	30.30	36.30
	Macomb	45.9	28.30	33.80
	Monroe	66.1	40.60	48.80
	Oakland	49.4	30.40	36.40
	St. Clair	43.8	26.90	32.30
	Washtenaw	51.2	31.50	37.80
	Wayne	60.2	37.00	44.40

MINNESOTA

		<i>Bushels</i>		
1.-----	Becker	38.0	\$20.90	\$25.10
	Clay	40.0	21.80	26.20
	Clearwater	40.0	22.00	26.40
	Kittson	30.0	16.40	19.60
	Mahnomen	40.0	21.80	26.20
	Marshall	36.0	19.60	23.50
	Norman	40.0	21.80	26.20
	Pennington	37.0	20.20	24.20
	Polk	41.0	22.30	26.80
	Red Lake	39.0	21.30	25.50
	Roseau	30.0	16.40	19.60
2.-----	Beltrami	38.0	20.90	25.10
	Cass	33.0	18.30	22.00
	Hubbard	36.0	19.80	23.80
	Itasca	37.0	20.70	24.90
	Koochiching	30.0	16.80	20.20
	Lake of the Woods	30.0	16.50	19.80
3.-----	Cook			
	Lake			
	St. Louis	34.0	19.00	22.80
4.-----	Bigstone	41.0	22.30	26.80
	Chippewa	49.8	27.40	32.90
	Douglas	45.0	25.00	30.00
	Grant	42.0	23.10	27.70
	Lac qui Parle	47.8	26.10	31.30
	Otter Tail	39.0	21.40	25.70
	Pope	42.8	23.80	28.50
	Stevens	44.0	24.20	29.00
	Swift	45.2	25.10	30.10
	Traverse	39.4	21.50	25.70
	Wilkin	36.8	20.10	24.10
	Yellow Medicine	52.0	28.60	34.30
5.-----	Benton	46.0	26.00	31.20
	Carver	66.0	37.60	45.10
	Kandiyohi	52.6	29.50	35.40
	McLeod	66.0	37.30	44.70
	Meeker	57.0	32.20	38.60
	Morrison	40.0	22.20	26.60
	Renville	57.0	31.90	38.30
	Scott	61.0	34.50	41.40
	Sherburne	44.0	24.90	29.80
	Sibley	63.0	35.60	42.70
	Stearns	45.0	25.40	30.50
	Todd	40.0	22.20	26.60

RULES AND REGULATIONS

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Corn—Continued

MINNESOTA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
5-----	Wadena	35.0	\$19.40	\$23.30
	Wright	58.0	32.80	39.30
6-----	Aitkin	43.0	24.10	28.90
	Anoka	48.0	27.40	32.80
	Carlton	36.5	20.40	24.50
	Chisago	53.0	29.90	35.90
	Crow Wing	35.0	19.40	23.30
	Hennepin	58.0	33.10	39.70
	Isanti	46.0	26.00	31.20
	Kanabec	45.0	25.40	30.50
	Millie Lacs	47.0	26.60	31.90
	Pine	42.0	23.50	28.20
	Ramsey	50.0	28.50	34.20
	Washington	55.0	31.40	37.60
7-----	Cottonwood	53.0	29.40	35.30
	Jackson	56.0	30.80	37.00
	Lincoln	45.0	24.50	29.40
	Lyon	52.0	28.60	34.30
	Murray	51.0	28.00	33.70
	Nobles	52.6	28.90	34.80
	Pipestone	45.0	24.80	29.70
	Redwood	56.0	31.10	37.30
	Rock	49.0	27.00	32.30
8-----	Blue Earth	62.0	34.70	41.70
	Brown	62.0	34.70	41.70
	Faribault	64.0	35.20	42.20
	Freeborn	64.0	35.80	43.00
	La Seur	63.0	35.60	42.70
	Martin	60.0	33.00	39.60
	Nicollet	63.0	35.60	42.70
	Rice	61.0	34.50	41.40
	Steele	62.0	34.70	41.70
	Waseca	64.0	35.80	43.00
9-----	Watsonwan	58.0	32.20	38.60
	Dakota	60.0	33.90	40.70
	Dodge	61.0	34.20	41.00
	Fillmore	63.5	35.60	42.70
	Goodhue	67.0	37.90	45.40
	Houston	69.0	39.00	46.80
	Mower	60.0	33.60	40.30
	Olmsted	62.5	34.90	42.00
	Wabasha	68.0	38.40	46.10
	Winona	66.5	37.50	45.10

MISSISSIPPI

<i>Bushels</i>				
1-----	Bolivar	32.8	\$20.50	\$24.60
	Coahoma	40.0	25.00	30.00
	Quitman	34.7	21.80	26.00
	Tallahatchie	33.7	21.00	25.20
	Tunica	33.8	21.10	25.40
2-----	Benton	29.4	18.40	22.00
	Calhoun	23.8	14.90	17.90
	De Soto	31.4	19.60	23.50
	Grenada	25.6	16.00	19.20
	Lafayette	27.2	17.00	20.40
	Marshall	29.7	18.50	22.20
	Panola	33.6	21.00	25.20
	Tate	35.7	22.20	26.80
	Yalobusha	27.0	16.90	20.20
3-----	Alcorn	29.5	18.50	22.10
	Itawamba	26.8	16.80	20.10
	Lee	27.2	17.00	20.40
	Pontotoc	30.2	18.90	22.60
	Prentiss	29.8	18.60	22.40
	Tippah	29.4	18.40	22.00
	Tishomingo	25.5	16.00	19.10
4-----	Union	27.5	17.20	20.60
	Humphreys	32.8	20.50	24.60
	Issaquena	51.8	32.40	38.90
	Leflore	31.6	19.80	23.80
	Sharkey	51.2	32.00	38.40
	Sunflower	31.0	19.40	23.20
	Washington	42.2	26.40	31.60
5-----	Yazoo	34.0	21.20	25.50
	Attala	26.8	16.80	20.10
	Carroll	27.2	17.00	20.40
	Choctaw	26.2	16.40	19.60
	Holmes	29.6	18.50	22.20
	Leake	23.6	14.80	17.80
	Madison	24.0	15.00	18.00
	Montgomery	25.6	16.00	19.20
	Rankin	26.8	16.80	20.10
	Scott	25.0	15.60	18.80
	Webster	28.3	17.80	21.20
6-----	Chickasaw	23.6	14.80	17.80
	Clay	22.9	14.20	17.10
	Kemper	20.6	12.90	15.50
	Lowndes	20.3	12.80	15.20
	Monroe	23.2	14.50	17.40
	Neshoba	25.5	16.00	19.10
	Noxubee	20.9	13.00	15.60
	Oktibbeha	22.2	13.90	16.60
	Winston	26.2	16.40	19.60
7-----	Adams	25.6	16.00	19.20

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Corn—Continued

MISSISSIPPI—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
7-----	Amite	27.4	\$17.10	\$20.50
	Claiborne	40.0	25.00	30.00
	Copiah	35.1	22.00	26.40
	Franklin	38.5	24.20	29.10
	Hinds	31.0	19.40	23.20
	Jefferson	34.0	21.20	25.50
	Lincoln	32.2	20.10	24.10
	Warren	43.0	26.90	32.20
8-----	Wilkinson	30.7	19.20	23.00
	Covington	29.2	18.20	21.90
	Jefferson Davis	29.8	18.60	22.40
	Lamar	30.0	18.80	22.50
	Lawrence	27.8	17.40	20.90
	Marion	28.6	17.90	21.50
	Pike	28.9	18.00	21.60
	Simpson	27.6	17.20	20.80
	Smith	29.4	18.40	22.00
	Walsh	31.5	19.80	23.60
9-----	Clarke	27.1	17.00	20.40
	Forrest	32.3	20.50	24.60
	George	26.6	16.00	20.00
	Greene	25.0	15.60	18.80
	Hancock	33.7	21.00	25.20
	Harrison	32.0	20.00	24.00
	Jackson	28.0	17.50	21.00
	Jasper	28.1	17.50	21.10
	Jones	31.8	19.90	23.90
	Lauderdale	27.7	17.20	20.80
	Newton	27.0	16.90	20.20
	Pearl River	31.7	19.80	23.80
	Perry	31.0	19.40	23.20
	Stone	27.1	17.00	20.40
	Wayne	26.4	16.50	19.90

MISSOURI

<i>Bushels</i>				
1-----	Andrew	61.2	\$36.40	\$43.70
	Atchison	61.8	36.50	43.80
	Buchanan	56.4	34.10	40.90
	Caldwell	50.3	30.70	36.80
	Clay	58.6	35.70	42.90
	Clinton	51.2	31.20	37.50
	Davies	54.2	32.50	39.00
	De Kalb	53.4	32.00	38.40
	Gentry	51.7	30.70	36.90
	Harrison	53.1	31.70	38.00
	Holt	58.7	35.00	41.90
	Nodaway	53.0	31.30	37.50
	Platte	55.4	33.80	40.50
	Ray	57.4	35.00	42.00
	Worth	52.6	31.00	37.30
2-----	Adair	50.4	30.20	36.20
	Carroll	64.4	39.30	47.10
	Chariton	61.3	37.30	44.90
	Grundy	49.4	29.60	35.50
	Linn	51.3	31.00	37.30
	Livingston	49.8	30.10	36.20
	Macon	50.3	30.70	36.80
	Mercer	50.2	29.60	35.50
	Putnam	46.2	27.50	33.00
	Randolph	50.3	30.70	36.80
	Schuyler	54.8	32.60	39.20
	Sullivan	48.6	29.20	35.00
3-----	Audrain	54.5	33.20	39.90
	Clark	54.6	32.80	39.40
	Knox	58.0	34.80	41.80
	Lewis	56.8	34.10	40.90
	Marion	54.0	32.40	38.90
	Monroe	54.5	33.20	39.90
	Pike	54.8	33.20	39.80
	Ralls	54.0	32.70	39.20
	Scotland	53.0	31.80	38.20
	Shelby	56.6	34.20	41.10
4-----	Bates	49.6	30.30	36.40
	Cass	52.4	32.00	38.30
	Cedar	43.5	26.80	32.10
	Henry	46.6	28.40	34.20
	Jackson	58.3	35.60	42.70
	Johnson	54.0	32.90	39.50
	Lafayette	63.2	38.60	46.20
	St. Clair	44.8	27.60	33.10
	Vernon	46.0	28.30	33.90
	Benton	46.5	28.30	34.00
	Boone	54.6	33.50	40.00
	Callaway	50.0	30.50	36.00
	Camden	38.6	23.90	28.80
	Cole	49.9	30.80	36.80
	Cooper	54.0	32.90	39.50
	Dallas	41.6	25.80	31.00
	Hickory	42.4	26.10	31.20
	Howard	56.3	34.40	41.20
	Laclede	39.8	24.70	29.60
	Maries	37.2	23.10	27.70
	Miller	45.4	28.10	33.70
	Moniteau	48.5	29.80	35.80

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Corn—Continued

MISSOURI—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
5-----	Morgan	44.8	\$27.60	\$33.10
	Osage	49.5	30.50	36.50
	Pettis	51.1	31.20	37.50
	Phelps	38.5	23.80	28.60
	Polk	43.4	26.90	32.20
	Pulaski	41.8	25.90	31.10
	Saline	65.4	39.90	47.80
6-----	Crawford	38.6	23.90	28.80
	Franklin	48.2	29.60	35.50
	Gasconade	52.2	32.10	38.50
	Jefferson	49.4	30.40	36.40
	Lincoln	51.0	31.10	37.30
	Montgomery	54.8	33.40	40.10
	Perry	53.8	33.40	40.10
	St. Charles	55.6	33.90	40.70
	St. Francois	45.0	27.90	33.50
	Ste. Genevieve	52.0	32.00	38.40
	St. Louis	52.4	32.20	38.60
	Warren	52.0	31.70	38.10
7-----	Washington	40.0	24.80	29.80
	Barry	45.0	27.90	33.50
	Barton	43.2	26.80	32.20
	Christian	43.2	26.80	32.20
	Dade	42.1	26.00	31.40
	Greene	46.0	28.50	34.20
	Jasper	46.0	28.50	34.20
	Lawrence	46.0	28.50	34.20
	McDonald	44.2	27.40	32.90
	Newton	42.6	26.40	31.70
	Stone	39.6	24.60	29.50
8-----	Bollinger	39.8	24.70	29.60
	Carter	38.8	24.10	28.90
	Dent	36.7	22.80	27.30
	Douglas	40.0	24.80	29.80
	Howell	42.8	26.50	31.90
	Iron	39.8	24.70	29.60
	Madison	40.0	24.80	29.80
	Oregon	41.8	25.90	31.10
	Ozark	36.8	22.80	27.40
	Reynolds	39.4	24.40	29.30
	Ripley	37.8	23.40	28.10
	Shannon	41.2	25.50	30.60
	Taney	40.6	25.20	30.30
	Texas	39.3	24.30	29.30
	Wayne	38.8	24.10	28.90
	Webster	40.8	25.30	30.40
	Wright	40.4	25.00	30.00
9-----	Butler	38.8	24.10	28.90
	Dape Girardeau	54.2	33.40	39.40
	Dunklin	53.0	32.90	39.00
	Mississippi	68.1	42.20	50.70
	New Madrid	60.2	37.30	44.80
	Pemiscot	57.1	35.50	42.50
	Scott	59.8	37.10	44.50
	Stoddard	56.6	35.10	42.20

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Corn—Continued

MONTANA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
	<i>Bushels</i>			
8-----	Treasure	63.8	\$38.90	\$46.70
	Yellowstone	80.8	49.30	59.20
9-----	Carter	12.4	7.60	9.00
	Custer	40.6	24.80	29.80
	Fallon	14.0	8.50	10.20
	Powder River	14.1	8.50	10.40
	Prairie	25.2	15.40	18.40
	Rosebud	46.2	28.20	33.80
	Wibaux	15.6	9.50	11.50

NEBRASKA

	<i>Bushels</i>			
1-----	Banner	29.8	\$17.90	\$21.50
	Box Butte	40.2	23.90	28.70
	Cheyenne	43.5	26.20	31.30
	Dawes	22.8	13.60	16.30
	Devel	55.5	33.40	40.00
	Garden	50.0	29.80	35.70
	Kimball	40.5	24.20	29.20
	Morrill	59.0	35.40	42.50
	Scotts Bluff	75.6	45.40	54.50
	Sheridan	25.2	14.90	17.80
	Sioux	59.6	35.80	43.00
2-----	Arthur	22.6	13.30	16.00
	Blaine	28.5	16.30	19.70
	Boyd	30.6	17.30	20.80
	Brown	28.4	16.20	19.40
	Cherry	16.5	9.50	11.50
	Garfield	46.8	26.90	32.30
	Holt	35.9	20.30	24.30
	Hooker	17.8	10.30	12.40
	Kearney	26.9	15.30	18.40
	Logan	26.6	15.60	18.70
	Loup	42.4	24.40	29.20
	McPherson	15.7	9.10	11.00
	Rock	25.5	14.60	17.40
	Thomas	20.0	11.60	13.90
	Wheeler	38.8	22.30	26.80
3-----	Antelope	41.8	23.30	28.40
	Boone	47.4	27.00	32.40
	Burt	55.2	31.70	38.10
	Cedar	45.8	25.90	31.10
	Cuming	54.8	31.20	37.50
	Dakota	50.8	28.70	34.50
	Dixon	44.5	25.10	30.20
	Knox	40.4	22.80	27.30
	Madison	44.5	25.30	30.40
	Pierce	44.3	25.10	30.10
	Stanton	48.6	27.70	33.30
	Thurston	48.3	27.30	32.80
	Wayne	46.6	26.30	31.60
5-----	Buffalo	59.6	34.30	41.20
	Custer	41.0	23.80	28.50
	Dawson	70.5	40.80	49.10
	Greeley	41.8	24.00	28.90
	Hall	68.0	39.10	46.90
	Howard	43.4	25.00	29.90
	Sherman	41.0	23.80	28.50
	Valley	47.4	27.30	32.70
6-----	Butler	54.8	31.50	37.80
	Cass	52.4	30.40	36.40
	Colfax	50.9	29.20	35.10
	Dodge	54.0	31.00	37.30
	Douglas	53.2	30.90	37.00
	Hamilton	70.6	40.60	48.80
	Lancaster	47.0	27.00	32.40
	Merrick	62.9	36.10	43.40
	Nance	46.8	26.90	32.30
	Platte	51.6	29.70	35.60
	Polk	66.5	38.20	45.90
	Sarpy	54.5	31.60	37.90
	Saunders	50.5	29.00	34.80
	Seward	54.0	31.00	37.30
	Washington	59.6	34.60	41.50
	York	65.5	37.70	45.20
7-----	Chase	26.0	15.50	18.60
	Dundy	23.0	13.70	16.40
	Frontier	24.3	14.30	17.10
	Hayes	21.4	12.70	15.20
	Hitchcock	39.4	23.40	28.10
	Keith	57.6	34.30	41.20
	Lincoln	45.7	26.70	32.10
	Perkins	25.8	15.40	18.40
	Red Willow	37.2	21.90	26.30
8-----	Adams	64.4	37.00	44.40
	Franklin	55.4	31.90	38.20
	Furnas	44.7	26.20	31.40
	Gosper	52.8	30.90	37.10
	Harlan	42.2	24.50	29.30
	Kearney	65.9	38.00	45.40
	Phelps	64.6	37.50	45.00
	Webster	42.0	24.20	29.00
9-----	Clay	68.4	39.90	47.80
	Fillmore	59.8	34.40	41.30

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Corn—Continued

NEBRASKA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
	<i>Bushels</i>			
9-----	Gage	43.4	\$25.20	\$30.20
	Jefferson	42.3	24.60	29.50
	Johnson	42.3	24.80	29.70
	Nemaha	50.8	29.70	35.70
	Nuckolls	54.6	31.40	37.70
	Otoe	47.2	27.40	32.80
	Pawnee	42.0	24.60	29.50
	Richardson	49.4	28.90	34.60
	Saline	46.3	26.90	32.20
	Thayer	61.4	35.30	42.30

NEVADA

	<i>Bushels</i>			
1-----	Churchill	45.9	\$31.00	\$37.10
	Humboldt	36.0	24.30	29.20
	Lyon	45.0	30.40	36.40
	Pershing	34.5	23.20	27.90
	Washoe	51.0	34.40	41.30
3-----	White Pine	36.0	24.30	29.20
8-----	Clark	42.0	28.40	34.00
	Esmeralda	36.0	24.30	29.20
	Lincoln	42.0	28.40	34.00
	Nye	48.0	32.40	38.90

NEW HAMPSHIRE

	<i>Bushels</i>			
	Belknap	52.0	\$35.40	\$42.40
	Carroll	38.0	25.80	31.00
	Cheshire	46.8	31.80	38.20
	Cook	52.8	35.90	43.10
	Grafton	47.6	32.40	38.90
	Hillsborough	46.4	31.60	37.80
	Merrimack	50.0	34.00	40.80
	Rockingham	46.0	31.30	37.50
	Strafford	48.8	33.20	39.80
	Sullivan	53.6	36.40	43.80

NEW JERSEY

	<i>Bushels</i>			
2-----	Bergen	64.0	\$42.00	\$51.10
	Essex	68.0	45.20	54.30
	Hudson	73.5	48.90	58.70
	Hunterdon	73.5	48.90	58.70
	Morris	80.0	53.20	63.80
	Passaic	70.5	46.80	56.30
	Somerset	75.0	49.90	59.80
	Sussex	79.5	52.90	63.40
	Union	70.5	46.80	56.30
	Warren	67.0	51.20	61.40
5-----	Burlington	77.0	44.60	53.50
	Mercer	76.0	50.50	60.00
	Middlesex	68.0	45.20	54.30
	Monmouth	72.5	48.10	57.90
	Ocean	71.5	47.60	57.10
8-----	Atlantic	63.0	41.90	50.30
	Camden	57.5	38.30	45.90
	Cape May	62.0	41.20	49.50
	Cumberland	65.5	43.60	52.30
	Gloucester	61.0	40.60	48.70
	Salem	65.0	43.20	51.90

NEW MEXICO

	<i>Bushels</i>			
1-----	Bernalillo	49.3	\$32.20	\$38.80
	McKinley	18.4	12.10	14.40
	Rio Arriba	23.5	15.50	18.50
	Sandoval	32.7	21.50	25.70
	San Juan	43.2	28.30	33.90
	Santa Fe	27.2	17.80	21.40
	Taos	23.7	15.50	18.60
	Valencia	28.4	18.60	22.30
3-----	Colfax	30.5	19.90	24.00
	Curry	48.1	31.40	37.90
	De Baca	50.6	33.10	39.80
	Guadalupe	28.0	18.30	22.00
	Harding	15.0	9.80	11.80
	Mora	16.8	11.00	13.20
	Quay	39.2	25.70	30.80
	Roosevelt	33.1	21.70	26.10
	San Miguel	17.5	11.50	13.80
	Torrance	24.2	15.90	19.00
	Union	21.4	14.00	16.80
7-----	Catron	28.8	18.90	22.70
	Grant	43.3	28.30	34.10
	Hidalgo	52.5	34.30	41.30

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Corn—Continued

NEW MEXICO—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
	<i>Bushels</i>			
7-----	Luna	56.0	\$36.70	\$44.00
	Sierra	47.0	30.80	36.90
	Secor	44.8	29.30	35.20
9-----	Chaves	57.4	37.60	45.10
	Dona Ana	52.5	34.30	41.30
	Eddy	53.5	35.10	42.10
	Lea	40.0	26.20	31.40
	Lincoln	35.5	23.30	27.90
	Otero	48.5	31.70	38.10

NEW YORK

	<i>Bushels</i>			
2-----	Jefferson	49.5	\$32.70	\$39.20
	Lewis	44.5	29.30	35.20
	St. Lawrence	43.5	23.80	28.40
3-----	Clinton	46.0	30.40	36.40
	Essex	47.0	31.00	37.20
	Franklin	45.0	29.70	35.60
	Warren	50.0	33.00	39.60
4-----	Erie	56.0	37.00	44.40
	Genesee	54.0	35.60	42.80
	Livingston	59.0	38.90	46.70
	Monroe	59.0	38.90	46.70
	Niagara	51.5	34.10	40.80
	Ontario	63.0	41.60	49.90
	Orleans	55.0	36.30	43.60
	Seneca	53.5	35.50	42.40
	Wayne	53.5	35.40	42.40
	Wyoming	53.0	38.30	45.90
	Yates	53.5	35.40	42.40
5-----	Cayuga	56.0	37.00	44.40
	Chenango	58.5	38.50	46.30
	Cortland	57.5	38.00	45.50
	Herkimer	54.5	35.90	43.20
	Madison	52.5	34.60	41.60
	Oneida	54.5	35.90	43.20
	Onondaga	54.5	35.90	43.20
	Oswego	49.0	32.30	38.80
6-----	Otsego	52.5	34.60	41.60
	Albany	50.5	33.30	40.00
	Fulton	45.5	30.10	36.00
	Montgomery	54.0	35.60	42.80
	Rensselaer	50.0	33.00	39.60
	Saratoga	54.0	35.60	42.80
	Schenectady	50.0	33.00	39.60
	Schoharie	68.5	45.10	54.30
	Washington	54.5	35.90	43.20
7-----	Allegany	51.5	34.10	40.80
	Cattaraugus	59.0	38.90	46.70
	Chautauque	55.0	36.30	43.60
	Steuben	59.0	38.90	46.70
8-----	Broome	54.0	35.60	42.80
	Chemung	63.0	41.60	49.90
	Schuyler	51.5	34.10	40.80
	Tioga	62.0	40.90	49.10
9-----	Tompkins	54.5	35.90	43.20
	Columbia	51.5	34.10	40.80
	Delaware	52.0	34.30	41.20
	Dutchess	55.5	36.70	44.00
	Greene	54.0	35.60	42.80
	Orange	62.5	41.20	49.50
	Putnam	57.0	37.60	45.10
	Sullivan	54.5	35.90	43.20
	Ulster	54.5	35.90	43.20
9A-----	Westchester	57.0	37.60	45.10
	Suffolk	56.5	37.20	44.70

NORTH CAROLINA

	<i>Bushels</i>			
1-----	Alleghany	58.8	\$37.90	\$45.50
	Ashe	59.0	38.10	45.70

RULES AND REGULATIONS

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued
NORTH CAROLINA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
4	Transylvania	55.6	\$35.90	\$43.10
	Yancey	56.2	36.20	43.50
2	Alamance	41.5	26.80	32.10
	Caswell	34.8	22.40	27.00
	Durham	40.1	25.80	31.10
	Forsyth	38.1	24.50	29.50
	Franklin	37.0	23.90	28.60
	Granville	33.0	21.30	25.50
	Guilford	39.8	25.70	30.80
	Orange	41.3	26.60	32.00
	Person	33.0	21.30	25.50
	Rockingham	34.8	22.40	27.00
	Stokes	36.3	23.50	28.10
	Vance	34.1	21.90	26.40
	Warren	34.6	22.30	26.80
5	Alexander	38.2	24.60	29.50
	Catawba	39.2	25.30	30.30
	Chatham	39.2	25.30	30.30
	Davidson	40.1	25.80	31.10
	Davie	40.0	25.80	31.00
	Redell	38.6	24.90	30.00
	Lee	37.5	24.20	29.00
	Randolph	41.0	26.40	31.70
	Rowan	40.2	25.00	31.10
	Wake	39.4	25.40	30.40
8	Anson	32.7	21.20	25.30
	Cabarrus	32.4	20.90	25.00
	Cleveland	34.2	22.10	26.40
	Gaston	34.6	22.30	26.80
	Lincoln	37.1	24.00	28.80
	Mecklenburg	33.3	21.40	25.80
	Montgomery	36.6	23.60	28.40
	Moore	33.2	21.40	25.70
	Richmond	30.6	19.80	23.70
	Stanly	38.0	24.50	29.40
	Union	37.5	24.30	29.00
3	Bertie	54.5	35.10	42.20
	Camden	67.5	49.60	52.20
	Chowan	56.3	36.40	43.60
	Currituck	71.3	45.90	55.20
	Dare	42.5	27.30	32.90
	Edgecombe	47.8	30.80	37.00
	Gates	52.0	33.50	40.20
	Halifax	45.5	29.40	35.20
	Hertford	52.4	33.80	40.50
	Martin	53.2	34.30	41.20
	Nash	46.8	30.20	36.20
	Northampton	49.6	32.00	38.40
	Pasquotank	66.6	43.00	51.60
	Perquimans	66.9	43.10	51.70
	Tyrrell	54.4	35.10	42.10
	Washington	59.0	38.10	45.70
6	Beaufort	56.1	36.10	43.50
	Carteret	47.2	30.40	36.50
	Craven	43.2	27.90	33.40
	Greene	50.8	32.80	39.30
	Hyde	60.5	39.00	46.80
	Johnston	43.6	28.10	33.80
	Jones	46.2	29.80	35.70
	Lenoir	48.8	31.50	37.80
	Pamlico	49.2	31.70	38.10
	Pitt	48.5	31.20	37.50
	Wayne	48.0	31.00	37.20
	Wilson	50.9	32.80	39.30
9	Bladen	40.5	26.10	31.30
	Brunswick	38.0	24.50	29.40
	Columbus	45.0	29.00	34.80
	Cumberland	36.0	23.20	27.90
	Duplin	48.5	31.20	37.50
	Harnett	40.4	26.10	31.20
	Hoke	36.8	23.70	28.50
	New Hanover	40.4	26.10	31.20
	Onslow	43.0	27.70	33.30
	Pender	43.3	27.90	33.50
	Robeson	33.4	21.50	25.80
	Sampson	45.6	29.40	35.30
	Scotland	34.9	22.40	27.00

NORTH DAKOTA

District	County	<i>Bushels</i>		
1	Burke	20.0	\$10.80	\$13.00
	Divide	19.0	10.30	12.30
	Mountrail	20.2	10.90	13.10
	Renville	22.3	12.10	14.50
	Ward	23.0	12.40	14.90
	Williams	20.4	11.00	13.20
2	Benson	26.0	14.00	16.80
	Bottineau	23.5	12.70	15.20
	McHenry	23.6	12.70	15.30
	Pierce	25.5	13.80	16.50
	Rolette	22.5	12.10	14.60
3	Cavalier	28.0	15.10	18.10
	Grand Forks	32.0	17.30	20.70
	Nelson	26.8	14.50	17.40
	Pembina	31.0	16.70	20.10

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued
NORTH DAKOTA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
3	Ramsey	25.5	\$13.80	\$16.50
	Towner	22.5	12.10	14.60
	Walsh	30.0	16.20	19.40
4	Dunn	20.0	10.80	13.00
	McKenzie	22.5	12.10	14.60
	McLean	23.2	12.50	15.00
	Mercer	21.7	11.70	14.00
	Oliver	22.0	11.90	14.30
5	Eddy	26.0	14.00	16.80
	Foster	27.5	14.90	17.80
	Kidder	20.7	11.20	13.40
	Sheridan	23.5	12.70	15.20
	Stutsman	26.5	14.30	17.20
	Wells	26.0	14.00	16.80
6	Barnes	27.0	14.60	17.50
	Cass	34.0	18.40	22.00
	Griggs	26.0	14.00	16.80
	Steele	27.0	14.60	17.50
	Truitt	33.0	17.80	21.40
7	Adams	16.0	8.60	10.40
	Billings	17.0	9.20	11.00
	Bowman	16.4	8.90	10.60
	Golden Valley	17.0	9.20	11.00
	Hettinger	17.0	9.20	11.00
	Slope	16.1	8.60	10.50
	Stark	18.0	9.70	11.70
8	Burleigh	19.9	10.80	12.90
	Emmons	21.5	11.70	13.90
	Grant	18.3	9.90	11.90
	Morton	18.0	9.70	11.70
	Sioux	16.0	8.60	10.40
9	Dickey	25.9	14.00	16.70
	La Moure	25.4	13.70	16.40
	Logan	22.0	11.90	14.30
	McIntosh	22.0	11.90	14.30
	Ransom	29.0	15.70	18.80
	Richland	35.0	18.90	22.70
	Sargent	29.0	15.70	18.80

OHIO

District	County	<i>Bushels</i>		
1	Allen	60.0	\$36.60	\$43.90
	Defiance	60.5	36.80	44.30
	Fulton	72.8	44.80	53.80
	Hancock	66.8	41.10	49.30
	Henry	72.8	44.80	53.80
	Lucas	71.0	44.00	52.80
	Paulding	60.0	36.60	43.90
	Putnam	68.5	40.80	49.10
	Van Wert	65.5	40.00	47.90
	Williams	58.7	35.90	42.90
	Wood	69.5	43.20	51.70
2	Ashland	65.2	41.10	49.30
	Crawford	64.8	40.20	48.20
	Erie	72.8	44.80	53.80
	Huron	64.5	40.60	48.80
	Lorain	64.2	40.80	48.90
	Ottawa	65.7	41.00	49.20
	Richland	60.5	37.80	45.40
	Sandusky	71.5	44.80	53.60
	Seneca	66.0	40.90	49.10
	Wyandot	62.2	38.60	46.30
3	Ashtabula	57.8	37.30	44.80
	Columbiana	59.5	38.40	46.10
	Cuyahoga	54.5	34.80	41.90
	Geauga	67.0	43.20	51.90
	Lake	59.2	38.20	45.80
	Mahoning	63.5	41.00	49.10
	Medina	65.2	41.40	49.70
	Portage	63.8	41.20	49.40
	Stark	63.2	40.40	48.50
	Summit	59.5	38.10	45.70
	Trumbull	60.5	39.00	46.80
	Wayne	75.5	48.00	57.50
4	Angelaize	63.0	38.40	46.10
	Champaign	71.0	43.70	52.40
	Clark	71.0	43.70	52.40
	Darke	65.2	39.80	47.70
	Hardin	68.0	41.80	50.20
	Logan	64.8	39.00	47.00
	Mercer	61.0	37.20	44.70
	Miami	65.0	39.60	47.60
	Shelby	60.7	37.10	44.40
5	Delaware	64.8	40.20	48.20
	Fairfield	67.2	42.00	50.40
	Payette	71.5	44.40	53.20
	Franklin	62.2	38.60	46.30
	Knox	62.0	38.50	46.50
	Licking	63.8	39.90	47.90
	Madison	70.2	43.60	52.20
	Marion	63.0	39.10	46.90
	Morrow	58.5	36.50	43.90
	Pickaway	70.5	43.60	52.50
	Ross	69.8	43.60	52.40
	Union	63.5	39.10	46.90

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued
OHIO—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
6	Belmont	54.2	\$35.00	\$41.90
	Carroll	57.8	37.30	44.80
	Coshocton	69.0	43.80	52.60
	Harrison	58.0	37.40	44.90
	Holmes	70.5	44.70	53.70
	Jefferson	59.2	38.20	45.80
	Tuscarawas	65.2	41.70	50.00
7	Butler	60.0	36.60	43.90
	Clermont	50.6	31.40	37.70
	Clinton	71.8	44.20	53.00
	Greene	72.8	44.80	53.80
	Hamilton	59.2	36.40	43.70
	Montgomery	62.5	38.10	45.80
	Preble	62.3	38.10	45.60
	Warren	61.8	38.00	45.60
8	Adams	58.0	36.20	43.50
	Brown	52.9	33.00	39.60
	Galena	54.5	34.50	41.50
	Highland	65.2	40.40	48.50
	Jackson	56.0	35.30	42.30
	Lawrence	53.2	33.50	40.20
	Pike	58.8	36.80	44.10
	Scioto	57.2	35.80	42.90
9	Athens	56.8	36.10	43.30
	Guernsey	57.0	36.50	43.80
	Hocking	61.5	38.80	46.50
	Meigs	52.3	33.30	39.90
	Monroe	57.0	36.80	44.10
	Morgan	55.5	35.30	42.30
	Muskingum	60.8	38.60	46.40
	Noble	56.5	36.10	43.40
	Perry	59.0	37.20	44.60
	Vinton	55.0	34.60	41.60
	Washington	58.0	37.10	44.50

OKLAHOMA

District	County	<i>Bushels</i>		
1	Beaver	29.0	\$18.30	\$21.90
	Cimarron	24.3	15.40	18.40
	Ellis	22.9	14.40	17.30
	Harper	20.6	13.00	15.60
2	Texas	63.8	40.20	48.30
	Alfalfa	24.9	15.60	18.60
	Garfield	28.4	17.90	21.40
	Grant	42.0	26.50	31.80
	Kay	20.6	13.00	15.60
	Noble	36.7	23.20	27.70
	Woods	26.9	16.90	20.30
	Woodward	22.5	14.10	17.00
3	Craig	39.5	21.20	25.30
	Delaware	35.3	22.20	26.70
	Mayes	30.4	19.20	23.00
	Nowata	37.1	23.40	28.10
	Osage	35.3	22.20	26.70
	Ottawa	32.9	20.70	24.80
	Pawnee	36.3	22.90	27.60
	Rogers	31.6	19.90	23.90
	Trisla	36.5	22.90	27.60
	Wagoner	30.6	19.30	23.20
	Washington	32.4	20.40	24.40
4	Beckham	38.6	24.30	

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued

OKLAHOMA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
7-----	Kiowa	31.8	\$20.00	\$24.10
	Tillman	26.5	16.60	20.00
8-----	Atoka	25.5	16.10	19.30
	Bryan	31.0	19.50	23.40
	Carter	34.1	21.40	25.80
	Coal	33.8	21.30	25.60
	Garvin	45.1	28.50	34.10
	Jefferson	23.7	14.90	17.90
	Johnston	32.6	20.50	24.70
	Love	22.1	13.90	16.80
	Marshall	29.4	18.50	22.20
	Murray	31.4	19.80	23.70
	Pontotoc	36.5	22.90	27.60
	Stephens	28.7	18.10	21.70
9-----	Choctaw	29.1	18.40	22.00
	Latimer	26.3	16.60	19.90
	Le Flore	29.4	18.50	22.20
	McCurtain	34.0	21.40	25.70
	Pushmataha	29.4	18.50	22.20

OREGON

		<i>Bushels</i>		
1-----	Benton	60.0	\$39.30	\$47.20
	Clackamas	60.0	39.30	47.20
	Columbia	60.0	39.30	47.20
	Lane	60.0	39.30	47.20
	Linn	60.0	39.30	47.20
	Marion	60.0	39.30	47.20
	Multnomah	60.0	39.30	47.20
	Polk	60.0	39.30	47.20
	Washington	60.0	39.30	47.20
	Yamhill	60.0	39.30	47.20
2-----	Gilliam	60.0	39.30	47.20
	Hood River	62.0	40.60	48.70
	Morrow	83.2	54.50	65.40
	Sherman	60.0	39.30	47.20
3-----	Wasco	86.0	56.30	67.60
	Baker	82.0	53.70	64.50
7-----	Umatilla	86.6	56.70	68.10
	Douglas	67.5	44.30	53.10
	Jackson	43.4	28.40	34.10
	Josephine	78.0	51.10	61.30
8-----	Crook	60.0	39.30	47.20
	Deschutes	32.0	21.00	25.20
	Jefferson	75.0	49.10	59.00
	Malheur	76.6	50.20	60.30

PENNSYLVANIA

		<i>Bushels</i>		
1-----	Crawford	62.1	\$40.90	\$49.20
	Erie	58.8	38.80	46.60
	Forest	57.5	38.00	45.50
	Mercer	63.2	41.70	50.00
	Venango	57.2	37.80	45.30
2-----	Warren	56.4	37.20	44.60
	Bradford	57.0	37.60	45.10
	Cameron	53.2	35.10	42.10
	Clinton	62.5	41.20	49.50
	Elk	64.2	42.40	50.80
	Lycoming	65.2	43.00	51.60
	McKean	60.3	39.90	47.80
	Potter	59.0	38.90	46.70
	Sullivan	62.8	41.40	49.80
3-----	Tioga	60.2	39.70	47.70
	Lackawanna	61.5	40.70	48.70
	Susquehanna	59.2	39.10	46.90
	Wayne	64.8	42.80	51.30
4-----	Wyoming	66.8	44.10	52.90
	Armstrong	58.2	38.40	46.10
	Beaver	57.7	38.00	45.70
	Butler	60.2	39.70	47.70
	Clarion	57.9	38.30	45.80
	Indiana	56.6	37.40	44.90
	Jefferson	54.2	35.80	42.90
5-----	Lawrence	60.6	40.00	48.00
	Blair	64.4	42.50	51.00
	Cambria	54.6	36.00	43.30
	Centre	64.5	42.50	51.10
	Clearfield	52.2	34.50	41.30
	Columbia	61.2	40.40	48.40
	Dauphin	57.8	38.10	45.80
	Huntingdon	59.8	39.50	47.40
	Juniata	62.2	41.10	49.20
	Mifflin	63.8	42.10	50.60
	Montour	54.6	36.00	43.30
	Northumberland	59.5	39.30	47.10
	Perry	61.2	40.40	48.40
	Snyder	57.8	38.10	45.80
6-----	Union	62.4	41.20	49.40
	Carbon	54.6	36.00	43.30
	Lehigh	65.6	43.30	52.00
	Luzerne	58.0	38.30	45.90

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued

PENNSYLVANIA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
6-----	Monroe	60.8	\$40.10	\$48.20
	Northampton	62.6	41.30	49.60
	Pike	64.0	42.20	50.70
	Schuylkill	56.6	37.40	44.90
7-----	Allegheny	55.0	36.30	43.60
	Fayette	60.0	39.60	47.50
	Greene	56.6	37.40	44.90
	Somerset	60.6	40.00	48.00
	Washington	56.3	37.20	44.60
	Westmoreland	57.4	37.90	45.40
8-----	Adams	57.5	38.00	45.50
	Bedford	59.4	39.20	47.00
	Cumberland	61.4	40.50	48.60
	Franklin	59.5	39.30	47.10
	Fulton	58.9	38.80	46.60
9-----	York	60.8	40.10	48.20
	Berks	60.2	39.70	47.70
	Bucks	60.6	40.00	48.00
	Chester	64.7	42.80	51.20
	Delaware	58.0	38.30	45.90
	Lancaster	72.0	47.50	57.00
	Lebanon	61.6	40.70	48.80
	Montgomery	58.6	38.70	46.50
	Philadelphia	60.2	39.70	47.70

RHODE ISLAND

		<i>Bushels</i>		
	Bristol	45.5	\$31.00	\$37.10
	Kent	46.5	31.00	37.10
	Newport	36.5	24.80	29.80
	Providence	51.3	34.80	41.90
	Washington	47.4	32.20	38.60

SOUTH CAROLINA

		<i>Bushels</i>		
1-----	Anderson	24.7	\$15.90	\$18.90
	Cherokee	24.2	15.50	18.60
	Greenville	27.5	17.70	21.10
	Laurens	23.1	14.80	17.80
	Oconee	29.2	18.70	22.40
	Pickens	26.6	17.00	20.50
	Spartanburg	26.2	16.80	20.10
2-----	Union	19.8	12.70	15.20
	Chester	22.8	14.60	17.50
	Fairfield	20.9	13.30	16.00
	Kershaw	25.5	16.40	19.60
	Lancaster	23.6	15.10	18.20
	York	23.8	15.20	18.30
3-----	Chesterfield	24.3	15.60	18.70
	Darlington	34.2	21.90	26.20
	Dillon	34.6	22.10	26.60
	Florence	32.1	20.50	24.70
	Georgetown	30.4	19.50	23.30
	Horry	35.4	22.70	27.10
	Marion	34.6	22.10	26.60
	Marlboro	28.4	18.20	21.80
4-----	Williamsburg	33.2	21.20	25.50
	Abbeville	22.8	14.60	17.50
	Aiken	22.5	14.30	17.30
	Edgefield	22.3	14.30	17.20
	Greenwood	24.2	15.50	18.60
	McCormick	18.9	12.00	14.50
	Newberry	23.1	14.80	17.80
	Saluda	26.5	16.90	20.40
5-----	Calhoun	31.2	20.00	23.60
	Clarendon	29.8	19.10	22.90
	Lee	33.0	21.10	25.30
	Lexington	26.2	16.80	20.10
	Orangeburg	29.4	18.80	22.50
	Richland	29.7	18.90	22.80
8-----	Allendale	34.0	21.80	26.10
	Bamberg	30.0	19.20	23.00
	Barnwell	27.6	17.70	21.20
	Beaufort	36.9	23.60	28.30
	Berkeley	33.2	21.20	25.50
	Charleston	33.0	21.10	25.30
	Colleton	31.0	19.80	23.80
	Dorchester	29.9	19.20	22.90
	Hampton	37.7	24.10	28.90
	Jasper	35.2	22.50	27.00

SOUTH DAKOTA

		<i>Bushels</i>		
1-----	Butte	48.5	\$27.60	\$33.20
	Corson	16.5	9.20	11.10
	Dewey	14.7	8.30	9.90
	Harding	16.2	9.20	11.10
	Perkins	14.0	7.90	9.50

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued

SOUTH DAKOTA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
1-----	Ziebach	13.5	\$7.70	\$9.20
2-----	Brown	25.2	13.70	16.50
	Campbell	21.4	11.80	14.10
	Edmunds	20.4	11.10	13.30
	Faulk	21.3	11.70	14.10
	McPherson	22.5	12.20	14.70
	Potter	20.7	11.50	13.80
	Spink	24.1	13.10	15.80
3-----	Walworth	23.0	12.80	15.30
	Clark	25.1	13.70	16.50
	Codington	26.5	14.40	17.30
	Day	24.0	13.10	15.70
	Deuel	34.6	18.90	22.70
	Grant	36.2	19.70	23.70
	Hamlin	32.9	17.90	21.60
	Marshall	28.7	15.70	18.70
4-----	Roberts	35.0	19.10	22.90
	Haakon	15.6	8.70	10.50
	Jackson	15.7	8.80	10.60
	Lawrence	32.0	18.20	21.90
	Meade	18.2	10.30	12.30
	Pennington	14.5	8.20	9.90
	Stanley	16.6	9.30	11.20
5-----	Aurora	27.0	14.70	17.70
	Beadle	23.8	13.00	15.60
	Brule	23.4	12.80	15.30
	Buffalo	22.3	12.20	14.60
	Hand	22.8	12.40	14.90
	Hughes	18.8	10.30	12.40
	Hyde	21.8	12.00	14.40
	Jerauld	24.5	13.30	16.00
	Sully	18.4	10.10	12.10
6-----	Brookings	35.5	19.40	23.20
	Davison	29.4	16.00	19.20
	Hanson	32.2	17.50	21.00
	Kingsbury	33.0	18.00	21.60
	Lake	39.5	21.60	25.80
	McCook	35.0	19.10	22.90
	Miner	29.4	16.00	19.20
	Minnehaha	43.8	23.90	28.70
	Moody	39.8	21.70	26.10
7-----	Sanborn	27.4	14.90	17.90
	Banner	20.0	11.40	13.70
	Custer	42.8	25.00	30.10
	Fall River	41.7	24.50	29.50
	Shannon	22.8	13.20	15.90
	Washabaugh	17.0	9.60	11.50
8-----	Gregory	28.3	15.50	18.50
	Jones	15.4	8.60	10.30
	Lyman	22.3	12.30	14.70
	Mellette	16.9	9.40	11.30
	Todd	16.5	9.20	11.10
9-----	Tripp	23.8	13.10	15.70
	Bon Homme	33.4	18.20	21.80
	Charles Mix	27.2	14.80	17.80
	Clay	44.8	24.40	29.30
	Douglas	27.8	15.20	18.20
	Hutchinson	32.6	17.80	21.40
	Lincoln	43.8	23.90	28.70
	Turner	40.8	22.20	26.70
	Union	48.8	26.60	31.90
	Yankton	39.0	21.30	25.50

TENNESSEE

		<i>Bushels</i>		
1-----	Dyer	45.0	\$28.10	\$33.80
	Lake	58.8	36.80	44.10
	Lauderdale	39.5	24.80	29.60
	Obion	49.0	30.60	36.80

RULES AND REGULATIONS

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Corn—Continued

TENNESSEE—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
3	Robertson	44.2	\$28.10	\$33.70
	Steward	37.4	23.70	28.40
	Wayne	34.5	21.80	26.30
4	Bedford	38.2	24.40	29.30
	Cannon	38.2	24.60	29.50
	Clay	37.5	24.30	29.00
	Davidson	36.6	23.40	28.20
	De Kalb	40.8	26.30	31.60
	Giles	31.5	20.10	24.00
	Jackson	38.0	24.50	29.40
	Lincoln	34.8	22.10	26.50
	Macon	35.0	22.40	26.90
	Marshall	36.5	23.50	28.30
	Mauzy	35.5	22.60	27.10
	Moore	36.8	23.70	28.50
	Rutherford	36.2	23.20	27.80
	Smith	41.8	26.80	32.10
	Sumner	38.8	24.80	29.80
	Trousdale	36.3	23.30	27.90
	Williamson	35.8	22.90	27.50
	Wilson	38.2	24.40	29.30
5	Bledsoe	43.2	27.90	33.40
	Coffee	42.2	27.00	32.40
	Cumberland	43.6	28.10	33.80
	Fentress	42.6	27.50	33.00
	Franklin	46.2	29.30	35.20
	Grundy	47.7	30.70	36.90
	Marion	44.0	28.20	33.80
	Morgan	41.7	26.80	32.20
	Overton	37.0	23.90	28.60
	Pickett	44.8	28.90	34.70
	Pfaff	36.0	23.20	27.90
	Scott	46.2	29.80	35.70
	Sequatchie	48.2	31.10	37.30
	Van Buren	41.8	27.00	32.40
	Warren	42.0	27.10	32.50
6	White	41.0	26.40	31.70
	Anderson	42.2	27.20	32.60
	Blount	45.2	29.20	35.00
	Bradley	40.4	26.10	31.20
	Campbell	41.0	26.40	31.70
	Carter	52.8	34.10	40.90
	Claiborne	47.8	30.80	37.00
	Coke	45.8	29.50	35.50
	Grainger	42.2	27.20	32.60
	Greene	47.2	30.40	36.50
	Hamblen	43.2	27.90	33.40
	Hamilton	34.8	22.40	27.00
	Hancock	50.0	32.20	38.70
	Hawkins	47.0	30.30	36.40
	Jefferson	46.1	29.70	35.70
	Johnson	66.8	43.10	51.70
	Knox	41.8	27.00	32.40
	Loudon	43.2	27.90	33.40
	McMinn	38.5	24.80	29.80
	Meigs	39.2	25.30	30.30
	Monroe	39.2	25.30	30.30
	Polk	38.6	24.90	29.90
	Rhea	41.0	26.40	31.70
	Roane	38.7	25.00	29.90
	Sevier	44.5	28.60	34.40
	Sullivan	43.6	28.10	33.80
	Union	50.0	32.20	38.70
	Unicoi	38.6	24.90	29.90
	Washington	53.5	34.60	41.40

TEXAS

1-N	Armstrong	22.9	\$14.50	\$17.40
	Briscoe	32.5	20.60	24.80
	Carson	75.4	47.90	57.40
	Castro	74.7	47.50	56.90
	Dallam	32.7	20.80	24.90
	Deaf Smith	64.5	40.90	49.10
	Floyd	71.1	45.20	54.20
	Gray	34.6	22.00	26.40
	Hale	73.2	46.50	55.80
	Hansford	73.3	46.50	55.90
	Hartley	59.3	37.60	45.20
	Hemphill	37.2	23.60	28.30
	Hutchinson	85.0	54.00	64.80
	Lipscomb	65.9	41.90	50.20
	Moore	94.6	60.10	72.10
	Ochiltree	68.3	43.40	52.10
	Oldham	50.0	31.80	38.10
	Farmer	73.2	46.50	55.80
	Potter	55.8	35.40	42.50
	Randall	47.8	30.40	36.40
	Sherman	74.3	47.20	56.60
	Swisher	81.6	51.80	62.20
1-S	Andrews	14.0	8.90	10.70
	Bailey	39.1	24.90	29.80
	Cochran	23.6	15.00	18.00
	Crosby	39.6	25.10	30.20
	Dawson	19.8	12.60	15.10

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Corn—Continued

TEXAS—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
1-S	Gaines	19.4	\$12.30	\$14.70
	Glasscock	52.2	33.10	39.80
	Hockley	42.6	27.10	32.50
	Howard	19.6	12.40	15.00
	Lamb	62.2	39.50	47.40
	Lubbock	30.1	19.00	23.00
	Lynn	30.4	19.30	23.10
	Martin	13.2	8.40	10.00
	Midland	40.1	25.40	30.60
	Terry	24.2	15.40	18.40
	Yoakum	35.0	22.20	26.70
2-N	Borden	20.0	12.70	15.20
	Childress	23.0	14.60	17.50
	Collingsworth	32.7	20.80	24.90
	Cottle	22.0	14.00	16.80
	Dickens	14.6	9.30	11.20
	Donley	32.0	20.30	24.40
	Foard	37.2	23.60	28.30
	Garza	27.6	17.50	21.10
	Hall	18.2	11.60	13.80
	Hardeman	20.4	13.00	15.50
	Kent	19.5	12.40	14.90
	Kling	15.0	9.50	11.40
	Motley	20.3	13.00	15.50
	Wheeler	21.0	13.30	16.00
	Wichita	28.4	18.00	21.60
2-S	Wilbarger	21.2	13.50	16.10
	Bayler	19.8	12.60	15.10
	Coleman	15.6	9.90	11.90
	Fisher	14.2	9.00	10.80
	Haskell	18.6	11.80	14.20
	Jones	18.2	10.20	12.30
	Knox	27.4	17.40	20.80
	Mitchell	18.0	11.40	13.70
	Nolan	14.0	8.90	10.70
	Runnels	20.5	13.00	15.60
	Scurry	14.0	8.90	10.70
	Stonewall	19.2	12.20	14.60
	Taylor	18.5	11.70	14.10
3	Archer	27.0	17.10	20.60
	Brown	20.3	13.00	15.50
	Callahan	14.2	9.00	10.80
	Clay	19.7	12.40	15.00
	Comanche	22.0	14.00	16.80
	Eastland	18.6	11.80	14.20
	Erath	17.8	11.30	13.60
	Hood	19.5	12.40	14.90
	Jack	15.8	10.00	12.10
	Mills	20.6	13.10	15.70
	Montague	21.6	13.70	16.50
	Palo Pinto	15.2	9.70	11.60
	Parker	27.7	17.50	21.10
	Shackelford	20.0	12.70	15.20
	Somervell	19.5	12.40	14.90
	Stephens	16.4	10.40	12.40
	Throckmorton	17.2	10.90	13.10
	Wise	22.3	14.20	17.00
	Young	14.6	9.30	11.20
4	Bell	30.6	19.40	23.40
	Bosque	23.4	18.00	21.60
	Collin	33.5	21.30	25.50
	Cooke	32.4	20.60	24.60
	Coryell	25.6	16.30	19.60
	Dallas	27.8	17.70	21.20
	Delta	23.2	14.70	17.70
	Denton	31.3	19.80	23.90
	Ellis	23.2	14.70	17.70
	Falls	25.8	16.40	19.70
	Fannin	29.0	18.40	22.10
	Grayson	33.2	21.10	25.30
	Hamilton	21.0	13.30	16.00
	Hill	26.4	16.80	20.10
	Hunt	22.2	14.10	16.90
	Johnson	26.6	16.90	20.30
	Kaufman	22.7	14.50	17.30
	Lamar	27.1	17.30	20.70
	Limestone	17.4	11.00	13.20
	McLennan	27.1	17.30	20.70
	Milan	26.3	16.80	20.10
	Navarro	22.1	14.00	16.90
	Rockwall	27.8	17.70	21.20
	Tarrant	28.3	18.00	21.60
	Williamson	26.2	16.60	19.90
5-N	Anderson	22.4	14.20	17.00
	Bowie	39.0	24.80	29.70
	Camp	28.0	17.80	21.30
	Cass	28.2	17.90	21.50
	Cerokee	29.0	18.40	22.10
	Franklin	32.3	20.60	24.60
	Gregg	24.3	15.50	18.50
	Harrison	26.8	17.00	20.40
	Henderson	26.4	16.80	20.10
	Hopkins	25.5	16.30	19.40
	Houston	25.5	16.30	19.40
	Marion	18.6	11.80	14.20
	Morris	27.7	17.50	21.10
	Nacogdoches	30.0	19.00	22.90

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Corn—Continued

TEXAS—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
5-N	Panola	29.6	\$18.80	\$22.60
	Rains	22.6	14.40	17.30
	Red River	28.5	18.00	21.70
	Rusk	26.1	16.50	19.90
	Shelby	29.6	18.80	22.60
	Smith	28.6	18.20	21.80
	Titus	34.2	21.70	26.00
	Upshur	29.2	18.50	22.20
	Van Zandt	26.6	16.90	20.30
	Wood	24.8	16.90	20.30
5-S	Angelina	25.7	16.30	19.60
	Brazos	23.2	14.70	17.70
	Freestone	18.6	11.80	14.20
	Grimes	24.5	15.50	18.70
	Hardin	25.8	16.40	19.70
	Jasper	28.0	17.80	21.30
	Leon	21.4	13.60	16.30
	Madison	20.4	13.00	15.50
	Montgomery	21.7	13.70	16.50
	Newton	23.8	15.10	18.20
	Polk	25.0	15.90	19.00
	Robertson	23.9	15.20	18.20
	Sabine	27.1	17.30	20.70
	San Augustine	23.0	14.60	17.50
	San Jacinto	20.2	12.80	15.40
	Trinity	24.6	15.60	18.80
	Tyler	24.2	15.40	18.40
	Walker	19.4	12.30	14.70
	Waller	29.4	18.70	22.40
6	Brewster	30.6	19.40	23.40
	Crane			
	Culberson	30.5	19.30	23.20
	Ector			
	El Paso	30.2	19.20	23.00
	Hudspeth	32.5	20.60	24.80
	Jeff Davis	30.5	19.30	23.20
	Peecos	59.4	37.70	45.20
	Presidio	27.9	17.80	21.20
	Reeves	29.8	18.90	22.70
	Terrell	29.5	18.80	22.50
	Ward	30.0	19.00	22.90
	Winkler			
7	Bandera	19.6	12.40	15.00
	Blanco	19.8	12.60	15.10
	Burnet	24.4	15.50	18.50
	Coke	19.4	12.30	14.70
	Concho	23.0	14.60	17.50
	Crockett	40.0	25.40	30.50
	Edwards	17.5	11.20	13.30
	Gillespie	20.3	13.00	15.50
	Kendall	19.6	12.40	15.00
	Kerr	23.6	15.00	18.00
	Kimble	22.0	14.00	16.80
	Kinney	56.8	36.10	43.30
	Lampasas	22.0	14.00	16.80
	Llano	17.6	11.20	13.50
	McCulloch	19.6	12.40	15.00

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued

TEXAS—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
0	Bushels			
	Galveston	31.4	\$19.90	\$23.90
	Harris	25.8	16.40	19.70
	Jackson	22.4	14.20	17.00
	Jefferson	21.0	13.30	16.00
	Liberty	20.2	12.80	15.40
	Matagorda	18.0	11.40	13.70
	Orange	23.7	15.00	18.00
	Victoria	23.0	14.60	17.50
	Wharton	29.8	18.90	22.70
	Atascosa	17.2	10.90	13.10
	Brooks	16.2	10.30	12.30
	Dimmit	28.2	17.90	21.50
10-N	Duval	13.0	8.30	9.90
	Frio	27.8	17.70	21.20
	Jim Hogg	18.0	11.40	13.70
	Jim Wells	22.0	14.00	16.80
	La Salle	21.4	13.60	16.30
	Live Oak	23.1	14.70	17.50
	McMullen	24.4	15.50	18.50
	Maverick	51.8	32.90	39.50
	Starr	20.4	13.00	15.50
	Webb	19.7	12.40	15.00
	Zapata	15.2	9.70	11.60
	Zavala	52.2	33.10	39.80
10-S	Cameron	33.0	21.00	25.10
	Hidalgo	35.5	22.60	27.00
	Willacy	33.7	21.30	25.70

UTAH

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
1	Bushels			
	Box Elder	50.6	\$33.90	\$40.70
	Cache	52.3	35.10	42.10
	Davis	60.8	40.70	48.90
	Morgan	48.4	32.40	38.90
	Rich	41.6	27.90	33.50
	Salt Lake	50.1	33.50	40.30
	Tooele	45.8	30.70	36.80
	Weber	50.1	33.50	40.30
	Juab	47.4	31.80	38.10
	Millard	50.8	34.00	40.90
	Sanpete	43.0	28.80	34.60
	Sevier	48.1	32.20	38.70
5	Utah	52.4	35.10	42.10
	Carbon	39.7	26.50	31.90
	Daggett	38.7	26.00	31.10
	Duchesne	37.7	25.20	30.30
	Emery	43.2	28.90	34.70
	Grand	39.6	26.50	31.90
	San Juan	31.3	20.90	25.20
	Summit	38.7	26.00	31.10
	Uintah	42.0	28.10	33.80
	Wasatch	38.7	26.00	31.10
	Beaver	45.3	30.30	36.40
	Garfield	40.0	26.80	32.20
7	Iron	37.7	25.20	30.30
	Kane	38.7	26.00	31.10
	Piute	31.6	21.20	25.50
	Washington	35.9	24.10	28.80
	Wayne	41.1	27.60	33.10

VERMONT

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
2	Bushels			
	Addison	53.0	\$36.00	\$43.20
	Bennington	69.5	47.30	56.70
	Caledonia	56.4	38.40	46.00
	Chittenden	66.0	44.90	53.90
	Essex	51.5	35.10	42.00
	Franklin	53.0	36.00	43.20
	Grand Isle	50.5	34.30	41.20
	Lamoille	57.5	39.20	46.90
	Orange	64.0	43.50	52.20
	Orleans	56.4	38.40	46.00
	Rutland	53.0	36.00	43.20
	Washington	64.5	43.80	52.60
3	Windham	65.0	44.20	53.00
	Windsor	55.5	37.80	45.30

VIRGINIA

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
2	Bushels			
	Clarke	57.0	\$37.30	\$44.80
	Culpeper	50.0	32.80	39.30
	Fairfax	55.0	36.00	43.20
	Fauquier	52.0	34.10	40.90
	Frederick	49.0	32.10	38.50
	Loudoun	59.5	39.00	46.80
	Madison	48.0	31.40	37.70
	Page	49.5	32.50	38.90
	Prince William	60.0	39.30	47.20
	Rappahannock	51.5	33.80	40.50

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued

VIRGINIA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
2	Bushels			
	Rockingham	57.0	\$37.30	\$44.80
	Shenandoah	54.5	35.60	42.80
	Stafford	47.0	30.80	36.90
	Warren	52.0	34.10	40.90
	Alleghany	58.5	38.30	46.00
	Augusta	63.5	41.70	49.90
	Bath	61.5	40.30	48.30
	Botetourt	51.5	33.80	40.50
	Craig	59.5	39.00	46.80
	Highland	59.5	39.00	46.80
	Roanoke	50.0	32.80	39.30
	Rockbridge	53.4	35.00	41.90
4	Albemarle	45.0	29.50	35.40
	Amelia	40.5	26.50	31.80
	Amherst	40.5	26.50	31.80
	Appomattox	41.0	26.90	32.20
	Bedford	42.5	27.80	33.40
	Buckingham	41.0	26.90	32.20
	Campbell	38.0	24.90	29.90
	Caroline	46.5	30.40	36.50
	Chesterfield	42.5	27.80	33.40
	Cumberland	41.0	26.90	32.20
	Fluvanna	46.0	30.10	36.20
	Goochland	41.0	26.90	32.20
	Greene	44.6	29.20	35.10
5	Hanover	44.0	28.80	34.60
	Henrico	46.5	30.40	36.50
	Louisa	48.0	31.40	37.70
	Nelson	50.0	32.80	39.30
	Orange	49.0	32.10	38.50
	Powhatan	41.5	27.20	32.60
	Prince Edward	39.0	25.50	30.70
	Spotsylvania	50.5	33.00	39.70
	Accomack	57.5	37.70	45.20
	Charles City	54.5	35.60	42.80
	Elizabeth City	52.0	34.10	40.90
	Essex	51.5	33.80	40.50
	Gloucester	52.0	34.10	40.90
6	James City	54.0	35.40	42.40
	King and Queen	50.5	33.00	39.70
	King George	48.5	31.70	38.10
	King William	50.5	33.00	39.70
	Lancaster	52.0	34.10	40.90
	Mathews	50.5	33.00	39.70
	Middlesex	49.0	32.10	38.50
	New Kent	55.5	36.40	43.60
	Northampton	52.0	34.10	40.90
	Northumberland	55.9	36.70	43.90
	Richmond	51.5	33.80	40.50
	Warwick	52.0	34.10	40.90
	Westmoreland	51.8	33.90	40.70
7	York	52.0	34.10	40.90
	Bland	58.0	38.00	45.60
	Buchanan	43.0	28.20	33.80
	Carroll	54.0	35.40	42.40
	Dickenson	46.0	30.10	36.20
	Floyd	58.0	38.00	45.60
	Giles	48.0	31.40	37.70
	Grayson	63.0	41.30	49.50
	Lee	53.0	34.70	41.70
	Montgomery	51.0	33.40	40.10
	Pulaski	53.5	35.10	42.10
	Russell	54.0	35.40	42.40
	Scott	48.5	31.70	38.10
8	Smyth	60.0	39.30	47.20
	Tazewell	57.5	37.70	45.20
	Washington	57.5	37.70	45.20
	Wise	47.5	31.20	37.30
	Wythe	55.0	36.00	43.20
	Charlotte	33.0	21.60	25.90
	Franklin	38.0	24.90	29.90
	Halifax	32.0	21.00	25.20
	Henry	32.0	21.00	25.20
	Lunenburg	36.8	24.10	29.00
	Nottaway	45.5	29.90	35.80
	Patrick	47.5	31.20	37.30
	Pittsylvania	32.0	21.00	25.20
9	Brunswick	34.0	22.30	26.70
	Dinwiddie	40.0	26.20	31.40
	Greensville	41.0	26.90	32.20
	Isle of Wight	52.0	34.10	40.90
	Mecklenburg	32.3	21.20	25.40
	Nansemond	52.0	34.10	40.90
	Norfolk	63.0	41.30	49.50
	Prince George	48.5	31.70	38.10
	Princess Anne	64.5	42.20	50.70
	Southampton	52.5	34.30	41.30
	Surry	54.0	35.40	42.40
	Sussex	48.0	31.40	37.70

WASHINGTON

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
2	Bushels			
	Benton	77.8	\$50.20	\$60.20
	Kittitas	71.8	46.30	55.60
	Yakima	77.5	50.10	60.00

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued

WASHINGTON—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
5	Bushels			
	Adams	89.0	\$57.40	\$68.90
	Franklin	88.2	56.90	68.20
	Grant	90.2	58.20	69.80
	Columbia	45.0	29.00	34.80
9	Walla Walla	54.0	34.80	41.80

WEST VIRGINIA

		<i>Bushels</i>		
2-----	Barbour	63.6	\$41.70	\$50.00
	Brooke	54.4	35.60	42.70
	Doddridge	55.2	36.20	43.40
	Hancock	43.3	28.30	34.10
	Harrison	50.0	32.80	39.30
	Lewis	56.8	37.20	44.70
	Marion	51.2	33.50	40.20
	Marshall	54.0	35.40	42.40
	Monongalia	57.2	37.50	44.90
	Ohio	58.6	38.40	46.10
	Pleasants	46.8	30.70	36.80
	Preston	50.3	33.00	39.60
	Ritchie	46.0	30.10	36.20
	Taylor	42.9	28.00	33.70
	Tyler	52.0	34.10	40.90
	Upshur	50.8	33.30	40.00
	Wetzel	48.4	31.70	38.00
4-----	Wood	57.6	37.70	45.30
	Boone	43.4	28.40	34.10
	Braxton	53.1	34.80	41.80
	Cabell	43.4	28.40	34.10
	Calhoun	44.0	28.80	34.60
	Clay	42.8	28.00	33.70
	Fayette	47.2	30.90	37.10
	Gilmer	47.7	31.20	37.50
	Jackson	48.8	32.00	38.40
	Kanawha	42.8	28.00	33.70
	Lincoln	36.9	24.10	29.00
	Logan	33.6	22.00	26.50
	Mason	51.0	33.40	40.10
	McDowell	32.0	21.00	25.20
	Mercer	45.0	29.50	35.40
	Mingo	32.0	21.00	25.20
	Nicholas	57.0	37.30	44.80
	Putnam	50.0	30.70	36.20
	Raleigh	46.0	30.10	36.80
	Rosne	48.8	32.00	38.40
	Wayne	39.6	25.90	31.20
	Webster	55.4	36.30	43.50
	Wirt	52.6	34.50	41.40
	Wyoming	32.1	21.00	25.30
6-----	Berkeley	55.6	36.40	43.80
	Grant	54.4	35.60	42.70
	Greenbrier	58.3	38.30	45.80
	Hampshire	51.8	33.90	40.70
	Hardy	53.9	35.40	42.30
	Jefferson	53.4	35.00	41.90
	Mineral	50.7	33.30	39.80
	Monroe	57.1	37.50	44.90
	Morgan	48.0	31.40	37.70
	Pendleton	51.2	33.50	40.20
	Pocahontas	58.7	38.50	46.10
	Randolph	56.0	36.70	44.00
	Summers	42.6	27.90	33.50
	Tucker	60.8	39.80	47.80

RULES AND REGULATIONS

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Corn—Continued

WISCONSIN—continued				
District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per cent pay- ment rate per acre
4	Eau Claire	63.0	\$37.50	\$45.00
	Jackson	59.5	35.50	42.50
	La Crosse	69.8	41.20	49.40
	Monroe	65.2	38.80	46.50
	Pepin	64.2	37.00	45.40
5	Pierce	62.0	36.60	43.90
	St. Croix	59.0	34.80	41.80
	Trempealeau	64.2	37.90	45.40
	Adams	50.2	30.10	36.10
	Green Lake	68.8	41.60	50.00
6	Janeau	56.0	33.60	40.30
	Marquette	57.2	34.60	41.50
	Portage	57.0	34.50	41.40
	Waupaca	62.0	37.80	45.40
	Wausara	53.8	32.50	39.10
7	Wood	54.8	32.90	39.50
	Brown	64.0	39.00	46.80
	Calumet	65.0	39.60	47.60
	Door	55.8	34.30	41.20
	Fond du Lac	68.0	41.10	49.40
8	Kewaunee	64.0	39.40	47.20
	Manitowoc	62.0	38.10	45.80
	Outagamie	69.5	42.10	50.50
	Sheboygan	65.2	39.80	47.70
	Winnebago	65.5	40.00	47.90
9	Crawford	65.0	38.40	46.00
	Grant	68.8	40.60	48.70
	Iowa	69.0	41.40	49.70
	Lafayette	70.0	42.00	50.40
	Richland	64.8	38.60	46.30
10	Sauk	67.8	40.70	48.80
	Vernon	63.0	37.20	44.60
	Columbia	71.2	43.10	51.70
	Dane	75.0	45.40	54.40
	Dodge	74.5	45.00	54.00
11	Green	71.8	43.10	51.70
	Jefferson	73.0	44.20	53.00
	Rock	72.5	43.80	52.60
	Kenosha	69.0	42.10	50.50
	Milwaukee	62.2	37.90	45.50
12	Ozaukee	63.8	38.90	46.70
	Racine	71.0	43.30	52.00
	Walworth	72.0	43.60	52.30
	Washington	69.0	41.70	50.10
	Waukesha	72.8	44.00	52.90

WYOMING

District	County	Bushels	50 per cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per cent pay- ment rate per acre
1	Big Horn	67.0	\$40.90	\$49.00
	Fremont	63.0	38.40	46.10
	Hot Springs	64.0	39.00	46.80
	Park	66.0	40.30	48.30
	Washakie	66.0	40.30	48.30
2	Campbell	17.0	10.40	12.40
	Crook	30.7	18.80	22.40
	Johnson	55.0	33.60	40.30
	Sheridan	43.1	26.40	31.60
	Weston	20.2	12.30	14.80
3	Natrona	44.0	26.80	32.20
	Converse	30.7	18.80	22.40
	Goshen	65.3	39.80	47.80
	Laramie	27.4	16.70	20.00
	Niobrara	20.7	12.70	15.10
4	Platte	56.6	34.50	41.50

\$ 775.154 County average yields and
county payment rates for grain
sorghums.

County average yields and county
minimum acre payment rates (50 percent
payment rate per acre) and additional
acre payment rates (60 percent payment
rate per acre) for grain sorghums are
as follows:

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Grain Sorghums

ALABAMA				
District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per cent pay- ment rate per acre
1	Colbert	19.6	\$11.00	\$13.20
	Fayette	19.6	11.00	13.20
	Franklin	25.4	14.20	17.00
	Lamar	15.0	8.40	10.10
	Marion	25.0	14.00	16.80
2	Lauderdale	24.1	13.40	16.20
	Lawrence	28.5	15.90	19.20
	Limestone	25.2	14.10	16.90
	Madison	31.3	17.50	21.10
	Marshall	26.2	14.70	17.60
3	Morgan	21.3	11.90	14.30
	Bibb	29.6	16.60	19.90
	Blount	25.4	14.20	17.00
	Chilton	22.0	12.30	14.80
	Cullman	23.2	13.00	15.60
4	Jefferson	23.2	13.00	15.60
	Saint Clair	29.0	16.20	19.50
	Shelby	29.0	16.20	19.50
	Walker	26.8	15.00	18.00
	Winston	22.5	12.50	15.10
5	Calhoun	20.8	11.60	14.00
	Cherokee	28.6	16.00	19.30
	Cleburne	20.5	11.40	13.80
	De Kalb	26.5	14.80	17.80
	Etowah	24.0	13.40	16.10
6	Jackson	26.5	14.80	17.80
	Greene	19.0	10.60	12.80
	Hale	19.0	10.60	12.80
	Marengo	27.0	15.10	18.10
	Pickens	27.0	15.10	18.10
7	Sumter	23.0	12.90	15.50
	Tuscaloosa	24.5	13.70	16.50
	Autauga	20.6	11.50	13.90
	Dallas	19.0	10.60	12.80
	Elmore	27.5	15.50	18.50
8	Lowndes	19.5	11.00	13.10
	Montgomery	23.0	12.90	15.50
	Perry	23.0	12.90	15.50
	Wilcox	22.5	12.50	15.10
	Chambers	15.8	8.80	10.60
9	Clay	19.5	11.00	13.10
	Coosa	12.3	6.90	8.30
	Lee	20.7	11.60	13.90
	Macon	26.2	14.70	17.60
	Randolph	15.6	8.70	10.50
10	Russell	22.5	12.50	15.10
	Talladega	26.0	14.60	17.50
	Tallapoosa	15.0	8.40	10.10
	Baldwin	35.5	19.90	23.90
	Choctaw	23.0	12.90	15.50
11	Clarke	23.2	13.00	15.60
	Mobile	30.0	16.80	20.20
	Washington	24.8	13.90	16.70
	Butler	23.0	12.90	15.50
	Conecuh	23.0	12.90	15.50
12	Covington	23.1	13.00	15.60
	Crenshaw	20.6	11.50	13.90
	Escambia	23.0	12.90	15.50
	Monroe	32.1	17.90	21.60
	Barbour	26.2	14.70	17.60
13	Bullock	27.5	15.50	18.50
	Coffee	24.2	13.60	16.20
	Dale	20.0	11.20	13.40
	Geneva	20.0	11.20	13.40
	Henry	22.5	12.50	15.10
14	Houston	20.3	11.40	13.70
	Pike	21.6	12.10	14.60

ARIZONA

District	County	Bushels	50 per cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per cent pay- ment rate per acre
2	Apache	20.0	\$9.70	\$11.60
	Cocconino	20.0	9.70	11.60
	Mohave	60.0	29.10	34.90
	Navajo	20.0	9.70	11.60
	Yavapai	30.0	15.00	18.00
5	Maricopa	59.5	37.20	44.60
	Pinal	59.5	37.20	44.60
	Yuma	46.0	29.20	35.10
	Cochise	63.0	36.90	44.20
	Gila	40.0	19.00	22.80
9	Graham	51.0	28.30	34.00

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

ARIZONA—continued				
District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per cent pay- ment rate per acre
9	Greenlee	56.5	\$26.80	\$32.20
	Pima	53.5	33.00	39.50
	Santa Cruz	40.0	24.00	28.80
ARKANSAS				
1	Benton	Bushels 26.8	\$14.10	\$16.90
	Boone	17.3	9.30	11.20
	Carroll	28.8	15.30	18.30
	Madison	34.1	17.80	21.50
2	Newton	20.0	10.80	13.00
	Washington	27.0	14.20	17.00
	Baxter	28.5	15.50	18.60
	Cleburne	27.6	16.40	19.80
3	Fulton	27.6	15.60	18.80
	Izard	18.0	9.90	11.90
	Marion	25.0	13.50	16.20
	Searcy	20.0	10.80	13.00
4	Sharp	21.0	11.90	14.20
	Stone	45.0	25.00	30.00
	Van Buren	18.2	10.30	12.30
	Clay	27.9	16.70	19.90
5	Craighead	25.6	15.20	18.30
	Greene	23.0	13.70	16.40
	Independence	27.1	15.60	18.70
	Jackson	29.1	17.40	20.80
6	Lawrence	19.5	11.70	13.90
	Mississippi	28.5	16.90	20.30
	Poinsett	28.5	16.90	20.30
	Randolph	36.6	21.80	26.20
7	White	25.9	15.50	18.40
	Crawford	22.3	11.90	14.20
	Franklin	24.0	12.80	15.40
	Johnson	45.4	24.50	29.40
8	Logan	20.2	10.50	12.90
	Polk	43.6	22.90	27.50
	Pope	16.2	8.80	10.60
	Scott	50.0	26.20	31.50
9	Sebastian	27.0	14.30	17.20
	Yell	25.6	14.00	16.80
	Conway	16.5	9.50	11.50
	Faulkner	18.5	10.90	13.10
10	Garland	10.7	5.90	7.00
	Grant	22.5	12.30	14.80
	Hot Spring	21.8	12.00	14.40
	Perry	20.2	11.00	13.20
11	Pulaski	28.8	17.00	20.40
	Saline	25.9	14.60	17.40
	Arkansas	27.8	16.50	19.90
	Crittenden	20.5	12.10	14.60
12	Cross	31.6	18.80	22.60
	Lee	43.9	26.20	31.30
	Lonoke	19.3	11.40	13.80
	Monroe	43.0	25.60	30.70
13	Phillips	22.7	13.60	16.20
	Prairie	22.6	13.40	16.20
	Saint Francis	20.4	12.10	14.50
	Woodruff	29.2	17.40	20.80
14	Hempstead	23.3	12.50	15.10
	Howard	25.4	13.60	16.30
	Lafayette	25.1	13.60	16.30
	Little River	17.7	9.40	11.30
15	Miller	13.2	7.10	8.50
	Montgomery	16.5	8.80	10.60
	Pike	40.0	21.60	25.90
	Sevier	40.6	21.30	25.60
16	Bradley	8.4	4.60	5.40
	Calhoun	34.4	18.90	22.70
	Clark	22.4	12.20	14.60
	Cleveland	19.1	10.80	12.90
17	Columbia	27.5	14.90	17.80
	Dallas	18.7	10.30	12.30
	Nevada	35.4	19.10	22.90
	Ouachita	17.5	9.60	11.40
18	Union	16.5	8.90	10.70
	Ashley	17.4	9.50	11.30
	Chicot	22.2	12.20	14.60
	Desha	20.1	11.80	14.30
19	Drew	21.4	12.10	14.50
	Jefferson	25.8	15.10	18.10
	Lincoln	25.8	15.20	18.30

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

CALIFORNIA

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
	<i>Bushels</i>			
1	Humboldt	25.0	\$14.60	\$17.60
	Mendocino	25.0	15.50	18.60
4	Alameda	57.0	37.90	45.50
	Contra Costa	90.0	59.80	71.80
	Lake	49.5	31.50	37.70
	Monterey	35.0	22.40	26.90
	Napa	39.5	26.10	31.30
	San Benito	37.5	24.30	29.00
	San Luis Obispo	40.0	25.00	30.00
	Santa Clara	55.0	36.60	43.90
	Sonoma	43.0	28.40	34.10
6	Butte	66.0	42.20	50.70
	Colusa	65.2	42.10	50.40
	Glenn	62.0	39.40	47.20
	Sacramento	79.0	52.50	63.00
	Solano	71.2	47.00	56.40
	Sutter	70.5	45.80	55.00
	Tehama	55.0	34.10	40.90
	Yuba	55.0	35.80	42.90
10	Fresno	61.0	39.30	47.20
	Kern	59.0	36.90	44.20
	Kings	51.0	32.90	39.50
	Madera	63.0	41.00	49.10
	Merced	54.5	35.90	43.20
	San Joaquin	68.5	46.20	55.50
	Stanislaus	71.0	47.20	56.70
	Tulare	61.2	39.20	47.00
11	Amador	61.0	40.60	48.70
	Eldorado	45.0	29.20	35.10
	Inyo	30.0	17.20	20.70
	Placer	71.0	46.50	55.80
12	Imperial	60.5	39.00	46.80
	Los Angeles	67.5	44.60	53.50
	Orange	27.5	17.90	21.40
	Riverside	61.5	39.40	47.20
	San Bernardino	87.5	57.40	68.80
	San Diego	38.0	24.10	29.00
	Santa Barbara	72.0	45.40	54.40
	Ventura	70.5	46.50	55.80

COLORADO

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
	<i>Bushels</i>			
2	Boulder	41.9	\$20.60	\$24.60
	Jefferson	24.4	12.00	14.30
	Larimer	39.2	19.20	23.00
	Logan	20.9	10.20	12.20
	Morgan	20.2	9.90	11.90
	Sedgewick	25.9	12.70	15.20
	Weld	22.2	10.90	13.00
6	Adams	17.6	8.60	10.40
	Arapahoe	16.0	7.80	9.40
	Cheyenne	14.5	7.10	8.50
	Douglas	13.2	6.50	7.70
	Elbert	13.2	6.50	7.70
	El Paso	15.4	7.50	9.00
	Kiowa	14.9	7.30	8.70
	Kit Carson	17.5	8.60	10.30
	Lincoln	15.6	7.60	9.20
	Phillips	21.6	10.60	12.70
	Washington	16.5	8.00	9.70
	Yuma	20.2	9.90	11.90
7	Delta	48.0	23.50	28.20
	Dolores	15.0	7.40	8.80
	Garfield	44.5	21.80	26.20
	La Plata	16.5	8.00	9.70
	Mesa	56.5	27.60	33.20
	Montezuma	17.0	8.30	10.00
	Montrose	37.4	18.30	22.00
9	Baca	21.0	10.60	12.70
	Bent	52.0	25.50	30.60
	Crowley	41.0	20.10	24.10
	Custer	12.6	6.20	7.40
	Fremont	37.0	18.10	21.80
	Huerfano	19.6	9.60	11.60
	Las Animas	15.4	7.50	9.00
	Otero	48.3	23.70	28.40
	Powers	37.4	18.80	22.00
	Pueblo	30.1	14.70	17.70

CONNECTICUT

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
	<i>Bushels</i>			
	Hartford	52.5	\$30.10	\$36.20
	Litchfield	54.4	31.30	37.50
	New Haven	48.9	28.10	33.70

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

DELAWARE

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
	<i>Bushels</i>			
2	New Castle	55.0	\$31.60	\$38.60
5	Kent	52.0	29.90	35.90
8	Sussex	46.0	26.40	31.70

FLORIDA

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
	<i>Bushels</i>			
1	Calhoun	44.0	\$24.60	\$29.60
	Escambia	45.0	25.20	30.20
	Gadsden	42.0	23.50	28.20
	Holmes	36.5	20.40	24.50
	Jackson	40.0	22.40	26.90
	Jefferson	39.0	21.80	26.20
	Leon	38.0	21.30	25.50
	Okaloosa	39.0	21.80	26.20
	Santa Rosa	44.0	24.60	29.60
	Walton	39.0	21.80	26.20
3	Washington	39.0	21.80	26.20
	Columbia	37.0	20.70	24.90
	Hamilton	38.0	21.30	25.50
	Lafayette	35.5	19.90	23.90
	Madison	37.0	20.70	24.90
5	Suwannee	37.0	20.70	24.90
	Alachua	36.0	20.20	24.20
	Gilchrist	38.0	20.20	24.20
	Hernando	35.0	21.30	25.50
	Lake	39.0	21.80	26.20
	Levy	37.0	20.70	24.90
	Marion	37.0	20.70	24.90
	Osceola	39.0	21.80	26.20
8	Putnam	41.0	23.00	27.60
	Okeechobee	39.0	21.80	26.20

GEORGIA

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
	<i>Bushels</i>			
1	Bartow	19.0	\$10.90	\$13.10
	Catoosa	42.0	24.30	29.10
	Chattooga	23.8	13.70	16.40
	Dade	18.0	10.40	12.40
	Floyd	26.0	15.00	17.90
	Gordon	27.5	15.90	19.00
	Murray	20.2	11.60	13.90
	Paulding	20.0	11.50	13.80
	Polk	21.2	12.20	14.60
	Walker	40.0	23.00	27.60
2	Whitefield	27.5	15.90	19.00
	Barrow	39.0	22.40	26.90
	Cherokee	22.0	12.60	15.20
	Clarke	35.2	20.20	24.30
	Cobb	31.5	18.20	21.70
	Dawson	27.0	15.50	18.60
	De Kalb	20.0	11.50	13.80
	Fannin	26.0	15.00	17.90
	Forsyth	27.0	15.50	18.60
	Fulton	45.8	26.30	31.60
	Gilmer	26.0	15.00	17.90
	Gwinnett	20.8	12.00	14.40
	Hall	31.0	17.80	21.40
	Jackson	33.2	19.10	22.90
	Lumpkin	27.0	15.50	18.60
	Oconee	17.8	10.20	12.30
	Pickens	26.0	15.00	17.90
	Towns	30.0	17.20	20.70
	Union	26.2	15.10	18.10
	Walton	33.8	19.40	23.30
	White	27.0	15.50	18.60
3	Banks	32.2	18.50	22.20
	Elbert	24.2	13.90	16.70
	Franklin	16.0	9.20	11.00
	Habersham	28.0	16.10	19.30
	Hart	20.8	12.00	14.40
	Lincoln	15.0	8.60	10.40
	Madison	20.5	11.70	14.10
	Oglethorpe	18.0	10.40	12.40
	Rabun	30.0	17.20	20.70
	Stephens	28.2	16.20	19.40
	Wilkes	20.8	12.00	14.40
4	Carroll	27.0	15.50	18.60
	Chattahoochee	18.0	10.40	12.40
	Clayton	24.8	14.30	17.10
	Coweta	16.0	9.20	11.00
	Douglas	14.2	8.20	9.80
	Fayette	35.7	20.50	24.60
	Haralson	21.2	12.20	14.60
	Harris	16.5	9.40	11.40
	Heard	35.7	20.50	24.60
	Henry	16.2	9.30	11.20
	Lamar	15.0	8.60	10.40

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

GEORGIA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
		<i>Bushels</i>		
4-----	Macon	30.0	\$17.20	\$20.70
	Marion	26.0	15.00	17.90
	Mertwether	34.8	20.00	24.00
	Muscogee	18.0	10.40	12.40
	Pike	22.8	13.10	15.80
	Schley	40.0	23.00	27.60
	Spalding	28.8	16.60	19.90
	Talbot	30.0	17.20	20.70
	Taylor	27.5	15.90	19.00
	Troup	22.0	12.60	15.20
	Upson	24.0	13.80	16.60
5-----	Baldwin	27.8	16.00	19.20
	Bibb	19.4	11.20	13.30
	Bleckley	25.0	14.40	17.20
	Butts	45.0	25.90	31.00
	Crawford	20.8	12.00	14.40
	Dodge	29.2	16.80	20.10
	Greene	15.0	8.60	10.40
	Hancock	26.5	15.20	18.30
	Houston	21.2	12.20	14.60
	Jasper	28.0	16.10	19.30
	Johnson	18.0	10.40	12.40
	Jones	32.2	18.50	22.20
	Laurens	24.2	13.90	16.70
	Monroe	30.0	17.20	20.70
	Montgomery	17.2	9.90	11.80
	Morgan	28.5	16.30	19.70
	Newton	30.0	17.20	20.70
	Peach	24.0	13.80	16.60
	Pulaski	25.8	14.80	17.80
	Putnam	18.8	10.80	13.00
	Rockdale	24.5	14.00	16.90
	Taliaferro	18.0	10.40	12.40
	Treutlen	20.0	11.50	13.80
	Twiggs	20.2	11.60	13.90
	Washington	18.0	10.40	12.40
	Wheeler	24.0	13.80	16.60
	Wilkinson	37.0	21.30	25.50
6-----	Bulloch	22.0	12.60	15.20
	Burke	28.8	16.60	19.90
	Candler	15.8	9.10	10.90
	Columbia	18.0	10.40	12.40
	Effingham	22.0	12.60	15.20
	Emanuel	27.5	15.90	19.00
	Glascok	17.0	9.80	11.70
	Jefferson	18.8	10.80	13.00
	Jenkins	23.8	13.70	16.40
	McDuffie	19.0	10.90	13.10
	Richmond	14.5	8.30	10.00
	Screven	20.0	11.50	13.80
	Warren	19.5	11.30	13.50
7-----	Baker	24.2	13.90	16.70
	Calhoun	27.5	15.90	19.00
	Clay	25.8	14.80	17.80
	Decatur	28.2	16.20	19.40
	Dougherty	20.2	11.60	13.90
	Early	20.8	12.00	14.40
	Grady	19.0	10.90	13.10
	Lee	22.2	12.80	15.30
	Miller	18.2	10.50	12.50
	Mitchell	27.5	15.90	19.00
	Quitman	26.6	15.30	18.40
	Randolph	27.2	15.60	18.70
	Seminole	29.5	17.00	20.40
	Stewart	21.0	12.10	14.50
	Sumter	23.8	13.70	16.40
	Terrell	15.8	9.10	10.90
	Thomas	23.5	13.60	16.20
	Webster	22.0	12.60	15.20
8-----	Atkinson	28.2	16.20	19.40
	Ben Hill	24.5	14.00	16.90
	Berrien	30.4	17.50	20.90
	Brooks	16.2	9.80	11.20
	Clinch	23.0	11.50	13.80
	Coffee	20.7	12.00	14.30
	Colquitt	32.8	18.90	22.70
	Cook	15.0	8.60	10.40
	Crisp	22.2	12.80	15.30
	Dooley	20.0	11.50	13.80
	Echols	21.5	12.40	14.80
	Irwin	23.8	13.70	16.40
	Jeff Davis	28.4	16.30	19.60
	Lanier	15.0	8.60	10.40
	Lowndes	22.0	12.60	15.20
	Telfair	30.8	17.70	21.30
	Tift	29.5	17.00	20.40
	Turner	23.0	13.20	15.90
	Wilcox	32.8	18.90	22.70
	Worth	21.8	12.50	15.10
9-----	Appling	33.2	19.10	22.90
	Bacon	30.6	17.60	21.20
	Brantley	25.5	14.70	17.50
	Bryan	22.0	12.60	15.20

RULES AND REGULATIONS

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

GEORGIA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
9-----	Camden	22.0	\$12.60	\$15.20
	Charlton	20.0	11.50	13.80
	Chatham	22.0	12.60	15.20
	Evans	17.6	10.10	12.20
	Glynn	22.0	12.60	15.20
	Liberty	20.0	11.50	13.80
	Long	20.0	11.50	13.80
	McIntosh	20.0	11.50	13.80
	Pierce	22.7	13.10	15.60
	Tattnall	25.8	14.80	17.80
	Toombs	24.8	14.30	17.10
	Ware	30.0	17.20	20.70
	Wayne	34.0	19.60	23.50

IDAHO

7-----	Ada	58.0	\$28.40	\$34.10
	Canyon	58.0	28.40	34.10
	Elmore	58.0	28.40	34.10
	Owyhee	60.0	29.40	35.30
	Payette	57.0	27.90	33.50
	Washington	56.0	27.40	32.90
8-----	Gooding	55.0	27.00	32.30
	Lincoln	45.0	22.00	26.50
	Twin Falls	57.0	27.90	33.50
9-----	Bingham	48.0	23.50	28.20
	Lake	38.0	18.60	22.30
	Jefferson	38.0	18.60	22.30

ILLINOIS

1-----	Bureau	70.5	\$37.00	\$44.40
	Henry	66.0	34.60	41.60
	Lee	57.8	30.30	36.40
	Mercer	65.0	34.10	41.00
	Ogle	69.5	36.50	43.80
	Putnam	69.0	36.20	43.50
	Rock Island	69.5	36.50	43.80
	Whiteside	68.3	35.90	43.00
3-----	Winnebago	69.1	36.30	43.60
	De Kalb	61.1	32.10	38.50
	Du Page	66.0	34.60	41.60
	Grundy	69.1	36.30	43.60
	Kane	69.1	36.30	43.60
	Kendall	76.2	35.30	42.30
	Lake	55.0	28.90	34.60
	La Salle	69.0	36.20	43.50
4-----	Will	58.6	30.80	37.00
	Adams	57.4	30.10	36.10
	Brown	54.1	28.40	34.10
	Fulton	59.1	31.10	37.30
	Hancock	58.3	30.70	36.80
	Henderson	57.5	30.50	36.50
	Knox	66.1	34.60	41.70
	McDonough	65.0	34.10	41.00
	Schuyler	56.0	29.40	35.30
4a-----	Warren	74.1	38.80	46.70
	Bond	47.0	24.70	29.60
	Calhoun	52.0	27.30	32.80
	Cass	63.2	33.20	39.80
	Christian	65.0	34.10	41.00
	Greene	58.0	30.40	36.50
	Jersey	53.5	28.10	33.70
	Macoupin	54.5	28.60	34.30
	Madison	49.5	26.00	31.20
	Montgomery	66.5	34.90	41.90
	Morgan	65.1	34.20	41.10
	Pike	57.4	30.10	36.10
	Sangamon	61.5	32.30	38.70
5-----	Scott	59.0	31.00	37.20
	De Witt	66.5	34.90	41.90
	Logan	69.0	36.20	43.50
	McLean	71.5	37.60	45.00
	Macon	71.3	37.40	44.90
	Marshall	69.0	36.20	43.50
	Mason	58.2	30.60	36.60
	Menard	58.1	30.40	36.60
6-----	Peoria	58.1	30.40	36.60
	Tazewell	68.1	35.70	42.90
	Champaign	68.2	35.80	42.90
	Ford	65.0	34.10	41.00
	Iroquois	61.3	32.10	38.60
	Kankakee	63.0	33.10	39.70
	Livingston	66.0	34.60	41.60
	Piatt	72.0	37.80	45.40
6a-----	Vermillion	61.9	32.60	39.00
	Clark	55.0	28.90	34.60
	Clay	43.0	22.60	27.10
	Coles	64.5	33.80	40.60
	Crawford	49.0	25.70	30.90
	Cumberland	52.5	27.50	33.10
	Douglas	66.5	34.90	41.90

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

ILLINOIS—continued

6a-----	Edgar	63.1	\$33.20	\$39.80
	Effingham	49.3	25.80	31.10
	Fayette	50.4	26.50	31.70
	Jasper	51.1	26.90	32.20
	Lawrence	45.4	23.80	28.60
	Marion	42.1	22.00	26.60
	Moultrie	64.1	33.60	40.40
	Richland	46.2	24.30	29.10
7-----	Shelby	62.8	33.00	39.60
	Alexander	45.1	23.70	28.50
	Clinton	50.3	26.50	31.70
	Jackson	45.0	23.60	28.40
	Johnson	43.5	22.90	27.40
	Monroe	52.6	27.60	33.20
	Perry	40.5	21.20	25.50
	Pulaski	45.1	23.70	28.50
	Randolph	53.5	28.10	33.70
	St. Clair	53.6	28.10	33.80
	Union	49.0	25.70	30.90
	Washington	54.8	28.80	34.50
9-----	Williamson	37.1	19.50	23.40
	Edwards	51.6	27.10	32.60
	Franklin	40.2	21.10	25.30
	Gallatin	48.1	25.20	30.30
	Hamilton	44.8	23.50	28.20
	Hardin	38.8	20.40	24.50
	Jefferson	42.6	22.40	26.90
	Massac	41.1	21.60	25.90
	Peope	37.0	19.40	23.30
	Saline	45.0	23.60	28.40
	Wabash	50.5	26.50	31.80
	Wayne	41.5	21.80	26.10
	White	49.1	25.80	31.00

INDIANA

1-----	Benton	49.5	\$26.00	\$31.20
	Jasper	55.0	29.20	35.00
	Lake	55.0	29.20	35.00
	La Porte	50.0	26.50	31.80
	Newton	55.0	29.20	35.00
	Porter	55.0	29.20	35.00
	Pulaski	55.0	29.20	35.00
	Starke	52.5	27.80	33.40
	White	57.4	30.40	36.50
2-----	Carroll	70.5	37.30	44.80
	Cass	53.0	28.10	33.70
	Elkhart	51.5	27.30	32.80
	Fulton	53.0	28.10	33.70
	Kosciusko	53.0	28.10	33.70
	Marshall	50.7	26.90	32.20
	Miami	53.0	28.10	33.70
	St. Joseph	50.0	26.50	31.80
	Wabash	53.0	28.10	33.70
3-----	Adams	58.0	30.70	36.90
	Allen	45.0	23.80	28.60
	De Kalb	45.0	23.80	28.60
	Huntington	45.0	23.80	28.60
	Lagrange	45.0	23.80	28.60
	Noble	45.0	23.80	28.60
	Steuben	45.0	23.80	28.60
	Wells	45.0	23.80	28.60
4-----	Whitley	45.0	23.80	28.60
	Clay	40.8	21.60	26.00
	Fountain	66.6	35.30	42.40
	Montgomery	55.5	29.50	35.30
	Owen	54.5	28.80	34.70
	Parke	52.5	27.80	33.40
	Putnam	48.5	25.70	30.80
	Tipppecanoe	52.2	27.70	33.20
	Vermillion	57.5	30.50	36.60
	Vigo	50.8	26.90	32.30
5-----	Warren	52.5	27.80	33.40
	Bartholomew	66.0	35.00	42.00
	Boone	68.0	36.00	43.20
	Clinton	66.0	35.00	42.00
	Decatur	62.4	33.10	39.60
	Grant	62.0	32.90	39.40
	Hamilton	62.0	32.90	39.40
	Hancock	61.0	32.30	38.80
	Hendricks	62.0	32.90	39.40
	Howard	63.5	33.70	40.40
	Johnson	77.5	41.10	49.30
	Madison	62.0	32.90	39.40
	Marion	62.5	33.10	39.80
	Morgan	62.0	32.90	39.40
	Rush	68.0	36.00	43.20
	Shelby	62.0	32.90	39.40
6-----	Tipton	64.8	34.30	41.20
	Blackford	52.5	27.80	33.40
	Delaware	45.0	23.80	28.60
	Fayette	45.0	23.80	28.60
	Henry	45.0	23.80	28.60
	Jay	45.0	23.80	28.60
	Randolph	45.0	23.80	28.60

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

INDIANA—continued

6-----	Union	45.0	\$23.80	\$28.60
	Wayne	52.5	27.80	33.40
7-----	Davies	55.0	29.20	35.00
	Dubois	54.0	28.60	34.30
	Gibson	57.6	30.50	36.70
	Greene	53.3	28.20	33.90
	Knox	58.8	31.20	37.40
	Martin	50.5	26.70	32.10
	Pike	51.0	27.00	32.40
	Posey	49.0	26.00	31.20
	Spencer	51.9	27.00	32.00
	Sullivan	48.4	25.70	30.70
	Vanderburgh	59.0	31.80	37.50
	Warrick	48.2	25.50	30.60
	Brown	39.0	20.70	24.80
	Crawford	39.0	20.70	24.80
	Floyd	39.0	20.70	24.80
	Harrison	47.6	25.20	30.30
	Jackson	54.7	29.00	34.80
	Lawrence	40.2	21.30	25.50
8-----	Monroe	38.4	20.40	24.40
	Orange	62.6	33.20	39.90
	Perry	46.0	24.40	29.30
9-----	Washington	49.5	26.30	31.50
	Clark	46.0	24.40	29.30
	Dearborn	46.0	24.40	29.30
	Franklin	52.5	27.80	33.40
	Jefferson	46.0	24.40	29.30
	Jennings	46.0	24.40	29.30
	Ohio	46.0	24.40	29.30
	Ripley	46.0	24.40	29.30
	Scott	46.0	24.40	29.30
	Switzerland	46.0	24.40	29.30

IOWA

		<i>Bushels</i>		
1	Buena Vista	71.8	\$38. 10	\$45. 70
	Cherokee	68.4	36. 90	44. 30
	Clay	57.2	30. 30	36. 40
	Dickinson	57.6	30. 20	36. 30
	Emmet	52.2	27. 10	32. 60
	Lyon	62.0	33. 50	40. 20
	O'Brien	70.8	38. 20	45. 90
	Osceola	67.8	36. 60	44. 00
	Palo Alto	48.8	25. 60	30. 80
	Plymouth	59.0	31. 90	38. 20
	Pocahontas	61.2	32. 10	38. 50
	Sioux	68.4	36. 90	44. 30
2	Butler	50.6	25. 60	30. 70
	Cerro Gordo	59.0	30. 10	36. 10
	Floyd	45.0	22. 70	27. 30
	Franklin	51.0	26. 30	31. 50
	Hancock	55.8	28. 70	34. 50
	Humboldt	60.6	31. 80	38. 20
	Kossuth	51.6	26. 60	31. 90
	Mitchell	61.7	31. 10	37. 40
	Winnebago	51.6	26. 30	31. 60
	Worth	45.5	23. 00	27. 60
	Wright	60.4	31. 40	37. 60
3	Allamakee	53.5	27. 10	32. 40
	Black Hawk	48.4	24. 40	29. 30
	Bremer	43.8	22. 10	26. 60
	Buchanan	53.5	27. 10	32. 40
	Chickasaw	46.0	23. 20	27. 90
	Clayton	51.5	26. 10	31. 20
	Delaware	53.5	27. 10	32. 40
	Dubuque	59.5	30. 10	36. 10
	Fayette	43.4	21. 90	26. 30
	Howard	46.5	23. 40	28. 20
	Winneshiek	49.0	24. 70	29. 70
4	Audubon	54.0	29. 40	35. 30
	Calhoun	55.6	29. 70	35. 70
	Carroll	57.9	31. 60	37. 80
	Crawford	64.6	35. 50	42. 70
	Greene	52.9	28. 20	33. 90
	Guthrie	57.0	30. 80	36. 90
	Harrison	52.3	28. 80	34. 50
	Ida	69.0	37. 30	44. 70
	Monona	53.0	29. 20	35. 00
	Sac	68.5	36. 90	44. 40
	Shelby	68.4	37. 60	45. 10
	Woodbury	50.6	27. 30	32. 80
5	Boone	50.0	26. 50	31. 80
	Dallas	53.0	28. 10	33. 70
	Grundy	62.0	31. 60	37. 00
	Hamilton	53.0	27. 80	33. 40
	Hardin	50.0	25. 80	30. 60
	Jasper	53.0	27. 80	33. 40
	Marshall	64.8	33. 70	40. 50
	Polk	57.0	30. 20	36. 30
	Poweshiek	54.8	27. 70	33. 20
	Story	57.8	30. 30	33. 70
	Tama	55.0	28. 00	36. 60
	Webster	57.5	30. 50	31. 50
6	Benton	52.0	26. 30	

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

IOWA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
	<i>Bushels</i>			
6-----	Cedar	50.0	\$25.20	\$30.30
	Clinton	67.8	34.20	41.10
	Iowa	49.7	25.00	30.10
	Jackson	57.1	28.90	34.60
	Johnson	69.0	34.80	41.80
	Jones	61.8	31.20	37.50
	Linn	59.2	29.90	35.90
	Muscatine	39.8	20.10	24.10
	Scott	33.2	16.80	20.10
7-----	Adair	54.5	29.40	35.30
	Adams	51.0	28.00	33.70
	Cass	69.6	37.90	45.60
	Fremont	66.4	36.50	43.80
	Mills	62.2	34.20	41.00
	Montgomery	57.2	31.50	37.70
	Page	58.2	32.30	38.70
	Pottawattamie	60.8	33.40	40.20
	Taylor	45.2	25.10	30.10
8-----	Appanoose	43.2	23.50	28.20
	Clarke	47.6	25.90	31.20
	Decatur	32.6	17.90	21.60
	Lucas	41.2	22.50	26.90
	Madison	55.1	29.50	35.40
	Marion	55.3	29.50	35.50
	Monroe	48.4	26.10	31.30
	Ringgold	41.1	22.70	27.20
	Union	48.0	26.40	31.70
	Warren	50.0	27.00	32.40
	Wayne	32.7	18.00	21.60
9-----	Davis	42.7	23.10	27.60
	Des Moines	53.6	27.90	33.50
	Henry	44.1	23.10	27.80
	Jefferson	44.2	23.40	28.10
	Keokuk	35.8	18.80	22.60
	Lee	32.7	17.40	20.80
	Louis	35.6	18.00	21.60
	Mahaska	52.9	28.00	33.60
	Van Buren	45.6	24.20	29.00
	Wapello	55.2	29.50	35.40
	Washington	49.0	25.50	30.60

KANSAS

	<i>Bushels</i>			
1-----	Cheyenne	19.5	\$9.60	\$11.50
	Decatur	28.0	14.00	16.80
	Graham	28.4	14.20	17.00
	Norton	25.0	12.60	15.20
	Rawlins	23.2	11.40	13.60
	Sheridan	33.6	16.60	20.00
	Sherman	23.4	11.50	13.70
	Thomas	27.6	13.50	16.30
4-----	Gove	34.0	16.80	20.20
	Greeley	19.0	9.30	11.20
	Lane	29.9	14.80	17.70
	Logan	24.9	12.20	14.60
	Ness	28.8	14.40	17.30
	Scott	42.2	20.70	24.80
	Trego	30.5	15.20	18.30
	Wallace	23.7	11.60	13.90
7-----	Wichita	38.6	18.90	22.70
	Clark	23.5	11.80	14.10
	Finney	45.6	22.60	27.10
	Ford	29.6	14.80	17.80
	Grant	40.8	20.60	24.70
	Gray	39.0	19.50	23.40
	Hamilton	23.2	11.40	13.60
	Haskell	58.0	29.30	35.10
	Hodgeman	27.5	13.80	16.50
	Keary	38.2	18.70	22.40
	Meade	36.8	18.40	22.10
	Morton	27.4	14.10	16.90
	Seaward	30.4	15.70	18.70
	Stanton	30.8	16.00	19.10
	Stevens	28.4	14.60	17.50
2-----	Clay	37.8	19.80	23.80
	Cloud	41.2	21.40	25.70
	Jewell	39.0	19.90	23.90
	Mitchell	39.1	20.00	24.00
	Osborne	34.0	17.30	20.80
	Ottawa	36.0	18.50	22.20
	Phillips	28.0	14.10	17.00
	Republic	45.0	23.40	28.10
	Rooks	29.5	14.90	17.90
	Smith	32.0	16.30	19.60
8-----	Washington	48.2	25.30	30.30
	Barton	36.1	18.20	21.90
	Dickinson	38.0	19.80	23.70
	Ellis	28.5	14.30	17.30
	Ellsworth	35.5	18.20	21.70
	Lincoln	38.0	19.40	23.30
	McPherson	40.0	20.40	24.50
	Marion	36.5	18.70	22.60
	Rice	38.6	19.70	23.70
	Rush	33.2	16.80	20.10
	Russell	34.5	17.40	20.90

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

KANSAS—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
	<i>Bushels</i>			
5-----	Saline	39.4	\$20.10	\$24.10
8-----	Barber	27.0	13.60	16.40
	Comanche	23.0	11.60	13.90
	Edwards	29.9	15.20	18.10
	Harper	33.0	16.80	20.20
	Harvey	44.4	22.90	27.40
	Kingman	29.0	14.80	17.70
	Kiowa	27.3	13.70	16.60
	Pawnee	33.6	17.00	20.40
	Pratt	30.2	15.30	18.30
	Reno	35.7	18.20	21.80
	Sedgwick	46.3	23.90	28.60
	Stafford	33.9	17.20	20.50
	Sumner	45.0	23.20	27.80
3-----	Atchison	47.5	26.40	31.60
	Brown	57.0	31.10	37.30
	Doniphan	58.0	31.60	37.90
	Jackson	44.5	24.20	29.10
	Jefferson	46.0	25.30	30.40
	Leavenworth	52.0	29.40	35.30
	Marshall	48.5	25.70	30.80
	Nemaha	49.0	26.20	31.50
	Pottawatomie	47.5	25.50	30.50
	Riley	45.0	23.80	28.60
	Wyandotte	47.0	26.60	31.90
6-----	Anderson	52.0	28.30	34.00
	Chase	46.5	24.40	29.30
	Coffey	43.5	23.50	28.20
	Douglas	56.5	31.00	37.30
	Franklin	48.0	26.40	31.70
	Geary	46.5	24.40	29.30
	Johnson	55.5	30.90	37.00
	Linn	47.0	25.80	31.00
	Lyon	42.0	22.30	26.70
	Miami	45.0	24.80	29.70
	Morris	41.0	21.50	25.80
	Osage	45.5	24.00	29.50
	Shawnee	48.5	26.10	31.40
	Wabaunsee	47.0	25.10	30.20
9-----	Allen	46.5	25.10	30.10
	Bourbon	47.0	25.40	30.50
	Butler	47.0	24.20	29.00
	Chautauqua	39.5	20.80	24.90
	Cherokee	42.5	22.50	27.00
	Cowley	47.5	24.50	29.40
	Crawford	45.5	24.40	29.20
	Elk	39.0	20.50	24.60
	Greenwood	39.0	20.50	24.60
	Labette	42.0	22.30	26.70
	Montgomery	47.0	24.90	29.90
	Neosho	44.5	23.80	28.60
	Wilson	46.0	24.40	29.30
	Woodson	45.0	24.10	28.90

KENTUCKY

	<i>Bushels</i>			
1-----	Ballard	39.0	\$21.80	\$26.20
	Calloway	46.8	26.20	31.50
	Carlisle	34.0	19.00	22.80
	Fulton	57.8	32.40	38.90
	Graves	38.8	21.70	26.10
	Hickman	50.5	28.20	33.90
	Livingston	39.0	21.80	26.20
	Lyon	51.2	28.70	34.40
	McCracken	36.0	20.20	24.20
	Marshall	33.0	18.50	22.20
	Trigg	47.5	26.70	31.90
2-----	Caldwell	44.5	24.90	29.90
	Christian	50.2	28.10	33.70
	Crittenden	28.8	16.10	19.40
	Daviess	45.0	25.20	30.20
	Hancock	66.5	37.20	44.70
	Henderson	42.2	23.60	28.30
	Hopkins	36.2	20.30	24.30
	Logan	44.8	25.10	30.10
	McLean	32.5	18.10	21.80
	Muhlenberg	33.2	18.60	22.30
	Ohio	33.5	18.80	22.50
	Simpson	48.2	27.00	32.40
	Todd	47.8	26.80	32.10
	Union	44.2	24.80	29.70
	Webster	32.5	18.10	21.80
3-----	Adair	30.5	17.00	20.50
	Allen	31.5	17.70	21.20
	Barren	37.8	21.20	25.40
	Breckenridge	46.0	25.80	30.90
	Bullitt	30.5	17.00	20.50
	Butler	46.5	26.00	31.20
	Casey	40.0	22.40	26.90
	Clinton	30.8	17.20	20.70
	Cumberland	53.0	29.70	35.60
	Edmonson	46.5	26.00	31.20
	Grayson	31.0	17.40	20.80
	Green	33.2	18.60	22.30
	Hardin	46.0	25.90	30.90

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued
County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

KENTUCKY—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
	<i>Bushels</i>			
3-----	Hart	31.8	\$17.80	\$21.40
	Jefferson	33.8	18.90	22.70
	Larue	41.8	23.40	28.10
	Marion	46.0	25.80	30.90
	Meade	41.8	23.40	28.10
	Metcalfe	32.5	18.10	21.80
	Monroe	37.2	20.80	25.00
	Nelson	37.5	22.30	28.60
	Russell	30.5	17.00	20.50
	Taylor	46.8	26.20	31.50
	Warren	48.0	26.90	32.30
4-----	Carroll	31.5	17.70	21.20
	Gallatin	30.0	16.80	20.20
	Henry	46.2	25.90	31.00
	Oldham	44.0	24.60	29.60
	Owen	26.5	14.80	17.80
	Pendleton	40.9	22.80	27.40
	Trimble	35.6	19.00	22.80
5-----	Anderson	34.0	19.00	22.80
	Bourbon	59.8	33.50	40.20
	Boyle	31.8	17.80	21.40
	Clark	31.8	17.80	21.40
	Fayette	66.2	37.10	44.50
	Franklin	31.5	17.70	21.20
	Garrard	35.0	19.60	23.50
	Jessamine	30.8	17.20	20.70
	Madison	44.0	24.60	29.60
	Mason	55.0	30.80	37.00
	Mercer	30.8	17.20	20.70
	Montgomery	30.8	17.20	20.70
	Scott	50.0	28.00	33.60
	Shelby	40.0	22.40	26.80
	Spencer	44.0	24.60	29.60
	Washington	45.0	25.20	30.20
	Woodford	32.5	18.10	21.80
6-----	Greenup	24.8	13.90	16.70
	Laurel	30.8	17.20	20.70
	Pulaski	40.0	22.40	26.80
	Rockcastle	46.0	25.80	30.90
	Wayne	47.0	26.30	31.60

LOUISIANA

		<i>Bushels</i>		
1	Bossier	39.0	\$21. 80	\$26. 20
	Caddo	31.8	17. 80	21. 40
	De Soto	42.5	23. 70	28. 60
	Red River	25.0	14. 00	16. 80
	Webster	36.4	20. 40	24. 40
2	Bienville	20.0	11. 20	13. 40
	Caldwell	22.0	12. 30	14. 80
	Claborn	20.0	11. 20	13. 40
	Jackson	20.0	11. 20	13. 40
	Lincoln	22.6	12. 70	15. 20
	Onacha	20.0	11. 20	13. 40
	Union	20.0	11. 20	13. 40
	Winn			
3	East Carroll	34.6	19. 40	23. 30
	Franklin	23.0	12. 00	15. 50
	Madison	36.5	20. 40	24. 50
	Morehouse	28.0	14. 60	17. 50
	Richland	27.5	15. 50	18. 50
	Tensas	30.0	16. 80	20. 20
	West Carroll	27.0	15. 10	18. 10
4	Natchitoches	29.2	22. 00	26. 30
	Vernon	35.0	14. 00	16. 80
5	Avoyelles	35.0	19. 60	23. 50
	Catahoula	27.8	15. 60	18. 70
	Concordia	28.3	15. 90	19. 00
	Evangeline	22.8	12. 80	15. 30
	Grant	33.0	18. 50	22. 20
	Rapides	30.0	16. 80	20. 20
6	East Feliciana	37.0	20. 70	24. 90
	West Feliciana	37.0	20. 70	24. 90
7	Acadia	19.0	10. 60	12. 80
	Allen	25.3	14. 10	17. 00
	Beauregard	20.0	11. 20	13. 40
	Calcasieu	24.2	13. 60	16. 20
	Jefferson Davis	19.4	10. 90	13. 00
	Vermilion	22.0	12. 30	14. 80
8	Iberia	22.0	12. 30	14. 80
9	Ascension	22.0	12. 30	14. 80
	Saint Charles	22.0	12. 30	14. 80
	Saint James	45.0	25. 20	30. 20

RULES AND REGULATIONS

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

MARYLAND—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
2-----	Montgomery	47.0	\$27.00	\$32.40
	Queen Annes	47.5	27.40	32.80
	Washington	47.0	27.00	32.40
8-----	Calvert	38.0	21.80	26.20
	St. Marys	38.0	21.80	26.20
9-----	Caroline	43.0	24.70	29.70
	Dorchester	46.5	26.70	32.10
	Somerset	41.0	23.60	28.30
	Talbot	46.5	26.70	32.10
	Worcester	41.0	23.60	28.30

MICHIGAN

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
1-----	Menominee	28.5	\$14.80	\$17.80
5-----	Montcalm	38.5	20.00	24.00
6-----	Tuscola	40.7	21.20	25.40
7-----	Kent	31.8	16.50	19.90
	Ottawa	31.5	16.40	19.70
8-----	Branch	37.7	19.60	23.50
	Calhoun	38.3	20.00	23.90
	Clinton	39.1	20.40	24.40
	Eaton	38.9	20.20	24.20
	Ionia	34.2	17.80	21.30
9-----	St. Joseph	34.2	17.80	21.30
	Genesee	32.1	16.60	20.10
	Lenawee	44.4	23.10	27.70
	Monroe	43.5	22.70	27.10
	Washtenaw	34.1	17.70	21.30

MINNESOTA

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
4-----	Bigstone	30.5	\$15.40	\$18.50
	Lac qui Parle	37.0	18.70	22.40
	Pope	34.0	17.20	20.60
	Stevens	34.5	17.20	20.60
	Swift	36.5	18.40	22.10
	Traverse	28.5	14.90	17.90
5-----	Yellow Medicine	42.4	21.40	25.70
	Kandiyohi	44.0	22.20	26.70
	Meeker	47.0	23.70	28.50
	Renville	49.0	24.70	29.70
	Scott	52.0	26.30	31.50
	Sherburne	33.3	16.80	20.20
	Stearns	36.0	18.20	21.80
	Todd	32.0	16.20	19.40
	Wright	49.0	24.70	29.70
6-----	Chisago	43.5	22.00	26.40
	Hennepin	48.5	24.40	29.40
	Pine	33.0	16.70	20.00
7-----	Cottonwood	43.0	21.70	26.10
	Jackson	45.5	23.00	27.60
	Lincoln	34.9	17.60	21.10
	Lyon	40.0	20.20	24.20
	Murray	41.5	21.00	25.10
	Nobles	43.5	22.00	26.40
	Pipestone	39.0	19.70	23.60
	Redwood	45.0	22.70	27.30
8-----	Rock	39.0	19.70	23.60
	Blue Earth	51.0	25.80	30.90
	Brown	52.0	26.30	31.50
	Faribault	53.0	26.80	32.10
	Freeborn	52.0	26.30	31.50
	Le Sueur	52.0	26.30	31.50
	Martin	51.0	25.80	30.90
	Nicollet	54.0	27.30	32.70
	Waseca	53.0	26.80	32.10
	Watsonwan	44.0	22.20	26.70
9-----	Dakota	50.0	25.20	30.30
	Fillmore	55.0	27.80	33.30
	Mower	49.0	24.70	29.70

MISSISSIPPI

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
1-----	Bolivar	36.5	\$20.40	\$24.50
	Coahoma	28.6	16.00	19.30
	Quitman	42.5	23.70	28.60
	Tallahatchie	43.0	24.10	28.90
2-----	Tunica	28.0	15.70	18.80
	Benton	21.5	12.10	14.40
	Calhoun	25.5	14.30	17.10
	De Soto	29.5	16.60	19.80
	Grenada	24.0	13.40	16.10
	Lafayette	25.0	14.00	16.80
	Marshall	28.5	15.90	19.20
	Panola	36.5	20.40	24.50
	Tate	39.5	22.20	26.50
	Yalobusha	22.0	12.30	14.80
3-----	Alcorn	23.0	12.90	15.50
	Itawamba	25.0	14.00	16.80

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

MISSISSIPPI—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
3-----	Lee	22.5	\$12.50	\$15.10
	Pontotoc	21.5	12.10	14.40
	Prentiss	31.0	17.40	20.80
	Tippah	32.5	18.10	21.80
	Tishomingo	25.0	14.00	16.80
	Union	25.0	14.00	16.80
4-----	Humphreys	40.0	22.40	26.90
	Issaquena	35.5	19.90	23.90
	Leflore	38.0	21.30	25.50
	Sharkey	30.1	16.80	20.30
	Sunflower	42.5	23.70	28.60
	Washington	34.1	19.00	22.80
	Yazoo	38.5	21.50	25.90
5-----	Attala	26.1	14.60	17.60
	Carroll	31.5	17.70	21.20
	Choctaw	28.0	15.70	18.80
	Holmes	34.0	19.00	22.80
	Leake	26.0	14.60	17.50
	Madison	37.0	20.70	24.90
	Montgomery	38.0	21.30	25.50
	Rankin	26.0	14.60	17.50
	Scott	26.0	14.60	17.50
	Webster	26.6	14.90	17.90
6-----	Chickasaw	28.0	15.70	18.80
	Clay	37.0	20.70	24.90
	Kemper	19.2	10.80	12.90
	Lowndes	26.0	14.60	17.50
	Monroe	31.0	17.40	20.80
	Neshoba	30.0	16.80	20.20
	Noxubee	28.0	15.70	18.80
	Oktibbeha	34.0	19.00	22.80
	Winston	29.5	16.60	19.80
7-----	Adams	22.0	12.30	14.80
	Amite			
	Chalborne	35.5	19.90	23.90
	Copiah	31.0	17.40	20.80
	Franklin			
	Hinds	31.5	17.70	21.20
	Jefferson	26.0	14.60	17.50
	Lincoln	20.0	11.20	13.40
	Warren			
8-----	Wilkinson	27.0	15.10	18.10
	Covington	27.5	15.50	18.50
	Marion	35.0	19.60	23.50
	Pike	25.0	14.00	16.80
	Simpson	26.0	14.60	17.50
	Smith	26.0	14.60	17.50
	Walthall	33.0	18.50	22.20
9-----	Clarke	20.1	11.20	13.60
	Forrest	27.5	15.50	18.50
	George	26.5	14.80	17.80
	Greene	21.5	12.10	14.40
	Jackson	25.0	14.00	16.80
	Jasper	33.0	18.50	22.20
	Jones	25.0	14.00	16.80
	Lauderdale	21.2	11.90	14.20
	Newton	25.0	14.00	16.80
	Pearl River	32.5	18.10	21.80
	Perry	25.0	14.00	16.80
	Stone	27.0	15.10	18.10
	Wayne	30.0	16.80	20.20

MISSOURI

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
1-----	Andrew	45.2	\$26.00	\$31.20
	Atchison	58.0	32.50	39.00
	Buchanan	48.7	28.10	33.60
	Caldwell	41.0	23.60	28.30
	Clay	43.8	25.20	30.20
	Clinton	47.6	27.40	32.90
	Davies	42.3	24.20	29.00
	De Kalb	41.6	23.90	28.80
	Gentry	37.4	21.10	25.30
	Harrison	40.4	22.40	26.90
	Holt	52.0	29.40	35.30
	Nodaway	50.0	28.20	33.90
	Platte	52.8	30.40	36.50
	Ray	50.1	28.80	34.60
	Worth	40.3	22.80	27.30
2-----	Adair	51.4	28.00	33.60
	Carroll	47.2	27.14	32.50
	Chariton	44.2	25.20	30.20
	Grundy	48.4	27.30	32.80
	Linn	39.6	22.40	26.90
	Livingston	42.1	23.90	28.80
	Macon	44.0	24.40	29.30
	Mercer	36.6	20.50	24.60
	Putnam	41.8	23.20	27.90
	Randolph	46.7	26.20	31.40
	Schuyler	46.3	25.30	30.30
3-----	Sullivan	37.4	20.90	25.10
	Audrain	42.8	23.30	28.00
	Clark	44.8	24.20	29.10
	Knox	46.8	25.30	30.30
	Lewis	48.7	26.40	31.50

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

MISSOURI—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
3-----	Marion	42.0	\$22.70	\$27.20
	Monroe	43.0	23.60	28.40
	Pike	46.0	24.60	29.50
	Ralls	42.8	23.30	28.00
	Scotland	44.6	24.10	28.90
	Shelby	42.3	23.30	27.90
4-----	Bates	47.6	26.20	31.50
	Cass	46.4	25.50	30.60
	Cedar	36.3	19.70	23.50
	Henry	40.4	22.20	26.60
	Jackson	54.8	31.20	37.50
	Johnson	46.8	26.70	32.00
	Lafayette	54.5	31.30	37.60
	St. Clair	38.8	21.10	25.40
	Vernon	45.6	24.60	29.60
5-----	Benton	51.0	28.80	34.00
	Boone	46.0	25.30	30.40
	Callaway	42.2	22.80	27.30
	Camden	33.4	17.40	20.80
	Cole	42.1	22.70	27.20
	Cooper	54.6	30.30	36.40
	Dallas	36.4	19.10	22.60
	Hickory	36.2	19.40	23.20
	Howard	47.8	26.80	32.10
	Laclede	34.4	17.90	21.40
	Maries	32.6	17.40	21.00
	Miller	38.4	20.70	24.80
	Moniteau	50.6	27.80	33.40
	Morgan	42.3	23.50	28.20
	Osage	41.8	22.40	26.80
	Pettis	52.6	29.70	35.70
	Phelps	33.8	17.90	21.30
	Polk	34.8	18.40	22.20
	Pulaski	32.0	16.80	20.20
	Saline	52.2	29.80	35.70
6-----	Crawford	30.0	16.20	19.40
	Franklin	37.4	20.40	24.40
	Gasconade	43.4	23.40	28.10
	Jefferson	39.8	22.70	27.20
	Lincoln	41.4	21.90	26.30
	Montgomery	43.8	23.70	28.40
	Perry	55.0	31.40	37.60
	St. Charles	48.6	26.70	32.10
	St. Francois	35.5	20.50	24.50
	Ste. Genevieve	55.0	31.40	37.60
	St. Louis	50.8	27.90	33.60
	Warren	36.9	20.10	24.10
	Washington	35.5	20.30	24.30
7-----	Barry	32.3	17.00	20.40
	Barton	43.4	23.20	27.80
	Christian	35.2	18.80	22.60
	Dade	39.4	20.90	

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

NEBRASKA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
		<i>Bushels</i>		
1-----	Sheridan	22.1	\$10.80	\$13.00
	Sioux	20.5	10.00	12.10
2-----	Arthur	13.5	6.70	7.90
	Blaine	18.2	8.90	10.70
	Boyd	36.0	18.50	22.20
	Brown	27.6	13.50	16.30
	Cherry	13.0	6.40	7.60
	Garfield	36.8	18.20	21.90
	Holt	34.8	17.60	21.10
	Hooker	12.6	6.20	7.40
	Keya Paha	21.5	10.60	12.60
	Logan	26.0	12.70	15.30
	Loup	34.8	17.10	20.50
	McPherson	13.3	6.50	7.80
	Rock	28.8	14.10	17.00
	Thomas	13.0	6.40	7.60
	Wheeler	28.5	15.10	18.10
3-----	Antelope	52.5	27.50	33.10
	Boone	43.9	23.30	27.90
	Burt	50.4	27.70	33.20
	Cedar	48.4	26.10	31.30
	Cuming	53.8	29.60	35.50
	Dakota	49.2	26.60	31.90
	Dixon	42.8	23.10	27.80
	Knox	44.8	23.70	28.50
	Madison	49.3	26.30	31.70
	Pierce	45.5	24.40	29.20
	Stanton	46.8	25.30	30.30
	Thurston	48.4	26.40	31.60
4-----	Wayne	46.6	25.20	30.20
	Buffalo	46.8	23.40	28.10
	Custer	39.0	19.10	22.90
	Dawson	49.3	24.40	29.30
	Greeley	37.7	19.40	23.30
	Hall	60.8	30.70	36.90
	Howard	43.2	21.80	26.20
	Sherman	40.2	19.90	23.90
5-----	Valley	41.1	20.40	24.50
	Butler	65.2	35.50	42.60
	Cass	63.3	34.40	41.40
	Colfax	56.8	30.40	36.50
	Dodge	58.2	32.00	38.40
	Douglas	57.0	31.40	37.60
	Hamilton	66.0	34.30	41.20
	Lancaster	55.0	29.70	35.60
	Merrick	53.3	27.90	33.60
	Nance	53.4	28.00	34.20
	Platte	58.0	31.30	37.60
	Polk	62.8	33.60	40.30
	Sarpy	62.0	34.10	40.90
	Saunders	56.0	30.80	37.00
	Seward	61.4	33.50	40.10
	Washington	59.8	32.90	39.50
6-----	York	62.9	33.30	40.00
	Chase	22.8	11.20	13.40
7-----	Dundy	21.4	10.50	12.50
	Frontier	24.8	12.30	14.80
	Hayes	23.4	11.50	13.70
	Hitchcock	27.1	13.30	16.00
	Keith	35.5	17.40	20.90
	Lincoln	32.3	15.90	19.00
	Perkins	23.4	11.50	13.70
8-----	Red Willow	29.8	14.80	17.70
	Adams	53.8	27.40	32.90
	Franklin	43.4	21.90	26.30
	Furnas	32.9	16.40	19.70
	Gosper	36.4	18.20	21.80
	Harlan	37.4	18.90	22.60
	Kearney	52.0	26.30	31.50
	Phelps	53.0	26.80	32.10
	Webster	40.0	20.40	24.50
9-----	Fillmore	55.6	29.20	35.10
	Gage	55.2	29.00	34.80
	Jefferson	52.4	28.30	33.90
	Johnson	49.6	26.30	31.60
	Nemaha	52.0	28.10	33.70
	Nuckolls	54.8	29.60	35.50
	Otoe	53.8	25.20	30.20
	Pawnee	52.0	29.10	34.90
	Richardson	58.0	27.80	33.40
	Saline	51.1	31.00	37.20
	Thayer	56.8	29.80	35.80

NEVADA

1-----	Churchill	<i>Bushels</i>		
	Lyon	36.0	\$18.70	\$22.50
2-----	Pershing	36.0	18.70	22.50
	Nye	27.1	14.10	17.00
		37.6	19.60	23.50

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

NEW JERSEY

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
		<i>Bushels</i>		
2-----	Bergen	44.8	\$25.80	\$30.90
	Essex	47.6	27.40	32.90
	Hudson	51.4	29.60	35.40
	Hunterdon	51.4	29.60	35.40
	Morris	56.0	32.20	38.60
	Passaic	49.4	28.40	34.00
	Somerset	52.5	30.10	36.20
	Sussex	55.6	32.00	38.40
	Union	49.4	28.40	34.00
	Warren	53.9	31.00	37.10
5-----	Burlington	46.9	26.90	32.30
	Mercer	53.2	30.60	36.70
	Middlesex	47.6	27.40	32.90
	Monmouth	50.8	29.20	35.10
	Ocean	50.0	28.80	34.50
8-----	Atlantic	44.1	25.30	30.50
	Camden	40.2	23.10	27.70
	Cape May	43.4	25.00	29.90
	Cumberland	45.8	26.30	31.60
	Gloucester	42.7	24.60	29.40
	Salem	45.5	26.20	31.40

NEW MEXICO

1-----	Bernalillo	<i>Bushels</i>		
	Santa Fe	50.0	\$26.50	\$31.80
	Taos	25.0	13.20	15.90
	Valencia	38.5	20.40	24.50
3-----	Colfax	18.4	9.80	11.70
	Curry	47.2	25.00	30.00
	De Baca	45.0	23.80	28.60
	Guadalupe	18.1	9.50	11.60
	Harding	23.2	12.30	14.70
	Mora	27.4	14.50	17.40
	Quay	30.4	16.10	19.30
	Roosevelt	26.2	13.90	16.60
	San Miguel	20.0	10.60	12.70
	Torrance	30.4	16.10	19.30
	Union	22.0	11.70	14.00
7-----	Catron	24.0	12.70	15.30
	Grant	60.0	31.80	38.20
	Hidalgo	47.6	25.50	30.60
	Luna	61.0	32.60	39.20
	Sierra	44.5	23.50	28.30
	Socorro	47.5	25.20	30.20
9-----	Chaves	53.2	28.20	33.80
	Dona Ana	51.5	27.30	32.80
	Duplin	52.8	28.00	33.60
	Lea	37.8	20.00	24.10
	Lincoln	30.0	15.90	19.10
	Otero	45.2	24.00	28.70

NEW YORK

	All counties	<i>Bushels</i>		
		44.8	\$25.80	\$30.90

NORTH CAROLINA

1-----	Caldwell	<i>Bushels</i>		
	Surry	35.5	\$20.50	\$24.50
	Wilkes	33.5	19.30	23.10
	Yadkin	30.5	17.50	21.00
4-----	Buncombe	30.0	17.20	20.70
	Burke	32.5	18.60	22.40
	Henderson	29.5	17.00	20.40
	Jackson	30.5	17.50	21.00
	Madison	26.5	15.20	18.30
	McDowell	32.5	18.60	22.40
	Polk	27.0	15.50	18.60
	Rutherford	26.0	15.00	17.90
2-----	Alamance	26.0	15.00	17.90
	Caswell	34.5	19.80	23.80
	Durham	31.5	18.20	21.70
	Forsyth	36.0	20.70	24.80
	Franklin	32.5	18.60	22.40
	Granville	31.0	17.80	21.40
	Guilford	30.0	17.20	20.70
	Orange	31.5	18.20	21.70
	Person	29.0	16.70	20.00
	Rockingham	34.0	19.60	23.50
	Stokes	31.5	18.20	21.70
	Vance	32.5	18.60	22.40
	Warren	35.0	19.00	22.80

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

NORTH CAROLINA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
		<i>Bushels</i>		
5-----	Alexander	26.9	\$15.40	\$18.50
	Catawba	34.0	19.60	23.50
	Chatham	30.5	17.50	21.00
	Davidson	34.0	19.60	23.50
	Davie	31.5	18.20	21.70
	Iredell	32.5	18.60	22.40
	Lee	37.0	21.30	25.50
	Randolph	38.5	22.10	26.60
	Rowan	34.0	19.60	23.50
	Wake	36.0	20.70	24.80
8-----	Anson	39.0	22.40	26.90
	Cabarrus	33.5	19.30	23.10
	Cleveland	31.5	18.20	21.70
	Gaston	33.5	19.30	23.10
	Lincoln	30.0	17.20	20.70
	Mecklenburg	28.0	16.10	19.30
	Montgomery	27.5	15.90	19.00
	Moore	30.5	17.50	21.00
	Richmond	34.0	19.60	23.50
	Stanly	36.5	22.90	25.20
	Union	39.5	22.80	27.30
3-----	Bertie	34.0	19.60	23.50
	Camden	32.5	18.60	22.40
	Chowan	34.0	19.60	23.50
	Currituck	30.0	17.20	20.70
	Edgecombe	34.0	19.60	23.50
	Gates	31.0	17.80	21.40
	Halifax	34.5	19.80	23.80
	Hertford	29.0	16.70	20.00
	Martin	23.5	13.60	16.20
	Nash	28.0	16.10	19.30
	Northampton	29.5	17.00	20.40
	Pasquotank	38.5	22.10	26.60
	Perquimans	33.5	19.30	23.10
	Tyrrell	33.5	19.30	23.10
6-----	Washington	37.5	21.60	25.90
	Beaufort	39.0	22.40	26.90
	Carteret	33.0	19.00	22.80
	Craven	36.0	20.70	24.80
	Greene	28.0	16.10	19.30
	Johnston	28.0	16.10	19.30
	Jones	27.0	15.50	18.60
	Lenoir	25.0	14.40	17.20
	Pamlico	33.0	19.00	22.80
	Pitt	33.0	19.00	22.80
	Wayne	25.5	14.70	17.60
	Wilson	27.0	15.50	18.60
9-----	Bladen	30.5	17.50	21.00
	Brunswick	26.5	15.20	18.30
	Columbus	33.5	19.30	23.10
	Cumberland	31.5	18.20	21.70
	Duplin	26.0	15.00	17.90
	Harnett	28.0	16.10	19.30
	Hoke	26.5	15.20	18.30
	New Hanover	26.5	15.20	18.30
	Pender	28.0	16.10	19.30
	Robeson	26.5	15.20	18.30
	Sampson	26.5	15.20	18.30
	Scotland	27.0	15.50	18.60

NORTH DAKOTA

		<i>Bushel</i>		
2	Benson	22.0	\$10.80	\$12.90
	McHenry	20.0	9.80	11.80
3	Grand Forks	27.0	13.20	15.90
	Ramsey	20.0	9.80	11.80
4	Mercer	19.0	9.30	11.20
	Oliver	19.0	9.30	11.20
5	Foster	23.0	11.30	13.50
	Sheridan	20.0	9.80	11.80
	Wells	22.0	10.80	12.90
6	Barnes	23.0	11.30	13.50
	Cass	29.0	14.20	17.10
	Griggs	22.0	10.80	12.90
	Steele	23.0	11.30	13.50
	Traill	25.0	12.20	14.70
7	Adams	15.0	7.40	8.80
	Golden Valley	16.0	7.80	9.40
	Hettinger	16.0	7.80	9.40
	Stark	16.0	7.80	9.40
8	Emmons	18.0	8.80	10.60
	Grant	16.0	7.80	9.40
	Sioux	15.0	7.40	8.80
9	Dickey	22.0	10.80	12.90
	La Moure	22.0	10.80	12.90
	Logan	19.0	9.30	11.20
	Ransom	24.0	11.80	14.10
	Richland	30.0	14.70	17.60
	Sargent	25.0	12.20	14.70

RULES AND REGULATIONS

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

OHIO

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per cent pay- ment rate per acre
<i>Bushels</i>				
1	Allen	49.6	\$26.30	\$31.60
	Defiance	48.4	25.70	30.70
	Lucas	56.8	30.10	36.10
	Paulding	48.0	25.40	30.50
	Putnam	53.6	28.40	34.10
2	Van Wert	52.4	27.80	33.30
	Williams	48.4	25.70	30.70
	Wood	55.6	29.50	35.40
	Erie	58.2	30.80	37.00
	Ottawa	53.6	28.40	34.10
3	Sandusky	57.2	30.30	36.40
	Seneca	52.8	28.00	33.60
	Wyandot	49.8	26.40	31.70
	Ashtabula	46.2	24.50	29.40
	Auglaize	49.6	26.30	31.60
4	Champaign	62.8	33.30	40.00
	Darke	52.6	27.90	33.50
	Hardin	54.4	28.80	34.60
	Logan	52.2	27.70	33.20
	Meigs	49.6	26.30	31.60
5	Miami	52.4	27.80	33.30
	Shelby	49.8	26.40	31.70
	Delaware	51.8	27.50	33.00
	Fairfield	53.8	28.50	34.20
	Franklin	50.2	26.60	31.90
6	Knox	49.6	26.30	31.60
	Licking	52.2	27.70	33.20
	Madison	56.6	30.00	36.00
	Marion	50.0	26.50	31.80
	Ross	55.8	29.60	35.50
7	Union	50.0	26.50	31.80
	Tuscarawas	53.2	28.20	33.80
	Butler	48.8	25.90	31.10
	Clermont	43.6	23.10	27.80
	Clinton	57.8	30.60	36.80
8	Greene	58.2	30.80	37.00
	Warren	49.4	26.20	31.40
	Brown	44.4	23.50	28.20
	Gallia	45.4	24.10	28.80
	Highland	52.2	27.70	33.20
9	Jackson	45.0	23.80	28.60
	Scioto	46.2	24.50	29.40
	Guernsey	45.6	24.20	29.00
	Morgan	44.4	23.50	28.20
	Muskingum	48.6	25.80	31.00
	Vinton	44.0	23.30	28.00

OKLAHOMA

<i>Bushels</i>				
1	Beaver	24.7	\$12.90	\$15.40
	Cimarron	25.1	13.20	15.90
	Ellis	19.4	10.10	12.10
	Harper	19.0	9.90	11.90
	Texas	30.4	16.00	19.10
2	Alfalfa	28.8	15.10	18.20
	Garfield	27.7	14.50	17.40
	Grant	29.7	15.10	18.20
	Kay	35.9	18.20	21.70
	Major	24.1	12.60	15.20
3	Noble	31.0	16.10	19.30
	Woods	24.2	12.60	15.10
	Woodward	20.7	10.80	12.90
	Craig	34.5	18.20	21.90
	Delaware	28.6	15.00	18.10
4	Mayes	27.3	14.40	17.40
	Nowata	26.9	14.20	17.10
	Osage	25.3	12.90	15.50
	Ottawa	34.1	17.80	21.50
	Pawnee	25.2	13.20	15.90
5	Rogers	25.4	13.20	15.80
	Tulsa	26.8	13.80	16.60
	Wagoner	30.0	15.90	19.10
	Washington	28.3	14.90	17.80
	Beckham	26.5	14.00	16.90
6	Blaine	27.8	14.70	17.70
	Custer	30.2	16.00	19.20
	Dewey	21.7	11.30	13.60
	Roger Mills	23.2	12.20	14.60
	Washita	30.0	15.90	19.10
7	Canadian	33.7	17.80	21.40
	Cleveland	27.9	14.80	17.70
	Creek	22.6	12.00	14.40
	Grady	33.2	17.60	21.10
	Kingfisher	30.8	16.30	19.60
8	Lincoln	24.2	12.80	15.40
	Logan	23.4	12.40	14.80
	McClain	29.5	15.70	18.80
	Okfuskee	26.9	14.20	17.10
	Oklahoma	33.6	17.80	21.40
9	Payne	22.2	11.80	14.10
	Pottawatomie	25.5	13.60	16.20
	Seminole	24.7	13.10	15.70
	Adair	23.1	11.70	14.00
	Cherokee	24.8	13.10	15.80
	Haskell	22.0	11.10	13.30

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

OKLAHOMA—continued

<i>Bushels</i>				
6	Hughes	27.5	\$14.60	\$17.50
	McIntosh	23.4	12.40	14.80
	Muskogee	26.5	14.00	16.90
	Okmulgee	24.5	12.90	15.60
	Pittsburg	24.4	12.90	15.50
7	Sequoyah	21.8	11.00	13.20
	Caddo	30.0	15.90	19.10
	Comanche	25.8	13.70	16.40
	Cotton	27.3	14.40	17.40
	Greer	26.2	13.90	16.60
8	Harmon	26.5	14.00	16.90
	Jackson	34.2	18.10	21.70
	Kiowa	31.0	16.40	19.70
	Tillman	34.2	18.10	21.70
	Atoka	25.6	13.60	16.30
9	Bryan	24.0	12.70	15.30
	Carter	22.8	12.10	14.50
	Coal	27.9	14.80	17.70
	Garvin	34.7	18.40	22.00
	Jefferson	23.5	12.50	14.90
10	Johnston	23.2	12.30	14.70
	Love	26.4	14.10	16.90
	Marshall	27.1	14.40	17.30
	Murray	26.5	14.00	16.90
	Pontotoc	32.2	17.10	20.50
11	Stephens	27.8	14.70	17.70
	Choctaw	25.7	13.60	16.30
	Latimer	21.8	11.00	13.20
	Le Flore	23.7	11.90	14.30
	McCurtain	25.6	12.90	15.60
	Pushmataha	31.2	15.90	19.10

OREGON

<i>Bushels</i>				
1	Clackamas	40.0	\$21.20	\$25.40
3	Umatilla	60.0	31.80	38.20
8	Malheur	60.0	31.80	38.20

PENNSYLVANIA

<i>Bushels</i>				
All counties		45.7	\$26.20	\$31.50

SOUTH CAROLINA

<i>Bushels</i>				
1	Anderson	24.8	\$14.30	\$17.10
	Cherokee	25.0	14.40	17.20
	Greenville	24.1	13.80	16.70
	Laurens	21.4	12.30	14.70
	Oconee	24.0	13.80	16.50
2	Pickens	22.0	12.60	15.20
	Spartanburg	22.8	13.10	15.80
	Union	23.0	13.20	15.90
	Chester	25.8	14.80	17.80
	Fairfield	22.4	12.90	15.40
3	Kershaw	17.5	10.10	12.10
	Lancaster	29.4	16.90	20.20
	York	25.2	14.50	17.40
	Chesterfield	24.6	14.10	17.00
	Darlington	26.8	15.40	18.50
4	Dillon	25.1	14.50	17.40
	Florence	29.6	17.00	20.50
	Georgetown	33.0	19.00	22.80
	Horry	23.8	13.70	16.40
	Marion	20.0	11.50	13.80
5	Marlboro	21.1	12.20	14.60
	Williamsburg	23.4	13.50	16.10
	Abbeville	23.2	13.30	16.00
	Aiken	23.2	13.30	16.00
	Edgefield	20.9	12.00	14.40
6	Greenwood	20.4	11.70	14.00
	McCormick	20.0	11.50	13.80
	Newberry	19.4	11.20	13.30
	Saluda	23.0	13.20	15.90
	Calhoun	20.8	12.00	14.40
7	Clarendon	20.2	11.60	13.90
	Lee	20.0	11.50	13.80
	Lexington	18.0	10.40	12.40
	Orangeburg	27.1	15.60	18.70
	Richland	26.0	15.00	17.90
8	Sumter	20.0	11.50	13.80
	Allendale	26.2	15.10	18.00
	Bamberg	23.6	13.60	16.30
	Barnwell	21.8	12.50	15.10
	Beaufort	20.0	11.50	13.80
9	Berkeley	18.8	10.80	13.00
	Charleston	22.6	13.00	15.60
	Colleton	20.0	11.50	13.80
	Dorchester	21.6	12.40	15.00
	Hampton	20.4	11.70	14.00
	Jasper	20.0	11.50	13.80

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

SOUTH DAKOTA

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
		<i>Bushels</i>		
1.....	Butte.....	39.5	\$19.40	\$23.20
	Corson.....	15.5	7.60	9.10
	Dewey.....	13.2	6.50	7.70
	Harding.....	16.5	8.00	9.70
	Perkins.....	11.2	5.50	6.60
2.....	Ziebach.....	7.8	3.80	4.60
	Brown.....	24.0	11.80	14.10
	Campbell.....	14.0	6.90	8.20
	Edmunds.....	18.5	9.00	10.90
	Faulk.....	24.2	11.90	14.20
	McPherson.....	15.8	7.70	9.30
	Potter.....	13.9	6.90	8.10
	Spink.....	29.1	14.50	17.30
3.....	Walworth.....	15.0	7.40	8.80
	Clark.....	26.0	13.00	15.60
	Codington.....	24.9	12.40	14.90
	Day.....	20.5	10.00	12.10
	Deuel.....	21.6	10.90	13.00
	Grant.....	26.0	12.70	15.30
	Hamlin.....	28.2	14.20	17.10
	Marshall.....	24.1	11.80	14.20
4.....	Roberts.....	30.2	14.80	17.70
	Haakon.....	12.8	6.30	7.50
	Jackson.....	23.2	11.40	13.60
	Lawrence.....	12.0	5.90	7.10
	Meade.....	10.8	5.30	6.40
	Pennington.....	10.2	5.00	6.00
5.....	Stanley.....	17.2	8.40	10.10
	Aurora.....	29.0	14.90	17.90
	Beadle.....	23.5	11.80	14.10
	Brule.....	23.2	11.70	14.00
	Buffalo.....	17.3	8.70	10.50
	Hand.....	24.1	11.90	14.40
	Hughes.....	19.2	9.40	11.30
	Hyde.....	16.8	8.20	9.90
	Jerauld.....	22.5	11.40	13.80
6.....	Sully.....	16.6	8.10	9.80
	Brookings.....	35.2	18.30	21.90
	Davison.....	32.0	16.60	20.00
	Hanson.....	37.2	19.30	23.20
	Kingsbury.....	34.8	17.70	21.30
	Lake.....	38.7	20.20	24.10
	McCook.....	39.2	20.60	24.70
	Miner.....	35.2	18.30	21.90
	Minnehaha.....	47.8	25.30	30.40
	Moody.....	39.5	20.80	24.90
	Sanborn.....	31.0	15.80	19.00
7.....	Bennett.....	14.2	7.00	8.30
	Custer.....	11.5	5.70	6.80
	Fall River.....	15.5	7.60	9.10
	Shannon.....	10.5	5.10	6.20
	Washabaugh.....	10.5	5.10	6.20
	Washington.....			
8.....	Gregory.....	25.0	12.60	15.20
	Jones.....	16.2	7.90	9.50
	Lyman.....	23.6	11.70	14.10
	Mellette.....	19.0	9.80	11.20
	Todd.....	16.9	8.20	9.90
9.....	Tripp.....	26.7	13.30	15.80
	Bon Homme.....	40.8	21.60	26.00
	Charles Mix.....	34.1	17.80	21.50
	Clay.....	54.2	29.30	35.10
	Douglas.....	31.0	16.30	19.50
	Hutchinson.....	36.0	18.90	22.70
	Lincoln.....	55.5	30.00	36.00
	Turner.....	48.8	25.90	31.10
	Union.....	58.5	31.50	37.90
	Yankton.....	46.8	25.30	30.90

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

TENNESSEE—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per cent pay- ment rate per acre
3-----	Houston	<i>Bushels</i> 37.8	\$21.20	\$25.40
	Humphreys	31.6	17.70	21.30
	Lawrence	25.3	14.10	17.00
	Lewis	26.4	14.80	17.70
	Montgomery	35.6	19.90	24.00
	Perry	31.2	17.50	20.90
	Robertson	40.6	22.70	27.30
	Stewart	40.7	22.80	27.30
	Wayne	36.3	20.40	24.40
	Bedford	28.9	16.10	19.40
4-----	Cannon	37.1	20.80	25.00
	Clay	31.6	17.70	21.30
	Davidson	31.3	17.50	21.10
	De Kalb	40.0	22.40	26.90
	Giles	29.8	16.70	20.00
	Jackson	32.0	17.00	21.60
	Lincoln	31.2	17.50	20.90
	Macon	37.2	20.80	25.00
	Marshall	34.5	19.30	23.20
	Maury	35.5	19.90	23.90
5-----	Moore	30.0	16.80	20.20
	Rutherford	32.0	17.90	21.50
	Smith	30.9	17.20	20.70
	Sumner	38.2	21.40	25.60
	Trondale	40.8	22.80	27.40
	Williamson	30.0	16.80	20.20
	Wilson	33.4	18.70	22.40
	Bledsoe	41.4	23.20	27.80
	Coffee	27.8	15.60	18.70
	Fentress	34.2	19.20	23.00
6-----	Franklin	34.8	19.50	23.40
	Grundy	43.6	24.40	29.30
	Marion	42.6	23.90	28.70
	Morgan	25.0	14.00	16.80
	Overton	32.6	18.30	22.00
	Pickett	44.2	24.80	29.70
	Putnam	32.2	18.00	21.60
	Sequatchie	28.6	16.00	19.30
	Van Buren	25.0	14.00	16.80
	Warren	29.7	16.00	19.90
7-----	White	28.8	16.10	19.40
	Anderson	28.0	14.00	16.80
	Blount	44.5	24.90	29.90
	Bradley	38.4	21.50	25.80
	Claiborne	25.0	14.00	16.80
	Grainger	28.9	16.10	19.40
	Greene	40.0	22.40	26.90
	Hambien	24.5	13.70	16.50
	Hamilton	37.0	20.70	24.90
	Hawkins	27.5	15.50	18.50
8-----	Jefferson	25.5	14.30	17.10
	Knox	37.1	20.80	25.00
	Loudon	26.9	15.00	18.00
	McMinn	38.3	21.50	25.80
	Meigs	32.9	18.40	22.10
	Monroe	33.4	18.70	22.40
	Polk	37.8	21.20	25.40
	Rhea	27.6	15.50	18.60
	Roane	32.9	18.40	22.10
	Sevier	47.5	26.70	31.90
9-----	Washington	30.0	16.80	20.20

TEXAS

1-N-----	Armstrong	<i>Bushels</i> 44.6	\$23.60	\$28.40
	Briscoe	49.0	26.00	31.20
	Carson	46.1	24.40	29.40
	Castro	73.0	38.70	46.40
	Dallam	29.1	15.50	18.60
	Deaf Smith	70.0	37.10	44.50
	Floyd	66.2	35.10	42.10
	Gray	28.5	15.10	18.10
	Hale	70.2	37.20	44.60
	Hansford	70.0	37.10	44.50
2-S-----	Hartley	27.4	14.50	17.40
	Hemphill	23.8	12.60	15.20
	Hutchinson	68.3	36.30	43.60
	Lipscomb	28.1	14.80	17.90
	Moore	63.2	33.50	40.20
	Ochiltree	57.2	30.30	36.40
	Oldham	54.4	28.80	34.60
	Parmer	76.2	40.40	48.40
	Potter	48.0	25.40	30.50
	Randall	54.3	28.80	34.60
3-N-----	Roberts	42.4	22.50	26.90
	Sherman	44.9	23.70	28.50
	Swisher	71.4	37.80	45.40
	Andrews	15.8	8.40	10.10
	Bailey	36.4	18.30	22.10
	Cochran	27.8	14.70	17.70
	Crosby	33.3	17.60	21.20
	Dawson	20.1	10.60	12.80
	Gaines	22.8	12.10	14.50
	Glasscock	18.6	9.90	11.90
4-S-----	Hockley	28.8	15.30	18.30

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

TEXAS—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per cent pay- ment rate per acre
1-S-----	Howard	<i>Bushels</i> 17.8	\$9.40	\$11.30
	Lamb	43.4	23.00	27.60
	Lubbock	38.5	20.40	24.50
	Lynn	22.9	12.10	14.50
	Martin	18.8	10.00	12.00
	Midland	18.6	9.90	11.90
	Terry	26.5	14.00	16.90
	Yoakum	23.2	12.30	14.70
	Borden	19.2	10.20	12.20
	Childress	21.8	11.60	13.90
2-N-----	Collingsworth	27.2	14.40	17.30
	Cottle	17.6	9.30	11.20
	Dickens	21.1	11.20	13.50
	Donley	21.0	11.10	13.40
	Foard	26.8	14.20	17.10
	Garza	17.8	9.40	11.30
	Hall	24.4	12.60	15.50
	Hardeman	24.0	12.70	15.30
	Kent	17.4	9.20	11.00
	King	18.3	9.80	11.70
3-S-----	Motley	22.0	11.70	14.00
	Wheeler	19.7	10.40	12.50
	Wichita	27.6	14.80	17.80
	Wilbarger	29.8	15.80	19.00
	Baylor	27.9	14.80	17.70
	Coleman	22.0	12.10	14.50
	Fisher	35.8	19.00	22.80
	Haskell	26.5	14.00	16.90
	Jones	33.6	17.80	21.40
	Knox	25.4	13.50	16.10
4-N-----	Mitchell	26.0	13.80	16.50
	Nolan	33.4	17.70	21.20
	Runnels	38.2	20.80	25.00
	Scurry	28.7	15.30	18.20
	Stonewall	20.0	10.60	12.70
	Taylor	32.6	17.60	21.20
	Archer	19.6	10.40	12.50
	Brown	18.7	10.50	12.50
	Callahan	19.2	10.50	12.50
	Clay	29.0	15.80	19.00
5-N-----	Comanche	19.6	11.00	13.20
	Eastland	15.9	8.80	10.40
	Erath	21.6	12.00	14.40
	Hood	22.6	12.70	15.20
	Jack	22.3	12.30	14.70
	Mills	23.0	13.10	15.70
	Montague	20.4	11.20	13.40
	Palo Pinto	20.3	11.20	13.40
	Parker	22.4	12.70	15.10
	Shackelford	23.0	12.40	14.90
6-S-----	Somervell	26.5	14.90	18.00
	Stephens	16.6	9.10	11.00
	Throckmorton	19.5	10.60	12.60
	Wise	27.4	15.30	18.40
	Young	19.5	10.80	12.90
	Bell	29.9	17.70	21.10
	Bosque	37.4	19.90	23.30
	Collin	37.1	21.00	25.20
	Cooke	29.6	16.30	19.60
	Coryell	29.8	17.30	20.80
7-N-----	Dallas	33.0	18.80	22.60
	Delta	20.3	11.20	13.40
	Denton	36.2	20.30	24.30
	Ellis	29.0	16.80	20.20
	Falls	29.6	17.60	21.20
	Fannin	27.9	15.50	18.60
	Grayson	29.6	16.40	19.80
	Hamilton	29.6	16.70	20.10
	Hill	29.8	17.30	20.80
	Hunt	23.9	13.40	16.00
8-N-----	Johnson	29.8	17.00	20.40
	Kaufman	22.6	12.90	15.50
	Lamar	28.7	15.80	18.90
	Limestone	20.4	12.20	14.60
	McLennan	31.6	18.60	22.40
	Mifflin	33.5	20.20	24.10
	Navarro	24.2	14.30	17.10
	Rockwall	29.7	16.60	19.90
	Tarrant	29.5	17.00	20.40
	Williamson	35.6	21.20	25.50
9-N-----	Anderson	23.6	14.00	16.90
	Bowie	42.7	23.80	28.40
	Camp	30.0	17.00	20.30
	Cass	27.0	15.10	18.10
	Cherokee	23.5	14.00	16.80
	Franklin	23.2	13.10	15.70
	Gregg	23.2	13.30	16.00
	Harrison	43.0	24.50	29.40
	Henderson	24.2	14.00	16.80
	Hopkins	28.4	15.80	18.90
10-N-----	Houston	20.8	12.70	15.20
	Marion	32.5	18.30	22.00
	Morris	28.2	15.90	19.10
	Nacogdoches	28.8	17.10	20.60
	Panola	31.8	18.40	22.20
	Rains	24.0	13.70	16.40
	Red River	28.3	15.50	18.60
	Rusk	23.0	13.30	16.00

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre
Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

TEXAS—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed average yield	50 per cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per cent pay- ment rate per acre
		<i>Bushels</i>		
5-N-----	Shelby	26.2	\$15.50	\$18.50
	Smith	25.4	14.70	17.60
	Titus	28.1	15.80	19.10
	Upshur	24.0	13.70	16.40
	Van Zandt	22.6	12.90	15.50
5-S-----	Wood	30.4	17.30	20.70
	Angelina	27.7	16.80	20.30
	Brazos	32.0	19.50	23.40
	Freestone	17.8	10.60	12.70
	Grimes	32.2	19.80	23.70
	Hardin	16.0	9.80	11.70
	Leon	24.4	14.60	17.50
	Madison	32.2	19.60	23.50
	Montgomery	25.6	16.00	19.20
	Newton	18.0	10.90	13.10
	Polk	18.2	11.20	13.40
	Robertson	28.4	17.00	20.40
	Sabine	21.5	12.70	15.20
	San Augustine	17.1	10.10	12.20
	San Jacinto	25.3	15.60	18.80
6-----	Trinity	19.0	11.70	14.00
	Walker	19.7	12.20	14.60
	Waller	26.8	16.80	20.10
	Cuberson	36.8	19.50	23.40
	Ector	45.0	23.80	28.60
	El Paso	52.4	27.80	33.30
	Hudspeth	51.8	27.50	33.00
	Jeff Davis	45.0	23.80	28.60
	Loving	31.0	16.40	19.70
	Pecos	43.6	23.10	27.80
	Presidio	28.4	15.10	18.00
	Reeves	58.1	30.70	37.00
	Ward	31.1	16.50	19.80
	Winkler	18.8	10.80	12.90
	7-----	Bandera	25.5	15.40
Blanco		22.4	13.20	15.80
Burnet		22.6	13.10	15.80
Coke		20.4	10.80	12.90
Concho		31.4	17.30	20.70
Crockett		31.0	16.40	19.70
Edwards		30.0	16.40	19.60
Gillespie		28.4	17.00	20.40
Irion		23.0	12.20	14.60
Kendall		23.6	14.20	17.00
Kerr		17.0	10.20	12.20
Kimble		32.7	18.20	21.80
Kinney		36.4	20.90	25.10
Lampasas		26.1	15.00	18.10
Llano		20.6	11.80	14.30
8-N-----	McCulloch	19.8	11.00	13.20
	Mason	21.2	11.90	14.20
	Menard	19.6	10.80	12.90
	Reagan	40.4	21.40	25.70
	Real	23.0	13.70	16.40
	San Saba	26.5	14.80	17.80
	Schleicher	25.5	13.60	16.20
	Sterling	19.6	10.40	12.50
	Sutton	25.0	13.20	15.90
	Tom Green	38.3	20.40	24.40
	Upton	53.2	28.20	33.80
	Uvalde	28.0	16.70	20.00
	Val Verde	45.0	25.40	30.50
	Austin	26.4	16.50	19.80
	Bastrop	29.2	17.50	21.00
8-S-----	Bee	28.0	17.80	21.30
	Bexar	28.3	17.30	20.70
	Burleson	26.6	16.20	19.50
	Caldwell	31.6	19.00	22.80
	Colorado	30.5	18.70	22.50
	Comal	23.9	14.50	17.50
	De Witt	26.4	16.10	19.30
	Fayette	30.3	18.50	22.20
	Goliad	30.0	18.60	22.30
	Gonzales	29.3	17.50	21.10
	Guadalupe	27.2	16.50	19.70
	Hays	27.8	16.50	19.90
	Karnes	27.4	17.00	20.30
	Lavaca	26.0	15.90	19.00
	Lee	29.6	18.10	21.70
9-----	Medina	30.7	18.50	22.10
	Travis	28.3	16.90	20.20
	Washington	29.8	18.30	22.00
	Wilson	27.8	17.00	20.40
	Aransas	26.5	16.80	20.20
9-----	Kleberg	34.6	21.80	26.20
	Nueces	37.1	23.60	28.30
	Refugio	31.0	19.00	23.00
	San Patricio	35.3	22.40	27.00
	Brazoria	23.9	14.50	17.50
	Calhoun	28.5	15.00	17.90
	Chambers	24.2	14.80	17.70
	Fort Bend	24.8	15.50	18.60
	Galveston	19.6	12.20	14.80
	Harris	22.2	13.90	16.60
	Jackson	23.8	14.50	17.40
	Jefferson	16.5	10.10	12.20
	Liberty	20.2	12.60	15.10
	Matagorda	25.9	16.00	19.00

RULES AND REGULATIONS

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

TEXAS—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
	<i>Bushels</i>			
9-----	Orange-----	16.5	\$10.00	\$12.10
	Victoria-----	30.0	18.40	22.10
	Wharton-----	28.5	17.60	21.20
10-N-----	Atascosa-----	26.0	15.90	19.00
	Brooks-----	20.8	12.70	15.20
	Dimmit-----	29.0	16.70	20.00
	Duval-----	21.0	13.00	15.60
	Frio-----	32.8	19.50	23.40
	Jim Hogg-----	19.0	11.60	13.90
	Jim Wells-----	27.9	17.80	21.20
	Kenedy-----	31.0	19.20	23.10
	La Salle-----	23.3	13.70	16.50
	Live Oak-----	29.0	18.10	21.80
	McMullen-----	22.7	14.00	16.70
	Maverick-----	46.4	26.70	32.00
	Starr-----	20.7	12.30	14.60
	Webb-----	24.8	14.90	17.90
	Zapata-----	23.0	13.60	16.30
10-S-----	Zavala-----	38.8	23.30	28.00
	Cameron-----	39.1	23.30	28.00
	Hidalgo-----	42.5	25.20	30.30
	Willacy-----	39.8	23.70	28.40

UTAH

	<i>Bushels</i>			
1-----	Salt Lake-----	60.0	\$29.40	\$35.30
5-----	Juab-----	20.0	9.80	11.80
	Millard-----	40.0	19.60	23.50
	Sanpete-----	45.0	22.00	26.50
	Utah-----	70.0	34.30	41.20
6-----	Carbon-----	40.0	19.60	23.50
	Duchesne-----	40.0	19.60	23.50
7-----	Washington-----	50.0	24.50	29.40

VERMONT

	<i>Bushels</i>			
	All counties-----	45.0	\$25.90	\$31.00

VIRGINIA

	<i>Bushels</i>			
2-----	Clarke-----	40.0	\$23.00	\$27.60
	Culpeper-----	33.0	19.00	22.80
	Fairfax-----	35.0	20.10	24.20
	Fauquier-----	33.0	19.00	22.80
	Frederick-----	40.0	23.00	27.60
	Loudoun-----	40.0	23.00	27.60
	Madison-----	35.0	20.10	24.20
	Page-----	40.0	23.00	27.60
	Prince William-----	35.0	20.10	24.20
	Rappahannock-----	35.0	20.10	24.20
	Rockingham-----	45.5	26.20	31.40
	Shenandoah-----	45.5	26.20	31.40
	Stafford-----	40.0	23.00	27.60
	Warren-----	40.0	23.00	27.60
4-----	Alleghany-----	40.0	23.00	27.60
	Augusta-----	44.0	25.30	30.40
	Bath-----	40.0	23.00	27.60
	Botetourt-----	33.5	19.30	23.10
	Craig-----	38.0	21.80	26.20
	Highland-----	40.0	23.00	27.60
	Roanoke-----	38.5	22.10	26.60
	Rockbridge-----	39.5	22.80	27.30
5-----	Albemarle-----	33.0	19.00	22.80
	Amelia-----	38.0	21.80	26.20
	Amherst-----	35.0	20.10	24.20
	Appomattox-----	34.5	19.80	23.80
	Bedford-----	35.5	20.50	24.50
	Buckingham-----	34.5	19.80	23.80
	Campbell-----	38.1	21.80	26.30
	Caroline-----	32.0	18.40	22.10
	Chesterfield-----	40.0	23.00	27.60
	Cumberland-----	39.1	22.50	27.00
	Fluvanna-----	33.0	19.00	22.80
	Goochland-----	32.5	18.60	22.40
	Greene-----	33.0	19.00	22.80
	Hanover-----	34.5	19.80	23.80
	Smyth-----	36.0	20.70	24.80
	Tazewell-----	36.0	20.70	24.80
	Washington-----	35.0	20.10	24.20
	Wise-----	32.5	18.60	22.40
	Wythe-----	35.0	20.10	24.20
8-----	Charlotte-----	36.0	20.70	24.80
	Franklin-----	30.5	17.50	21.00
	Halifax-----	35.5	20.50	24.50
	Henry-----	30.2	17.40	20.80
	Lunenburg-----	30.5	17.60	21.00
	Nottoway-----	30.0	17.20	20.70

1962 FEED GRAIN PROGRAM—Continued

County 1959-60 Adjusted Average Yields and Per Acre Payment for Grain Sorghums—Continued

VIRGINIA—continued

District	County	1959-60 ad- justed aver- age yield	50 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre	60 per- cent pay- ment rate per acre
	<i>Bushel</i>			
8-----	Patrick-----	33.0	\$19.00	\$22.80
	Pittsylvania-----	31.0	17.80	21.40
9-----	Brunswick-----	30.5	17.50	21.00
	Dinwiddie-----	38.0	21.80	26.20
	Greensville-----	30.5	17.50	21.00
	Isle of Wight-----	41.0	23.60	28.30
	Mecklenburg-----	30.5	17.50	21.00
	Nansemond-----	33.0	19.00	22.80
	Norfolk-----	32.5	18.60	22.40
	Prince George-----	39.0	22.40	26.90
	Princess Anne-----	33.8	19.40	23.30
	Southampton-----	35.5	20.50	24.50
	Surrey-----	33.5	19.30	23.10
	Sussex-----	34.5	19.80	23.80
	Henrico-----	36.0	20.70	24.80
	Louisa-----	35.5	20.50	24.50
	Nelson-----	35.0	20.10	24.20
	Orange-----	32.0	18.40	22.10
	Powhatan-----	35.0	20.10	24.20
	Prince Edward-----	32.0	18.40	22.10
	Spotsylvania-----	33.0	19.00	22.80
	Accomack-----	41.0	23.60	28.30
6-----	Charles City-----	33.0	22.80	27.60
	Elizabeth City-----	38.0	21.80	26.20
	Essex-----	35.0	20.10	24.20
	Gloucester-----	41.0	23.60	28.30
	James City-----	37.0	21.30	25.50
	King and Queen-----	33.0	19.00	22.80
	King George-----	35.5	20.50	24.50
	King William-----	34.0	19.60	23.50
	LANCASTER-----	41.0	23.60	28.30
	Mathews-----	41.0	23.60	28.30
	Middlesex-----	32.5	18.60	22.40
	New Kent-----	33.0	19.00	22.80
	Northampton-----	34.0	19.60	23.50
	Northumberland-----	41.0	23.60	28.30
	Richmond-----	34.0	19.60	23.50
	Warwick-----	38.0	21.80	26.20
	Westmoreland-----	38.0	21.80	26.20
7-----	York-----	38.0	21.80	26.20
	Bland-----	36.0	20.70	24.80
	Buchanan-----	32.5	18.60	22.40
	Carroll-----	35.0	20.10	24.20
	Dickenson-----	35.0	20.10	24.20
	Floyd-----	40.0	23.00	27.60
	Giles-----	38.0	21.80	26.20
	Grayson-----	35.0	20.10	24.20
	Lee-----	40.0	23.00	27.60
	Montgomery-----	36.0	20.70	24.80
	Pulaski-----	36.0	20.70	24.80
	Russell-----	37.0	21.30	25.50
	Scott-----	38.0	21.80	26.20

WASHINGTON

	<i>Bushels</i>			
2-----	Benton-----	58.0	\$30.70	\$36.90
	Yakima-----	56.0	29.70	35.60
5-----	Adams-----	77.0	40.80	49.00
	Franklin-----	76.0	40.30	48.30
	Grant-----	78.0	41.30	49.60
9-----	Walla Walla-----	47.0	24.90	29.90

WEST VIRGINIA

	<i>Bushels</i>			
6-----	Monroe-----	38.0	\$21.80	\$26.20

WISCONSIN

	<i>Bushels</i>			
1-----	Burnett-----	30.0	\$15.20	\$18.20
	Washburn-----	30.0	15.20	18.20
3-----	Oconto-----	30.0	15.20	18.20
	Monroe-----	30.0	15.20	18.20
4-----	St. Croix-----	30.0	15.20	18.20
	Trempealeau-----	30.0	15.20	18.20
5-----	Adams-----	30.0	15.20	18.20
	Green Lake-----	30.0	15.20	18.20
	Vaughan-----	30.0	15.20	18.20
6-----	Door-----	30.0	15.20	18.20
	Kewaunee-----	30.0	15.20	18.20
7-----	Grant-----	30.0	15.20	18.20
	Iowa-----	30.0	15.20	18.20
	Richland-----	30.0	15.20	18.20
	Sauk-----	30.0	15.20	18.20
8-----	Green-----	30.0	15.20	18.20
9-----	Racine-----	30.0	15.20	18.20
	Waukesha-----	30.0	15.20	18.20

(Sec. 16(d), 49 Stat. 1151, as amended; sec. 105, 72 Stat. 993, as amended; sec. 133, 75 Stat. 303, 7; 16 U.S.C. 590p; 7 U.S.C. 1441 note)

Issued at Washington, D.C., this 31st day of May 1962.

ORVILLE L. FREEMAN,
Secretary.[F.R. Doc. 62-5461; Filed, June 8, 1962;
12:03 p.m.]Chapter IX—Agricultural Marketing
Service (Marketing Agreements and
Orders), Department of Agriculture

[Grapefruit Reg. 11]

PART 905—ORANGES, GRAPEFRUIT,
TANGERINES, AND TANGELOS
GROWN IN FLORIDA

Limitation of Shipments

§ 905.327 Grapefruit Regulation 11.

(a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 905 as amended (7 CFR Part 905), regulating the handling of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations of the committees established under the aforesaid amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of shipments of grapefruit, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 1001-1011) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient; a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. Shipments of all grapefruit, grown in the production area, are presently subject to regulation by grades and sizes, pursuant to the amended marketing agreement and order; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after an open meeting of the Growers Administrative Committee on June 4, 1962, such meeting was held to consider recommendations for regulation, after giving due notice of such meeting, and interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit their views at this meeting; the provisions of this section, including the effective time hereof, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and ef-

[Orange Reg. 11]

PART 905—ORANGES, GRAPEFRUIT, TANGERINES, AND TANGELOS GROWN IN FLORIDA

Limitation of Shipments

§ 905.328 Orange Regulation 11.

fective time has been disseminated among handlers of such grapefruit; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period hereinafter set forth so as to provide for the continued regulation of the handling of grapefruit, and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of the persons subject thereto which cannot be completed by the effective time hereof.

(b) *Order.* (1) Terms used in the amended marketing agreement and order shall, when used herein, have the same meaning as is given to the respective term in said amended marketing agreement and order; and terms relating to grade, diameter, standard pack, and standard box, as used herein, shall have the same meaning as is given to the respective term in the United States Standards for Florida Grapefruit (§§ 51.750-51.783 of this title; 26 F.R. 163).

(2) During the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., June 11, 1962, and ending at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., June 18, 1962, no handler shall ship between the production area and any point outside thereof in the continental United States, Canada, or Mexico:

(i) Any grapefruit, except pink seedless grapefruit, grown in the production area, which do not grade at least U.S. No. 1: *Provided*, That such grapefruit may have discoloration to the extent permitted under the U.S. No. 2 Russet grade, and may have slightly rough texture caused only by speck type melanose;

(ii) Any pink seedless grapefruit, grown in the production area, which do not grade at least U.S. No. 2 Russet;

(iii) Any seeded grapefruit, grown in the production area, which are smaller than $3\frac{1}{16}$ inches in diameter, except that a tolerance of 10 percent, by count, of seeded grapefruit smaller than such minimum size shall be permitted, which tolerance shall be applied in accordance with the provisions for the application of tolerances, specified in said United States Standards for Florida Grapefruit; or

(iv) Any seedless grapefruit, grown in the production area, which are smaller than $3\frac{1}{16}$ inches in diameter, except that a tolerance of 10 percent, by count, of seedless grapefruit smaller than such minimum size shall be permitted, which tolerance shall be applied in accordance with the provisions for the application of tolerances, specified in said United States Standards for Florida Grapefruit. (Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: June 6, 1962.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5658; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

No. 112—4

(b) *Order.* (1) Terms used in the amended marketing agreement and order shall, when used herein, have the same meaning as is given to the respective term in said amended marketing agreement and order; and terms relating to grade, diameter, standard pack, and standard box, as used herein, shall have the same meaning as is given to the respective term in the United States Standards for Florida Oranges and Tangelos (§§ 51.1140-51.1178 of this title; 25 F.R. 8211).

(2) During the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., June 11, 1962, and ending at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., June 18, 1962, no handler shall ship between the production area and any point outside thereof in the continental United States, Canada, or Mexico:

(i) Any oranges, except Temple oranges, grown in the production area, which do not grade at least U.S. No. 1 Russet;

(ii) Any oranges, except Temple oranges, grown in the production area, which are of a size smaller than $2\frac{3}{16}$ inches in diameter, except that a tolerance of 10 percent, by count, of oranges smaller than such minimum diameter shall be permitted, which tolerance shall be applied in accordance with the provisions for the application of tolerances specified in said United States Standards for Florida Oranges and Tangelos: *Provided*, That in determining the percentage of oranges in any lot which are smaller than $2\frac{3}{16}$ inches in diameter, such percentage shall be based only on those oranges in such lot which are of a size $2\frac{1}{16}$ inches in diameter or smaller; or

(iii) Any Temple oranges, grown in the production area, which do not grade at least U.S. No. 2 Russet.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: June 6, 1962.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5659; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[Valencia Orange Reg. 16]

PART 908—VALENCIA ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

§ 908.316 Valencia Orange Regulation 16.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement and Order No. 908, as amended (7 CFR Part 908), regulating the handling of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Valencia Orange Administrative Committee, established un-

RULES AND REGULATIONS

[Lemon Reg. 25]

PART 910—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA**Limitation of Handling****§ 910.325 Lemon Regulation 25.**

der the said marketing agreement and order, as amended, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Valencia oranges as hereinafter provided will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* (5 U.S.C. 1001-1011) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Valencia oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Valencia oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on June 7, 1962.

(b) *Order.* (1) The respective quantities of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., June 10, 1962, and ending at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., June 17, 1962, are hereby fixed as follows:

- (i) District 1: Unlimited movement;
- (ii) District 2: 375,000 cartons;
- (iii) District 3: Unlimited movement.

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "handler," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in said marketing agreement and order, as amended.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: June 8, 1962.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5748; Filed, June 8, 1962; 11:27 a.m.]

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 910, as amended (7 CFR Part 910), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such lemons as hereinafter provided will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* (5 U.S.C. 1001-1011) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for lemons and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such lemons; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on June 5, 1962.

(b) *Order.* (1) The respective quantities of lemons grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., June 10, 1962, and ending at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., June 17, 1962, are hereby fixed as follows:

- (i) District 1: Unlimited movement;
- (ii) District 2: 465,000 cartons;
- (iii) District 3: Unlimited movement.

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: June 7, 1962.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5694; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:52 a.m.]

Title 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL**Chapter 1—Civil Service Commission****PART 6—EXCEPTIONS FROM THE COMPETITIVE SERVICE****Department of Justice**

1. Effective June 30, 1962, subparagraph (3), paragraph (b) of § 6.108, having expired by its own terms, is revoked.

2. Effective June 30, 1962, paragraph (c) is added to § 6.208 as set out below.

(c) Positions of Port Receptionist and Supervisory Port Receptionist, Immigration and Naturalization Service.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY V. WENZEL,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5635; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:47 a.m.]

PART 6—EXCEPTIONS FROM THE COMPETITIVE SERVICE**Executive Office of the President**

1. Effective upon publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*, § 6.115, paragraph (a) (1), is added to Part 6 as set out below.

§ 6.115 Executive Office of the President.

(a) *Office of Science and Technology.*

(1) All professional positions on the Staff of the Office.

2. Effective upon publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*, paragraph (c), subparagraphs (1) and (2), is added to § 6.315 as set out below.

§ 6.315 Executive Office of the President.

(a) *Office of Science and Technology.*

(1) One Confidential and Secretarial Assistant to the Director.

(2) One Confidential and Secretarial Assistant to the Deputy Director.

(R.S. 1753, sec. 2, 22 Stat. 403, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 631, 633)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY V. WENZEL,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5650; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:49 a.m.]

Title 9—ANIMALS AND ANIMAL PRODUCTS

Chapter I—Agricultural Research Service, Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER C—INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION OF ANIMALS AND POULTRY

PART 74—SCABIES IN SHEEP

Interstate Movement

Pursuant to the provisions of sections 1 through 4 of the Act of March 3, 1905, as amended, sections 1 and 2 of the Act of February 2, 1903, as amended, and sections 4 through 7 of the Act of May 29, 1884, as amended (21 U.S.C. 111-113, 115, 117, 120, 121, 123-126), §§ 74.2 and 74.3 of Part 74, Subchapter C, Chapter I, Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations, as amended, are hereby amended to read respectively, as follows:

§ 74.2 Designation of free and infected areas.

(a) Notice is hereby given that sheep in the following States, Territories, and District, or parts thereof as specified, are not known to be infected with scabies, and such States, Territories, District, and parts thereof, are hereby designated as free areas:

(1) Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Louisiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Mississippi, Montana, Nevada, New Hampshire, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oregon, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, and Wyoming;

(2) The following Counties in South Dakota: McPherson, Edmunds, Faulk, Hand, Jerauld, Aurora, and Douglas, and all Counties in the State of South Dakota lying west thereof;

(3) The following Counties in Nebraska: Arthur, Banner, Blaine, Box Butte, Brown, Chase, Cherry, Cheyenne, Dawes, Deuel, Dundy, Garden, Grant, Hooker, Keith, Keya Paha, Kimball, Loup, Morrill, Perkins, Rock, Sheridan, Sioux, Scotts Bluff, and Thomas;

(4) That portion of McKinley and San Juan Counties in New Mexico occupied by the Navajo Indian Reservation;

(5) The following Counties in Kansas: Republic, Cloud, Ottawa, Saline, McPherson, Harvey, Sedgwick, and Sumner, and all Counties in the State of Kansas lying west thereof.

(6) The following Counties in Michigan: Alger, Baraga, Chippewa, Delta, Dickinson, Gogebic, Houghton, Iron, Keweenaw, Luce, Mackinac, Marquette, Menominee, Ontonagon, and Schoolcraft.

(b) Notice is hereby given also that sheep scabies exists in all States and Territories and parts of States not designated as free areas in paragraph (a) of this section, and they are hereby designated as infected areas.

§ 74.3 Designation of eradication areas.

(a) Notice is hereby given that sheep in the following States, Territories, or parts thereof as specified, are being handled systematically to eradicate scabies

in sheep and such States, Territories, and parts thereof, are hereby designated as eradication areas:

(1) Hawaii, Illinois, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and Wisconsin.

(2) The following Counties in South Dakota: Brown, Spink, Beadle, Sanborn, Davison, Hutchinson, and Bon Homme, and all Counties in the State of South Dakota lying each thereof;

(3) All Counties in Nebraska except Arthur, Banner, Blaine, Box Butte, Brown, Chase, Cherry, Cheyenne, Dawes, Deuel, Dundy, Garden, Grant, Hooker, Keith, Keya Paha, Kimball, Loup, Morrill, Perkins, Rock, Sheridan, Sioux, Scotts Bluff, and Thomas;

(4) All Counties in New Mexico except that portion of McKinley and San Juan Counties occupied by the Navajo Indian Reservation.

(Secs. 4-7, 23 Stat. 32, as amended, secs. 1, 2, 32 Stat. 791-792, as amended, secs. 1-4, 33 Stat. 1264, as amended, 1265, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 111-113, 115, 117, 120, 121, 123-126; 19 F.R. 74, as amended)

Effective date. The foregoing amendment shall become effective upon issuance.

The amendment adds specified Counties in the State of Michigan to the list of free areas and deletes such Counties from the list of infected and eradication areas as sheep scabies is no longer known to exist in these specified Counties. Hereafter, the restrictions pertaining to the interstate movement of sheep from or into infected and eradication areas as contained in 9 CFR Part 74, as amended, will not apply to these Counties. However, the restrictions in said Part 74 pertaining to the interstate movement of sheep from or into free areas will apply thereto.

The amendment relieves certain restrictions presently imposed and must be made effective immediately to be of maximum benefit to persons subject to the restrictions which are relieved. Accordingly, under section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003), it is found upon good cause that notice and other public procedure with respect to the amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and the amendment may be made effective less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 5th day of June 1962.

M. R. CLARKSON,
Acting Administrator,
Agricultural Research Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5660; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:51 a.m.]

PART 77—TUBERCULOSIS IN CATTLE

Restrictions on Interstate Movement of Cattle Because of Tuberculosis

Pursuant to § 77.3 of the regulations restricting the movement of cattle because of tuberculosis (9 CFR Part 77), issued under the provisions of sections 1 and 2 of the Act of February 2, 1903, as amended, and sections 4 and 5 of the Act of May 29, 1884, as amended (21 U.S.C.

111-113, 120, 121), and upon the basis of determinations made by the Director of the Animal Disease Eradication Division under said section, § 77.3a of Part 77, Subchapter C, Chapter I, Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations, is hereby amended to read:

§ 77.3a Modified accredited areas.

The following areas are hereby designated as modified accredited areas: The District of Columbia and all portions of all States and Territories of the United States, other than the State of Hawaii and Grand Traverse, Kent, Ogemaw, and Sanilac Counties in the State of Michigan.

(Secs. 4, 5, 23 Stat. 32, as amended, secs. 1, 2, 32 Stat. 791-792, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 111-113, 120, 121; 19 F.R. 74, as amended; 9 CFR 77.3)

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

The amendment removes Grand Traverse, Kent, Ogemaw, and Sanilac Counties in the State of Michigan from the areas designated as modified accredited areas because they do not meet the qualifications of such an area as set out in § 77.3.

This amendment imposes certain restrictions necessary to prevent the spread of tuberculosis in cattle and should be made effective promptly in order to accomplish its purpose in the public interest. Therefore, under section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003), it is found upon good cause that notice and other public procedure with respect to this amendment are impracticable and good cause is found for making the amendment effective less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 6th day of June 1962.

E. E. SAULMON,
Acting Director, Animal Disease Eradication Division,
Agricultural Research Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5661; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:51 a.m.]

Title 10—ATOMIC ENERGY

Chapter I—Atomic Energy Commission

PART 50—LICENSING OF PRODUCTION AND UTILIZATION FACILITIES

Technical Specifications

Statement of considerations. The following amendments are designed to clarify the extent to which licensees may make changes, and conduct tests and experiments, which are not specifically provided for in their facility licenses. The amendments reflect consideration of comments received following publication of an earlier draft of these amendments in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 8, 1961. The amendments substantially revise § 50.36 *Designation of technical specifications*, add a new § 50.59 *Author-*

ization of changes, tests and experiments; and add an Appendix A, "Contents of Technical Specifications". The revisions for §§ 50.36 and 50.59 are based upon the provisions incorporated in License No. DPR-1 pursuant to the Commission's Memorandum and Order dated November 2, 1960 (in the matter of General Electric, Vallecitos Boiling Water Reactor, Docket No. 50-18).

Basically the amendments provide that:

(1) Certain significant design and operating limitations and procedures will be designated as technical specifications which must be adhered to in the absence of specific authorization from the Commission. The technical specifications will reflect in such form limits in design and procedures approved by the Commission. They will represent in essence those parameters which define the boundaries of licensed activity which the Commission has evaluated and approved from a safety standpoint.

(2) The licensee may make changes in the facility, make changes in the procedures described in the hazards summary report, and conduct tests or experiments, unless the proposed change, test or experiment involves a change in the technical specifications or "an unreviewed safety question", as defined. Records of changes, tests and experiments which do not involve a change in the technical specifications or "an unreviewed safety question" must be kept and included in those made available for inspection by authorized representatives of the Commission and summarized in reports to be periodically submitted to the Commission.

(3) If a proposed change, test or experiment involves a change in the technical specifications or an unreviewed safety question, it may not be carried out unless authorized by the Commission. The request for such authorization must include an appropriate hazards analysis.

(4) Except as noted in paragraph (5), the Commission may authorize any proposed change, test or experiment upon finding that there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered.

(5) With respect to power and testing reactors, the Commission may authorize a proposed change, test or experiment and a change in technical specifications upon determining that it does not present significant hazards considerations not previously described or implicit in the hazards summary report and upon finding that there is reasonable assurance that the public health and safety would not be endangered. If the proposed change involves significant hazards considerations not previously described or implicit in the hazards summary report, the proposed change, test or experiment must be referred to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards for report and must be scheduled for public hearing.

In conjunction with the adoption of these proposed amendments, the Commission plans to delegate appropriate authority to the staff to determine whether proposed changes, tests and experiments involve a significant hazards

considerations not described or implicit in the hazards summary report and to issue authorization for changes which the rule does not require be referred to the ACRS and to public hearing.

All reports, requests, determinations and authorizations will be made part of the public record of the licensing proceedings.

In order to provide guidance to licensees and applicants, the proposed amendments would add an Appendix A to Part 50 containing a list of matters which are typical of those the Commission would generally expect to be covered by technical specifications in operating licenses. It is emphasized, however, that considerable variation from the subjects listed in Appendix A may be warranted in any particular case. The wide variations found in facility design and operation prevent any listing from being complete. Conversely, it is anticipated that some of the items listed would not be covered in specific operating licenses because of the nature of the particular design or proposed operation.

The proposed amendments would apply to all reactor operating licenses. With respect to existing licenses which do not designate particular portions of the hazards summary report as "technical specifications", the entire report would be designated as "technical specifications". The proposed rules permit amendment of the license upon application by the licensee, or on the Commission's own motion, to designate as "technical specifications" appropriate portions of the hazards summary report.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Administrative Procedures Act and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the following amendments are published as a document subject to codification, to be effective 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

1. Section 50.36 is amended to read as follows:

§ 50.36 Designation of technical specifications.

(a) Each applicant for a license authorizing operation of a production or utilization facility shall, and each applicant for a construction permit may, designate those provisions of his hazards summary report which he proposes be incorporated as technical specifications in the license or construction permit.

(b) Each license authorizing operation of a production or utilization facility of a type described in § 50.21 or § 50.22 will include technical specifications. The technical specifications incorporated in a license will be designed to include those significant design features, operating procedures and operating limitations which are considered important in providing reasonable assurance that the facility will be constructed and operated without undue hazard to public health and safety. Appendix A is provided as a guide to the type of matters which the Commission would generally expect to be covered by the technical specifications. The Commission may include technical specifications on such additional matters as the Commission finds appropriate to provide reasonable assurance that the

facility will be constructed and operated without undue hazard to public health and safety; and may omit items listed in Appendix A if such omission is consistent with the protection of the health and safety of the public.

(c) This section shall not be deemed to modify the technical specifications included in any license issued prior to the effective date of this section. A license issued prior to the effective date of this section in which technical specifications have not been designated, shall be deemed to include the entire hazards summary report as technical specifications. At the initiative of the Commission or the licensee, any license may be amended to include technical specifications of the scope and content which would be required if a new license were being issued.

2. Add the following new § 50.59:

§ 50.59 Authorization of changes, tests and experiments.

(a) The holder of a license authorizing construction or operation of a production or utilization facility may (1) make changes in the facility as described in the hazards summary report, (2) make changes in the procedures as described in the hazards summary report, and (3) conduct tests or experiments not described in the hazards summary report, unless the proposed change, test or experiment involves a change in the technical specifications incorporated in the license or an unreviewed safety question, as defined in paragraph (c) of this section. If the proposed change, test or experiment involves a change in the technical specifications or an unreviewed safety question, it shall not be carried out unless authorized by the Commission pursuant to the procedures set forth in this section.

(b) The licensee shall maintain records of changes in the facility and of changes in procedures made without prior Commission approval pursuant to this section, to the extent that such changes constitute changes in the facility as described in the hazards summary report or constitute changes in procedures as described in the hazards summary report. The licensee shall also maintain records of tests and experiments carried out without prior Commission approval pursuant to this section. The licensee shall furnish annually to the Commission, or at such shorter intervals as may be specified in the license, a report containing a brief description of such changes, tests and experiments.

(c) A proposed change, test or experiment shall be deemed to involve an unreviewed safety question if (1) the probability of occurrence of an accident previously analyzed in the hazards summary report may be increased; or (2) if consequences of an accident previously analyzed in the hazards summary report may be increased; or (3) if a possibility for a nuclear accident of a different type than any analyzed in the hazards summary report may be created.

(d) The licensee shall file a request for authorization of a change in technical specifications or of any change, test or experiment which requires authoriza-

tion by the Commission pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section. The request shall include an appropriate hazards analysis. Each such request shall be filed with the Atomic Energy Commission, Attention: Director, Division of Licensing and Regulation. The licensee shall file three signed originals and 19 additional copies.

(e) With respect to request for changes, tests or experiments or for changes in technical specifications for a facility of a type described in § 50.21(b) or § 50.22, or a testing facility:

(1) If the Commission determines that the proposed change, test or experiment presents significant hazards considerations not described or implicit in the hazards summary report it will refer the request to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards and will order a public hearing in accordance with applicable procedures. The Commission will promptly notify the licensee of any referral to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.

(2) If the Commission determines that the proposed change, test or experiment does not present significant hazards considerations not described or implicit in the hazards summary report, it may authorize such change, test or experiment, without referral to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards for a report and without a prior public hearing, upon finding that there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered.

(f) With respect to requests for changes, tests or experiments or for changes in technical specifications for a production or utilization facility which is not of a type described in § 50.21(b) or § 50.22 or a testing facility, the Commission may authorize the proposed change, test or experiment upon finding that there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered.

(g) Any report or request for authorization submitted by a licensee, and any determination by the Commission, or authorization issued by the Commission, pursuant to this section, will be made a part of the public record of the licensing proceeding. An authorization issued by the Commission will include appropriate changes in the technical specifications.

3. Add the following Appendix A:

APPENDIX A—GUIDE TO CONTENTS OF TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR NUCLEAR REACTORS

1. This Appendix is a guide to matters which are typical of those the Commission would generally expect to be covered by technical specifications in operating licenses for nuclear reactors. The generalized form of the guide results in inclusion of items not common to all reactors, and should be used in preparation of technical specifications for a particular facility only to the extent the matters listed are applicable. Conversely, technical specifications are expected to include items other than those listed if such matters could have an effect on the safety of operations comparable in significance to the effect of the following items:

A. Site. 1. Physical location of the reactor plant.

2. Minimum distance to boundary of the exclusion area.

3. Principal activities carried on within the exclusion area.

B. Containment. 1. Design pressure and maximum total leakage rate at design pressure of the containment vessel (including penetrations).

2. Overall dimensions, materials of construction and approximate free volume of containment barrier.

3. Principal types of containment vessel penetrations, and for each type, the approximate number and methods of closure and sealing (including piping, duct work, and access openings).

4. Shell maximum design pressure under minimum temperature conditions and methods of protection against the cold.

5. Frequency, pressure, and methods of testing of the containment vessel and penetrations.

C. Primary coolant system. 1. General system specifications, and major primary system components including:

(a) Number of loops.

(b) Number of isolation and check valves per loop.

(c) Number of steam generators per loop.

(d) Method of coolant circulation and heat removal.

(e) Number of pumps per loop.

(f) Reactor coolant piping material and size.

(g) Volume of primary coolant.

2. Principal reactor vessel design features including:

(a) Temperature and pressure rating (design and operating).

(b) Materials of construction (base metal and cladding).

(c) Overall dimensions.

(d) Types and locations of nozzle connections with respect to core.

(e) Number of and types of penetrations.

(f) Type of closure and any limiting features.

3. Primary coolant specifications:

(a) Materials.

(b) Method of pressurization.

(c) Coolant chemistry limits.

(d) Impurity limits.

4. Operating variables, including:

(a) Minimum core inlet pressure.

(b) Maximum and minimum flow rate through the core.

(c) Maximum core exit bulk temperature.

(d) Maximum heat up and cool down rates of major components.

(e) Reactor vessel pressure limitations as a function of temperature and integrated neutron flux.

5. Principal design features of major components, including:

(a) Primary heat exchanger type and rating.

(b) Type of pump and pump drive.

(c) Isolation valve type and modes of operation.

(d) Check valve type and pressure design.

6. Materials and general configuration of primary system shielding.

D. Primary plant auxiliary systems. 1. Principal design features of major components including:

(a) Relief valve types, minimum capacity, relief settings, points for pressure discharge.

(b) Demineralizer type and product specifications.

(c) Pressurizer type and surge capacity.

(d) Coolant charging pump, number, charging rate and pressure design.

(e) Core water injection and containment spray system pumps, number, capacity, injection rate and design pressure.

(f) Reactor plant component cooling system pumps and heat exchanger, number, capacity and pressure design.

(g) Reactor plant control air design pressure, compressor type and rating.

2. Operating limitations, including:

(a) Water chemistry.

(b) Minimum reserve capacity of core water injection and containment spray systems.

(c) Maximum and minimum ambient operating temperature of reactor room.

(d) Minimum level of primary shield tank water level.

E. Secondary coolant system. 1. General system design specifications, including:

(a) Coolant.

(b) Maximum pressure, operating and design.

(c) Maximum temperature, operating and design.

(d) Coolant flow rate—maximum and minimum.

(e) Minimum makeup coolant temperature.

(f) Maximum differential temperature and pressure between primary and secondary systems.

(g) Coolant chemistry limits.

2. Principal operating limitations established from considerations of nuclear safety, including:

(a) Loading.

(b) Turbine control.

(c) Steam dumping or bypassing.

(d) Power network interconnections.

(e) Maximum radioactivity.

F. Reactor core. 1. Principal core design features, including:

(a) Moderator material.

(b) Reflector material and thickness.

(c) Fuel material, enrichment, and melting or boiling point.

(d) Minimum number of fuel thermocouples, where provided as a safeguard.

(e) Clad material and method of bonding.

(f) Minimum number of clad thermocouples where provided as a safeguard.

(g) Brief fuel element description including nominal dimensions, overall and internal element supports and orificing.

(h) Maximum total mass of core and of fuel in the core.

(i) Maximum number of fuel elements in the core.

(j) Maximum fuel burnup (MWD).

(k) Maximum or minimum void coefficient of reactivity, and maximum operating void fraction.

(l) Temperature and pressure reactivity coefficients, ambient to operating.

(m) Form of burnable poison and method of attachment.

(n) Maximum and minimum reactivity worth of burnable poison.

(o) Brief description of source including minimum initial strength and type.

(p) Number of passes and flow direction through the core.

2. Principal design core temperatures and thermal characteristics, including:

(a) Maximum thermal power.

(b) Maximum local core heat flux (maximum with respect to all variables at rated power).

(c) Minimum burnout safety factor (on heat flux) and correlation method used.

(d) Maximum fuel surface and central temperatures at designated points.

G. Control and safety systems. 1. Reactivity control system design and operating limits, including:

(a) Number installed and minimum number of operative control elements and drives.

(b) Principal design features including control element materials, control rod guides, minimum operating clearances, use of followers, limits on allowable operating temperatures and pressures and rod coupling method.

(c) Maximum reactivity worth of automatic control systems and of entire control system for both operating temperatures (hot) and cold plant conditions.

(d) Maximum reactivity worth of any individual control system component or gang, for hot and cold conditions.

(e) Minimum shutdown control margin for hot and cold conditions.

(f) Minimum number of least reactive control elements corresponding to minimum shutdown margin.

(g) Maximum reactivity addition rate by control elements.

(h) Maximum excess reactivity above cold clean critical.

(i) Automatic modes of reactivity insertion and shutdown and maximum total scram delay time and safety element insertion time.

(j) Type, minimum reactivity worth, conditions of use, and principal design features of auxiliary poison systems.

(k) Rod position indication method and minimum accuracy limits.

(l) Minimum worth of safety control elements cocked during startup, fuel loading and other core manipulations.

(m) Minimum reactor power for automatic control.

2. Nuclear instrumentation system design and operating limits including:

(a) Brief description of the system including ranges, types, and sensitivities of instrument channels and detectors, their degree of independence of operation, and use of redundancy or coincidence circuitry.

(b) Minimum number and ranges of operative level safety and period safety channels during startup and power operation.

(c) Setting of scram points.

(d) Automatic control system inputs.

3. Characteristics of safety control systems auxiliary to the reactivity control and nuclear instrumentation systems including:

(a) Emergency power supply availability, and total loading with respect to minimum capacity.

(b) Devices which are activated on automatic building closure.

(c) Type, functions, and conditions of use of interlocks.

(d) Items which may be bypassed, method of bypassing, and conditions under which bypassing will be used.

(e) Conditions which would automatically cause reactor scram or building closure and activation points for these actions.

(f) Devices causing scram or partial insertion of rods and scram point settings.

(g) Instrumentation primarily or solely provided for analysis of conditions following an accident.

H. *Monitoring systems.* General design features and specific operating limits, including:

1. Stack, activity discharge rate averaged over a year and minimum number and sensitivity of operating monitors.

2. Maximum instantaneous stack activity discharge rate.

3. Fuel element failure detection equipment sensitivity, localization and sampling interval (if not continuous).

4. Minimum number and sensitivity of monitors for radiation level detection in accessible areas.

5. Minimum number and sensitivity of monitors of liquid radioactive effluents including primary coolant leak detectors.

6. Criticality monitors in fuel storage areas.

I. *Waste disposal systems.* Design and operating features including:

1. Principal features of equipment for removal of gases or other materials from primary and secondary coolant, moderator, reflector, or shield; equipment capacity and mode of use (continuous or intermittent).

2. Stack height.

3. Minimum waste holdup capacities, storage and processing methods and maximum radioactivity inventories during normal operations, maintenance and refueling.

J. *Ventilation systems.* 1. Brief description of principal features of systems providing safeguards functions, including:

(a) Fans used, capacities and approximate numbers.

(b) Direction of atmospheric pressure gradient across walls, doorways, and other important barriers of the facility and minimum atmospheric pressure differentials across principal barriers where pertinent to contamination control.

(c) Minimum ventilation rates where applicable.

(d) Provisions for ventilation system closure.

(e) Provisions for relief of positive or negative pressures within the enclosed areas upon ventilating system closure.

(f) Location of ventilation system inlets and outlets.

(g) Location, type, and procedures for maintenance of filtering or other air cleaning systems.

K. *Emergency cooling and decay heat removal systems.* 1. Principal system design features.

2. Minimum capacity of emergency heat exchangers.

3. Type, minimum coolant supply, flow rate, and power requirement of emergency cooling systems.

4. Total cooling time made available by emergency cooling systems.

5. Conditions which would automatically cause emergency actions.

6. Source and availability of emergency power.

L. *Fuel storage.* 1. Brief descriptions of physical means by which fuel elements are to be transported within the facility, and conditions under which they are stored.

2. Amount and arrangement (spacing) of special nuclear material to be stored.

3. Basic method or methods by which nuclear safety against inadvertent criticality is assured (mass, geometry).

4. Procedural limitations on quantities to be removed or inserted in storage area at any one time.

5. Means of providing personnel protections against radiation hazards from spent fuel.

M. *Experimental facilities including.* 1. Brief description of principal design features of experimental facilities.

2. Maximum excess reactivity allowed for experiments.

3. Maximum individual reactivity increase to be allowed for any experiment or experimental facility by flooding, draining, poison removal, fueled experiment addition, or other method.

4. For each loop or other experimental facility:

(a) Types of sensors for process variables, output actions, and redundant or coincidence provisions.

(b) Minimum cooling capacity to each experiment, method of cooling, and emergency cooling provisions.

(c) General geometry, minimum pressure resistance, and maximum leak rate of experiment containment barriers.

(d) Significant controls, signals, or other safety mechanisms by which experiments or experimenters (manually or automatically) may shutdown the reactor.

(e) Types of experiments to be conducted and limits on experimental programs, considering such effects as corrosion, explosion, and instrument shadowing.

5. Argon and other activation product limitations.

N. *Administrative and procedural safeguards.* 1. Brief coverage of the following:

(a) The availability of detailed written procedures for operations that might affect nuclear safety and for emergencies.

(b) The manner whereby operating procedures are reviewed and approved for use.

2. Brief description of the following controls procedures and tests:

(a) Administrative organization and controls to the extent that these have potential effect on safety.

(b) General operating principles having a potential effect on safety, including those for initial startup, routine operation, maintenance, refueling, conduct and operation of experiments, power escalation from criticality to full design power, and emergencies; minimum staffing requirements for such operations where applicable.

(c) Postcritical schedule of maintenance and recalibrating tests of safety system components, monitors, and other equipment having a potential safeguards function including items such as reactor control instruments, control rod systems, effluent release monitors, personnel protection monitors, portable detectors, building leakage and emergency systems.

(d) Procedures for the review within the licensee's organization of proposed modifications in the facility or in operating procedures, and of the design and conduct of experiments.

(Sec. 103, 68 Stat. 936, sec. 104, 68 Stat. 937, sec. 161, 68 Stat. 948, sec. 182, 68 Stat. 953, sec. 183, 68 Stat. 954; 42 U.S.C. 2133, 2134, 2201, 2232, 2233)

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 31st day of May 1962.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

WOODFORD B. MCCOOL,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5615; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter II—Civil Aeronautics Board

SUBCHAPTER A—ECONOMIC REGULATIONS

[Reg. ER-357]

PART 221—CONSTRUCTION, PUBLICATION, FILING AND POSTING OF TARIFFS OF AIR CARRIERS AND OF FOREIGN AIR CARRIERS

Carriers' Billing and Payment Rules; Postponement of Effective Date

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 7th day of June 1962.

On May 8, 1962, the Board adopted Amendment No. 11 to Part 221 of its Economic Regulations, Docket 11509, ER-353, 27 F.R. 4509, in which it added a new § 221.38(i) to Part 221 which requires all direct and indirect air carriers and foreign air carriers to state in their tariffs governing the transportation of property, their rules, regulations and practices relating to the billing of shippers for transportation services rendered and the payment of rendered bills by shippers for such services. This rule was to become effective on June 11, 1962.

Fifteen domestic scheduled air carriers filed a joint petition on June 4, 1962, Docket 11509, requesting the Board to postpone the effective date of § 221.38(i) until August 10, 1962. In support of the petition the petitioners allege in substance that: (1) since all air carriers are required to publish billing and payment rules, their publication in a consolidated tariff involves a matter of con-

siderable complexity and expense, (2) air carriers need more time to devise new billing and payment rules and methods of publishing such rules, and (3) the postponement for 60 days of the effective date of § 221.38(i) will not have an adverse effect upon shippers and will permit the development of clear and concise rules which will have a beneficial effect upon shippers as well as air carriers.

The Board is of the opinion that good cause has been shown in the petition for postponing the effectiveness of § 221.38(i) until August 10, 1962.

Since this action does not impose a restriction or duty on any person, notice and public procedure thereon are unnecessary and this amendment may be made effective upon publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*.

Accordingly, the Civil Aeronautics Board hereby modifies Amendment No. 11 of Part 221 of its Economic Regulations (14 CFR Part 221) by changing, effective upon publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*, the effective date of § 221.38(i) from June 11, 1962, to August 10, 1962.

(Sec. 204, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 72 Stat. 743; 49 U.S.C. 1324)

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5706; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:52 a.m.]

Chapter III—Federal Aviation Agency

SUBCHAPTER E—AIR NAVIGATION REGULATIONS

[Airspace Docket No. 62-EA-40]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

Alteration of Control Area Extension

The purpose of this amendment to § 601.1043 of the regulations of the Administrator is to alter the description of the Bowling Green, Ky., control area extension.

The Bowling Green control area extension is designated, in part, with reference to the Bowling Green radio range northwest and southeast courses. The Federal Aviation Agency has determined that the controlled airspace designated on this navigational aid is no longer required for air traffic control purposes and may be revoked. Accordingly, such action is taken herein.

Since the change effected by this amendment is less restrictive in nature than present requirements, and impose no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary. However, since it is necessary that sufficient time be allowed to permit appropriate changes to be made on aeronautical charts, this amendment will become effective more than thirty days after publication.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to

me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582), § 601.1043 (14 CFR 601.1043) is amended to read:

§ 601.1043 Control area extension (Bowling Green, Ky.).

Within a 15-mile radius of the Bowling Green, Ky., VORTAC and that airspace W of Bowling Green bounded on the N by latitude 37°00'00" N., on the SE by VOR Federal airway No. 57, and on the W by VOR Federal airway No. 7.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., July 26, 1962.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 4, 1962.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Air Traffic Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5617; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-WA-48]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

Alteration of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to § 601.2025 of the regulations of the Administrator is to alter the description of the Big Spring, Texas, control zone.

The Big Spring control zone is designated, in part, on the Big Spring radio range. The Federal Aviation Agency has scheduled the conversion of this facility to a radio beacon on or about June 18, 1962. Therefore, action is taken herein to substitute the Big Spring radio beacon for the Big Spring radio range in the description of the Big Spring control zone.

Since this amendment is editorial in nature, and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and it may be made effective June 18, 1962.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582), § 601.2025 (14 CFR 601.2025) is amended to read:

§ 601.2025 Big Spring, Texas, control zone.

Within a 5-mile radius of Webb AFB (latitude 32°12'53" N., longitude 101°-31'21" W.), Big Spring, Texas; within 2 miles either side of the 251° bearing from the Big Spring RBN extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 10 miles W of the RBN; and within 2 miles either side of the Big Spring VOR 191° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the VOR.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., June 18, 1962.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 4, 1962.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Air Traffic Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5618; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-CE-34]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

Alteration of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to § 601.2054 of the regulations of the Administrator is to alter the Hutchinson, Kans., control zone.

The Hutchinson control zone is presently designated, in part, with reference to the Hutchinson radio range. The Federal Aviation Agency has programmed the decommissioning of this facility and the control zone extension based on this navigational aid will no longer be required for air traffic control purposes. Therefore, action is taken herein to revoke the control zone extension based on the Hutchinson radio range.

Since the change effected by this amendment is less restrictive in nature than present requirements, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary. However, since it is necessary that sufficient time be allowed to permit appropriate changes to be made on aeronautical charts, this amendment will become effective more than thirty days after publication.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582), § 601.2054 (14 CFR 601.2054) is amended to read:

§ 601.2054 Hutchinson, Kans., control zone.

Within a 5-mile radius of the geographical center of the Hutchinson, Kans., Municipal Airport (latitude 38°04'00" N., longitude 97°51'35" W.); within an 8-mile radius of the geographical center of the Hutchinson ANG Field (latitude 37°55'35" N., longitude 97°54'20" W.) and within 2 miles either side of the Hutchinson VORTAC 222° radial extending from the Hutchinson ANG Field 8-mile radius zone to 12 miles SW of the VORTAC.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., July 26, 1962.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 4, 1962.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Air Traffic Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5619; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-EA-36]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

Alteration of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to § 601.2223 of the regulations of the Administrator is to alter the description of the Charleston, W. Va., control zone.

The Charleston control zone is designated, in part, with reference to the Charleston radio range. The control zone extensions based on the east and west courses of this navigational aid are no longer required for air traffic control purposes. Therefore, action is taken herein to revoke the control zone extensions based on the Charleston radio range.

Since the changes effected by this amendment are less restrictive in nature than present requirements, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary. However, since it is necessary that sufficient time be allowed to permit appropriate changes to be made on aeronautical charts, this amendment will become effective more than thirty days after publication.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582), § 601.2223 (14 CFR 601.2223) is amended to read:

§ 601.2223 Charleston, W. Va., control zone.

Within a 5-mile radius of the Kanawha County Airport (latitude 38°22'21" N., longitude 81°35'35" W.), Charleston, W. Va.; within 2 miles either side of the Charleston ILS localizer NE course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 10 miles NE of the OM; and within 2 miles either side of the Charleston VORTAC 087° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the VORTAC.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., July 26, 1962.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 4, 1962.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Air Traffic Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5620; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-WA-58]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

Alteration of Reporting Points

The purpose of these amendments to § 601.5001 of the regulations of the Administrator is to redesignate certain compulsory reporting points.

The Federal Aviation Agency is converting the Tallahassee, Fla., and Pensacola, Fla., L/MF ranges to radio beacons. The actions taken herein reflect the conversion of these facilities.

Since these amendments are of a procedural nature and do not assign or reassign the use of navigable airspace, compliance with the notice and public procedure of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary. However, since it is necessary that sufficient time be allowed to permit appropriate changes to be made on aeronautical charts, these amendments will become effective more than 30 days after publication.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582), the following actions are taken:

1. In § 601.5001 "Pensacola, Fla., RR" is deleted from the reporting points listed below and "Pensacola, Fla., RBN" is substituted therefor.

Neptune Int. (25 F.R. 9436).
Viperfish Int. (26 F.R. 9708).
Fallfish Int. (26 F.R. 9708).

2. In § 601.5001 "Tallahassee, Fla., RR" is deleted from the reporting point listed below and "Tallahassee, Fla., RBN" is substituted therefor.

Crab Int. (25 F.R. 9436)

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., July 26, 1962.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 4, 1962.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Air Traffic Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5621; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-WA-15]

PART 602—DESIGNATION OF JET ROUTES, JET ADVISORY AREAS AND HIGH ALTITUDE NAVIGATIONAL AIDS

Alteration of Jet Route and Jet Advisory Area

On April 6, 1962, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (27 F.R. 3296) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency (FAA) proposed to extend Jet Route No. 79 and its associated radar jet advisory area from Wilmington to the boundary of the continental control area via the Wilmington VORTAC 192° True radial and to realign J-79 from Wilmington direct to Norfolk, Va.

The Department of the Navy expressed concern over the proximity of the Wilmington-Norfolk segment of realigned J-79 to high altitude holding patterns based on the Elizabeth City, N.C., VOR. The Navy "views with concern the possible intermingling of the VFR traffic on J-79 and the high altitude holding patterns." The FAA feels that proper observance of established procedures will preclude derogation of safety with regard to aircraft utilizing J-79 and aircraft holding at Elizabeth City. There appears to be insufficient reason to withhold public use of such airspace.

The Air Transport Association of America endorsed the proposed amendment. No other comments were received.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582) and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following action is taken:

1. In § 602.100 (14 CFR 602.100) Jet Route No. 79 is amended to read:

Jet Route No. 79 (Miami, Fla., to Idlewild, N.Y.). From Miami, Fla., to West Palm Beach, Fla. From the boundary of the continental control area S of Wilmington, N.C., via the Wilmington, 192° radial; Wilmington; Norfolk; INT or the Norfolk 023° and the Coyle, N.J., 208° radials; Coyle, to Idlewild, N.Y.

2. In § 602.200 en route jet advisory areas (14 CFR 602.200) Jet Route No. 79 jet advisory area is amended to read:

Jet Route No. 79 jet advisory area. Radar—Miami, Fla., to West Palm Beach, Fla. From the boundary of the continental control area via the Wilmington, N.C., 192° radial; Wilmington, to Idlewild, N.Y.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., July 26, 1962.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 4, 1962.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Air Traffic Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5622; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-WA-60]

PART 602—DESIGNATION OF JET ROUTES, JET ADVISORY AREAS AND HIGH ALTITUDE NAVIGATIONAL AIDS

Alteration of Jet Routes and Jet Advisory Areas

The purpose of these amendments to §§ 602.100 and 602.300 of the regulations of the Administrator is to alter segments of Jet Routes Nos. 75 and 101; and alter the descriptions of terminal jet advisory areas at Houston, Tex., and New Orleans, La.

J-75 presently extends in part from the Miami, Fla., VORTAC via the intersection of the Miami VORTAC 296° and the Lakeland, Fla., VOR 175° True radials to the Lakeland VOR. The Federal Aviation Agency (FAA) is realigning this segment of J-75 via the Miami VORTAC 297° True radial which will cause it to directly overlie intermediate altitude VOR Federal airway No. 1519 and will simplify transition between the intermediate altitude and jet route structures.

J-101 presently extends in part from the Springfield, Ill., VOR via the intersection of the Springfield VOR 036° and the Joliet, Ill., VORTAC 205° True radials to the Joliet VORTAC. The FAA is realigning this segment of J-101 via the Joliet VORTAC 204° True radial which will cause it to coincide with Jet Route No. 35 and directly overlie intermediate altitude VOR Federal airway No. 1527 and will simplify transitions between the intermediate altitude and jet route structure.

Additionally, when Part 602 was reissued as Airspace Docket No. 60-WA-34 (26 F.R. 7079), in § 602.300 Terminal jet advisory areas, under Houston, Tex., (f) reference was made to the Smithfield, Tex., intersection. The correct name of this intersection is Smithville, Tex. Also, under New Orleans, La., (j) reference was made to the Picayune,

Miss., 171° radial. The correct radial is 161°. Action is taken herein to make these editorial changes.

Since these amendments are minor and editorial in nature and impose no additional burden on the public, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary. However, since it is necessary that sufficient time be allowed to permit the appropriate changes to Jet Routes Nos. 75 and 101 to be made on aeronautical charts, these amendments will become effective more than 30 days after publication.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582) the following actions are taken:

1. In § 602.100 *Jet routes* (14 CFR 602.100, 27 F.R. 2174, 2312) the following changes are made:

a. In the text of Jet Route No. 75 "Miami 296°" is deleted and "Miami 297°" is substituted therefor.

b. In the text of Jet Route No. 101 "Joliet, Ill., 205°" is deleted and "Joliet, Ill., 204°" is substituted therefor.

2. In § 602.300 *Terminal jet advisory areas* (14 CFR 602.300, 27 F.R. 4834) the following changes are made:

a. Under Houston, Tex., jet advisory area—Radar (f), "Smithfield, Tex., INT" is deleted and "Smithville, Tex., INT" is substituted therefor.

b. Under New Orleans, La., jet advisory area—Radar (j), "Picayune, Miss., 171°" is deleted and "Picayune, Miss., 161°" is substituted therefor.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., July 26, 1962.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 4, 1962.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Air Traffic Service.

[F.R. Dec. 62-5623; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-WA-33]

PART 602—DESIGNATION OF JET ROUTES, JET ADVISORY AREAS, AND HIGH ALTITUDE NAVIGATIONAL AIDS

Designation of Jet Advisory Area

On April 20, 1962, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (27 F.R. 3821), stating that the Federal Aviation Agency was considering the designation of an en route radar jet advisory area within 16 miles either side of Jet Route No. 17 from flight level 240 to flight level 390 inclusive, between Amarillo, Tex., and Denver, Colo.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582)

No. 112—5

and for reasons stated in the notice, the following action is taken:

In the text of § 602.200 *Enroute jet advisory areas* (14 CFR 602.200) the following is added:

Jet Route No. 17 jet advisory area. Radar—Amarillo, Tex., to Denver, Colo.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., July 26, 1962.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C., 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 4, 1962.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Air Traffic Service.

[F.R. Dec. 62-5624; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

Title 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission

[Docket 8275 c.o.]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Harry's Linoleum Co. et al.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.15 *Business status, advantages, or connections*: § 13.15-60 *Exclusive distributor or producer*; § 13.70 *Fictitious or misleading guarantees*; § 13.75 *Free goods or services*; § 13.155 *Prices*: § 13.155-40 *Exaggerated as regular and customary*; § 13.170 *Qualities or properties of product or service*: § 13.170-30 *Durability or permanence*.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45) [Cease and desist order, Harry's Linoleum Company et al., Cincinnati, Ohio, Docket 8275, Dec. 27, 1961]

In the Matter of Harry's Linoleum Company, a Corporation, Harry's Corner, Inc., an Ohio Corporation, Buckeye Linoleum and Wallpaper Headquarters, Inc., a Corporation, Harry's Corner, Inc., a Kentucky Corporation, Harco Distributing Corp., a Corporation, and Harry Goldstein, individually and as an Officer of the Said Corporations

Consent order requiring five affiliated concerns, all with the same Cincinnati address, and operating retail stores in Ohio and Kentucky, to cease representing falsely in newspaper advertising that fictitiously high amounts were regular prices for their carpeting, counter topping, paint, and other merchandise; that certain carpeting was guaranteed unconditionally for lifetime or five years; that purchasers of one gallon of paint would receive a second gallon "free"; that certain nylon carpet was "indestructible"; and that they were the only sellers of that carpeting in their trade area.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents Harry's Linoleum Company, a corporation, Harry's Corner Inc., an Ohio corpora-

tion, Buckeye Linoleum and Wallpaper Headquarters Inc., a corporation, Harry's Corner Inc., a Kentucky corporation and Harco Distributing Corp., a corporation, and their respective officers, and Harry Goldstein, individually and as an officer of the said corporations and respondents' agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporate device, in connection with the offering for sale, and sale and distribution of merchandise, in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Representing directly or by implication:

(a) That any amount is respondents' usual and customary retail price of merchandise unless such amount is the price at which the merchandise has been usually and customarily sold at retail by respondents in the recent regular course of business.

(b) That any saving is afforded in the purchase of merchandise from the respondents' retail price unless the price at which the merchandise is offered constitutes a reduction from the price at which said merchandise is usually and customarily sold at retail by the respondents in the recent regular course of business.

(c) That any merchandise, sold or offered for sale is guaranteed, unless the nature and extent of the guarantee and the manner in which the guarantor will perform thereunder are clearly and conspicuously disclosed.

(d) That any merchandise is given away "free" with a purchase of other merchandise, or in any other manner, unless such is the fact.

(e) That carpeting made from DuPont 501 Nylon is indestructible.

(f) That respondents are the only sellers of DuPont 501 Nylon carpeting in a trade area where such a representation is made, unless such is the fact.

2. Using the words "made to sell for" or any other words or terms of similar import in connection with prices of merchandise unless such prices are those at which the merchandise has been sold by respondents in the recent regular course of business, or unless such prices are those at which the merchandise has usually and customarily been sold at retail in the trade area where the representations are made.

3. Misrepresenting in any manner, the amount of savings available to purchasers of respondents' merchandise, or the amount by which the price of merchandise has been reduced either from the price at which it has been usually and customarily sold by respondents in the recent regular course of business, or from the price at which it has been usually and customarily sold at retail in the trade area where the representation is made.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is ordered, That the above-named respondents shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in

writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: December 27, 1961.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5629; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket 6807 o.]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Helbros Watch Co., Inc., et al.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.70 Fictitious or misleading guarantees; § 13.130 Manufacture or preparation; § 13.155 Prices: § 13.155-40 Exaggerated as regular and customary; § 13.155-45 Fictitious marking; § 13.170 Qualities or properties of product or service: § 13.170-4 Shock-resistant; § 13.170-96 Waterproof, waterproofing, water-repellent; § 13.175 Quality of product or service. Subpart—Furnishing means and instrumentalities of misrepresentation or deception: § 13.1055-50 Preticketing merchandise misleadingly. Subpart—Misbranding or mislabeling: § 13.1255 Manufacture or preparation; § 13.1280 Price; § 13.1295 Quality or grade; § 13.1355 Value. Subpart—Misrepresenting oneself and goods—Prices: § 13.1811 Fictitious preticketing.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45) [Cease and desist order, Helbros Watch Company, Inc., et al., New York, N.Y., Docket 6807, Dec. 26, 1961]

In the Matter of Helbros Watch Company, Inc., a Corporation, William Helbein, Jack Diamond, Nat Prigozen, Larry Prigozen, Carl Avner, Jack Nadel, Individually and as Officers of Said Corporation

Order requiring New York City distributors of watches to many classes of customers including jobbers, premium users, industrial firms, wholesalers, mail order firms, credit jewelers, and house-to-house canvassers, to cease making such false statements concerning their watches—by means of tags and labels, promotional material, circulars, display sheets, advertising mats supplied to dealers, and otherwise—as “With Lifetime Ruby Jewels”, “Water resistant”, “Shock protected”, and “Each watch is guaranteed to give you a lifetime of true time”; and to cease affixing to each watch or to the plastic container, price tags, and placing in the hands of dealers price lists, bearing fictitious amounts, represented thus as usual retail selling prices.

The order to cease and desist, as modified by the Commission, is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondent Helbros Watch Company, Inc., a corporation, and its officers, and individual respondents Jack Diamond, Nat Prigozen, Larry Prigozen and Carl Avner, individually and as officers of said corporation, their agents, representatives, and employees, directly or through any corporate or

other device, in connection with the offering for sale, sale, and distribution of watches or other merchandise in commerce, as “commerce” is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Representing, directly or by implication:

(a) That their watches contain ruby jewels;

(b) That their watches are water resistant or otherwise resistant or impervious to water, unless such is the fact, or are shockproof or shock protected;

(c) That their watches are guaranteed, unless the nature and extent of the guarantee and the manner in which the guarantor will perform thereunder, are clearly and conspicuously disclosed;

(d) That their watches are guaranteed when a service charge is imposed, unless the fact that such service charge is imposed and the amount thereof is clearly and conspicuously disclosed;

(e) That certain amounts are the usual and regular retail prices of respondents' merchandise when such amounts are in excess of the prices at which such merchandise is usually and regularly sold at retail, by the class of retailers selling such merchandise, in the trade area or areas where the representation is made.

2. Engaging in any practice or plan which will provide retailers of their merchandise with the means of misrepresenting the usual and regular retail prices of such merchandise.

It is further ordered, That the complaint be, and the same hereby is, dismissed as to respondent William Helbein.

By “Final Order”, report of compliance was required as follows:

It is further ordered, That the respondents shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist contained in the initial decision.

By the Commission.

Issued: December 26, 1961.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5630; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:46 a.m.]

Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

Chapter I—Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

SUBCHAPTER B—FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS [Docket No. FDC-71]

PART 37—FISH; DEFINITIONS AND STANDARDS OF IDENTITY; STANDARDS OF FILL OF CONTAINER

Fish Flour; Identity; Postponement of Public Hearing

In the FEDERAL REGISTER of April 28, 1962 (27 F.R. 4063), there was published

a notice of a hearing on objections to the order establishing a standard of identity for fish flour under authority of section 401 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. This notice was based on formal objections to the order received from Honorable Paul H. Douglas, United States Senate; Mr. Harold Putnam, on behalf of VioBin Corporation, Monticello, Illinois; and Mr. Vincent A. Kleinfeld of Bernstein, Kleinfeld and Alper, on behalf of Gulf Menhaden Company, Cameron, Louisiana, the members of Industrial Products Division, National Fisheries Institute, Inc., Washington, D.C., the members of Virginia Fishermen's Association, Reedville, Virginia, and Fish Products Company, Lewes, Delaware.

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs is now in receipt of requests from Senator Douglas, Mr. Putnam, and Mr. Kleinfeld requesting that the hearing be postponed.

In response to these requests, notice is given that the prehearing conference scheduled for June 12, 1962, and the hearing scheduled for June 18, 1962, will not be held until further notice. This is without prejudice to the objectors' requesting that the hearing be rescheduled at a later date.

(Sec. 701(e) (2), 70 Stat. 919; 21 U.S.C. 371 (e) (2))

Signed: June 6, 1962.

GEO. P. LARRICK,
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5666; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:51 a.m.]

SUBCHAPTER C—DRUGS

PART 146a—CERTIFICATION OF PENICILLIN AND PENICILLIN-CONTAINING DRUGS

Miscellaneous Amendments

Under the authority vested in the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare by the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 507, 59 Stat. 463 as amended; 21 U.S.C. 357) and delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs by the Secretary (25 F.R. 8625), the regulations for certification of penicillin and penicillin-containing drugs (21 CFR 146a.14, 146a.53, 146a.92, 146a.106, 146a.107; 27 F.R. 619, 828) are amended as follows:

1. In § 146a.14, paragraph (b) is amended to read as follows:

§ 146a.14. Sodium oxacillin capsules.

(b) Packaging; labeling; request for certification, samples; fees. Sodium oxacillin capsules conform to all requirements and procedures prescribed for sodium oxacillin tablets by § 146a.13 (b), (c), (d), and (e), except with respect to disintegration time.

2. Section 146a.53(b) is amended as follows:

a. The introduction to the paragraph is amended to read as follows:

§ 146a.53 Capsules penicillin and novobiocin.

(b) *Packaging; labeling; request for certification, samples; fees.* Capsules penicillin and novobiocin conform to all requirements and procedures prescribed for crystalline penicillin G tablets by § 146a.27 (b), (c), (d), and (e), except with respect to disintegration time, and except that:

b. Subparagraph (3) (i) is amended to read:

(i) \$1.00 for each capsule submitted in accordance with the requirements of § 146a.27(d) (3) (i) (a) (1) and (3).

3. In § 146a.92, paragraph (d) is amended to read as follows:

§ 146a.92 Tablets benzathine penicillin G and crystalline penicillin.

(d) The fee for the services rendered shall be \$1.00 for each tablet submitted in accordance with the requirements of § 146a.27(d) (3) (i) (a) (1) and (3).

4. In § 146a.106, paragraph (d) (1) is amended to read as follows:

§ 146a.106 Tablets benzathine penicillin G and penicillin V.

(d) * * *

(1) \$1.00 for each tablet submitted in accordance with § 146a.27(d) (3) (i) (a) (1) and (3).

5. Section 146a.107 is amended to read as follows:

§ 146a.107 Capsules penicillin V.

Capsules penicillin V are capsules that conform to all the requirements and are subject to all procedures prescribed by § 146a.27 for tablets penicillin V, except with respect to disintegration time, and except that the moisture content of such capsules is not more than 2 percent.

Notice and public procedure and delayed effective date are not necessary prerequisites to the promulgation of this order, and I so find, since the amendments are editorial in nature and serve to clarify existing regulations.

Effective date. This order shall become effective on the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 507, 59 Stat. 463 as amended; 21 U.S.C. 357)

Dated: June 4, 1962.

JOHN L. HARVEY,
Deputy Commissioner
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5640; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:48 a.m.]

PART 141a—PENICILLIN AND PENICILLIN-CONTAINING DRUGS; TESTS AND METHODS OF ASSAY

PART 141b—STREPTOMYCIN (OR DIHYDROSTREPTOMYCIN) AND STREPTOMYCIN- (OR DIHYDROSTREPTOMYCIN-) CONTAINING DRUGS; TESTS AND METHODS OF ASSAY

PART 141c—CHLORTETRACYCLINE (OR TETRACYCLINE) AND CHLORTETRACYCLINE- (OR TETRACYCLINE-) CONTAINING DRUGS; TESTS AND METHODS OF ASSAY

PART 141d—CHLORAMPHENICOL AND CHLORAMPHENICOL-CONTAINING DRUGS; TESTS AND METHODS OF ASSAY

PART 141e—BACITRACIN AND BACITRACIN-CONTAINING DRUGS; TESTS AND METHODS OF ASSAY

PART 146a—CERTIFICATION OF PENICILLIN AND PENICILLIN-CONTAINING DRUGS

PART 146b—CERTIFICATION OF STREPTOMYCIN (OR DIHYDROSTREPTOMYCIN) AND STREPTOMYCIN- (OR DIHYDROSTREPTOMYCIN-) CONTAINING DRUGS

PART 146c—CERTIFICATION OF CHLORTETRACYCLINE (OR TETRACYCLINE) AND CHLORTETRACYCLINE- (OR TETRACYCLINE-) CONTAINING DRUGS

PART 146d—CERTIFICATION OF CHLORAMPHENICOL AND CHLORAMPHENICOL - CONTAINING DRUGS

PART 146e—CERTIFICATION OF BACITRACIN OR BACITRACIN-CONTAINING DRUGS

Antibiotic Drugs in Tablet Form—Disintegration-Time Requirements

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has considered the comments received in response to the notices of proposed rule making published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of February 15, 1962 (27 F.R. 1421, 1422), with reference to amending the regulations covering tablets of antibiotic and antibiotic-containing drugs, to provide for tests and methods of assay and certification procedure adequate to assure proper disintegration time of such drugs. One of the comments received involved a veterinary article that, because of its size, is exempt from the requirement of the regulation proposed. Another comment involved a moisture requirement that has been recently amended. A third comment was received from a manufacturer of a penicillin tablet intended to release penicillin more slowly from the gastrointestinal

tract than other penicillin tablets. The batches of this drug that the Food and Drug Administration have tested, however, met the time of disintegration requirement proposed by this order. Under these circumstances, there is no basis for exempting this manufacturer's penicillin tablets from the time of disintegration requirement.

On the basis of the data before him, the Commissioner has concluded that in order to insure the safety and efficacy of these drugs, the antibiotic regulations should be amended as set forth below. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 507(f), 59 Stat. 463, as amended 61 Stat. 11, 63 Stat. 409, 67 Stat. 339), and under the authority delegated to him by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (25 F.R. 8625): *It is ordered*, That such regulations be amended as follows:

§ 141a.9 [Amendment]

1. By adding to § 141a.9 *Penicillin tablets* the following new paragraph (c):

(c) *Disintegration time.*—(1) *Uncoated tablets.* Proceed as directed in the U.S.P., using simulated gastric fluid test solution. The tablets are satisfactory if they disintegrate within 1 hour.

(2) *Plain coated tablets.* Proceed as directed in the U.S.P. If the tablets have not disintegrated after 30 minutes in the gastric fluid, use simulated intestinal fluid for an additional 30 minutes. The tablets are satisfactory if they disintegrate within 1 hour.

§ 141a.16 [Amendment]

2. By adding to § 141a.16 *Tablets aluminum penicillin* the following new paragraph (c):

(c) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141a.64 [Amendment]

3. By adding to § 141a.64 *Penicillin-streptomycin tablets* * * * the following new paragraph (c):

(c) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141a.70 [Amendment]

4. By adding to § 141a.70 *Tablets benzathine penicillin G and crystalline penicillin* the following new paragraph (c):

(c) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141a.84 [Amendment]

5. By adding to § 141a.84 *Tablets benzathine penicillin G and penicillin V* the following new paragraph (c):

(c) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141a.101 [Amendment]

6. By adding to § 141a.101 *Phenethicillin potassium (potassium α-phenoxyethyl penicillin) tablets* the following new paragraph (c):

(c) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141a.105 [Amendment]

7. By adding to § 141a.105 *Sodium oxacillin tablets* the following new paragraph (c):

(c) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141b.109 [Amendment]

8. By adding to § 141b.109 *Streptomycin tablets* the following new paragraph (c):

(c) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141b.112 [Amendment]

9. By adding to paragraph (a) of § 141b.112 *Streptomycin-polymyxin-bacitracin tablets* the following new subparagraph (3):

(c) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141b.136 [Amendment]

10. By adding to § 141b.136 *Streptomycin-polymyxin tablets* * * * the following new paragraph (c):

(c) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141c.207 [Amendment]

11. By adding to § 141c.207 *Chlortetracycline tablets* * * * the following new paragraph (c):

(c) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141c.225 [Amendment]

12. By redesignating paragraph (a) (1) (iii) of § 141c.225 *Tetracycline hydrochloride-nystatin tablets* as subparagraph (2) and by adding to paragraph (a) a new subparagraph (3):

(3) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141c.228 [Amendment]

13. By adding to § 141c.228 *Tetracycline hydrochloride-neomycin tablets* the following new paragraph (c):

(c) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141c.238 [Amendment]

14. By adding to § 141c.238 *Tablets tetracycline hydrochloride and novobiocin* the following new paragraph (d):

(d) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141d.310 [Amendment]

15. By adding to § 141d.310 *Chloramphenicol tablets* the following new paragraph (d):

(d) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141e.403 [Amendment]

16. By adding to § 141e.403 *Bacitracin tablets* * * * the following new paragraph (c):

(c) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141e.410 [Amendment]

17. By adding to paragraph (a) of § 141e.410 *Bacitracin-neomycin tablets* * * * the following new subparagraph (3):

(3) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141e.412 [Amendment]

18. By adding to § 141e.412 *Bacitracin-polymyxin tablets* the following new paragraph (c):

(c) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

§ 141e.426 [Amendment]

19. By adding to § 141e.426 *Tablets bacitracin methylene disalicylate and streptomycin sulfate oral veterinary* the following new paragraph (c):

(c) *Disintegration time.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.9(c).

20. Section 146a.13 is amended as follows:

a. By changing paragraph (a) to read:

§ 146a.13 *Sodium oxacillin tablets.*

(a) *Standards of identity, strength, quality, and purity.* Sodium oxacillin tablets are tablets composed of sodium oxacillin, with or without one or more suitable and harmless buffer substances, diluents, binders, lubricants, colorings, and flavorings. The potency of each tablet is not less than 250 milligrams. Its moisture content is not more than 6.0 percent. Tablets not exceeding 15 millimeters in diameter or not intended only for preparing solutions shall disintegrate within 1 hour. The sodium oxacillin conforms to the requirements of § 146a.12(a). Each other substance used, if its name is recognized in the U.S.P. or N.F., conforms to the standards prescribed therefor by such official compendium.

b. By changing paragraph (d) (1), (2) (i) and (3) (i) to read:

(d) *Request for certification; samples.* (1) In addition to complying with the requirements of § 146.2 of this chapter, a person who requests certification of a batch of sodium oxacillin tablets shall submit with his request a statement showing the batch mark, the number of tablets in such batch, the number of tablets of the batch packaged into dispensing-size containers during each day's packaging operations, the batch mark (and unless it was previously submitted) the date on which the latest assay of the sodium oxacillin used in making such batch was completed, the number of milligrams in each tablet, the quantity of each ingredient used in making the batch, the date on which the latest assay of the drug comprising such batch was completed, and a statement that each ingredient used in making the batch conforms to the requirements prescribed therefor, if any, by this section.

(2) * * *

(i) The batch:

(a) If the person who requests certification is the manufacturer of the batch: Average potency, average moisture, and,

if required by paragraph (a) of this section, disintegration time of tablets collected during the time of tableting the batch; and, unless the tablets are packaged into dispensing-size containers immediately after they are compressed, average moisture of tablets collected during each day of packaging the batch.

(b) If the person who requests certification is not the manufacturer of the batch: Average potency, average moisture, and, if required by paragraph (a) of this section, disintegration time of tablets collected during each day the tablets are being packaged into dispensing-size containers.

* * *

(i) The batch:

(a) For potency and moisture:

(1) If the person who requests certification is the manufacturer of the batch: One tablet for each 5,000 tablets in the batch, but in no case less than 30 tablets, collected by taking single tablets at such intervals throughout the entire time of tableting the batch that the quantities tableted during the intervals are approximately equal;

(2) If, after tableting, such person packages the batch into dispensing-size containers: 20 tablets collected at equal intervals during each day the tablets are being packaged, except that this sample is not required if the tablets are packaged immediately after they are compressed;

or

(3) If the person who requests certification is not the manufacturer of the batch (for the purposes of certification, a batch shall be that number of tablets filled by such person into dispensing-size containers during each day's packaging operations): One tablet for each 5,000 tablets, but in no case less than 30 tablets collected by taking single tablets at such intervals throughout each day of packaging the tablets that the quantities packaged during the intervals are approximately equal.

(b) For disintegration time: 6 tablets.

c. By changing paragraph (e) (1) to read:

(1) \$0.75 for each tablet in the samples submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (i) (a) (1) and (3) of this section; \$3.00 for the samples submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (i) (a) (2) and (b) of this section; \$5.00 for each package in the sample submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (ii) of this section; \$4.00 for each package in the sample submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (iii) of this section.

21. Section 146a.17 (21 CFR 146a.17; 27 F.R. 619, 829) is amended as follows:

a. By changing paragraph (a) to read:

§ 146a.17 *Phenethicillin potassium (potassium α-Phenoxyethyl penicillin) tablets.*

(a) *Standards of identity, strength, quality, and purity.* Phenethicillin potassium tablets are tablets composed of phenethicillin potassium, with or with-

out one or more suitable and harmless buffer substances, diluents, binders, lubricants, colorings, and flavorings, and with or without one or more suitable analgesic substances, antihistaminics, and vasoconstrictors. The potency of each tablet is not less than 50,000 units, and if it is less than 100,000 units it is unscored. Its moisture content is not more than 1.5 percent unless the person who requests certification has submitted to the Commissioner information adequate to prove that his drug is stable when it has a moisture content exceeding this amount. In no case shall its moisture content exceed 2.0 percent. Tablets not exceeding 15 millimeters in diameter or not intended only for preparing solutions shall disintegrate within 1 hour. The phenethicillin potassium conforms to the requirements of § 146a.16(a). Each other substance used, if its name is recognized in the U.S.P. or N.F., conforms to the standards prescribed by such official compendium.

b. By changing paragraph (d) (2) (i) (a) and (b) to read:

(a) If the person who requests certification is the manufacturer of the batch: Average potency, average moisture, and, if required by paragraph (a) of this section, disintegration time of tablets collected during the time of tableting the batch; and, unless the tablets are packaged into dispensing-size containers immediately after they are compressed, average moisture of tablets collected during each day of packaging the batch.

(b) If the person who requests certification is not the manufacturer of the batch: Average potency, average moisture, and, if required by paragraph (a) of this section, disintegration time of tablets collected during each day the tablets are being packaged into dispensing-size containers.

c. By changing paragraph (d) (3) (i) to read:

(1) The batch:

(a) For potency and moisture:

(1) If the person who requests certification is the manufacturer of the batch: One tablet for each 5,000 tablets in the batch, but in no case less than 30 tablets collected by taking single tablets at such intervals throughout the entire time of tableting the batch that the quantities tableted during the intervals are approximately equal;

(2) If, after tableting, such person packages the batch into dispensing-size containers: 20 tablets collected at equal intervals during each day the tablets are being packaged, except that this sample is not required if the tablets are packaged immediately after they are compressed; or

(3) If the person who requests certification is not the manufacturer of the batch (for the purposes of certification, a batch shall be that number of tablets filled by such person into dispensing-size containers during each day's packaging operations): One tablet for each 5,000 tablets, but in no case less than 30 tablets collected by taking single tablets at such intervals throughout each day of packaging the tablets that the quantities

packaged during the intervals are approximately equal.

(b) For disintegration time: 6 tablets.

d. By changing the introduction to paragraph (e) and subparagraph (1) of that paragraph to read:

(e) Fees. The fees for the services rendered with respect to each batch of phenethicillin potassium under the regulations in this section shall be:

(1) \$0.75 for each tablet in the samples submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (i) (a) (1) and (3) of this section; \$3.00 for the sample submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (i) (a) (2) and (b) of this section; \$5.00 for each package in the sample submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (ii) of this section; \$4.00 for each package in the sample submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (iii) of this section.

§ 146a.27 [Amendment]

22. Section 146a.27 *Penicillin tablets* (21 CFR 146a.27; 27 F.R. 619) is amended as follows:

a. By inserting in paragraph (a) the following new sentence between the fifth and sixth sentences: "Tablets not exceeding 15 millimeters in diameter, or not intended only for preparing solutions, shall disintegrate within 1 hour."

b. By changing paragraph (d) (2) (i) (a) and (b) to read:

(a) If the person who requests certification is the manufacturer of the batch: Average potency, average moisture, and, if required by paragraph (a) of this section, disintegration time of tablets collected during the time of tableting the batch; and, unless the tablets are packaged into dispensing-size containers immediately after they are compressed, average moisture of tablets collected during each day of packaging the batch.

(b) If the person who requests certification is not the manufacturer of the batch: Average potency, average moisture, and, if required by paragraph (a) of this section, disintegration time of tablets collected during each day the tablets are being packaged into dispensing-size containers.

c. By changing paragraph (d) (3) (i) to read:

(1) The batch:

(a) For potency and moisture:

(1) If the person who requests certification is the manufacturer of the batch: One tablet for each 5,000 tablets in the batch, but in no case less than 30 tablets collected by taking single tablets at such intervals throughout the entire time of tableting the batch that the quantities tableted during the intervals are approximately equal;

(2) If, after tableting, such person packages the batch into dispensing-size containers: 20 tablets collected at equal intervals during each day the tablets are being packaged, except that this sample is not required if the tablets are packaged immediately after they are compressed; or

(3) If the person who requests certification is not the manufacturer of the batch (for the purposes of certification,

a batch shall be that number of tablets filled by such person into dispensing-size containers during each day's packaging operations): One tablet for each 5,000 tablets in the batch, but in no case less than 30 tablets collected by taking single tablets at such intervals throughout each day of packaging the tablets that the quantities packaged during the intervals are approximately equal.

(b) For disintegration time: 6 tablets.

d. By amending paragraph (e) (1) to read:

(1) \$0.75 for each tablet in the samples submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (i) (a) (1) and (3) of this section; \$3.00 for the samples submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (i) (a) (2) and (b) of this section; \$4.00 for each package submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (ii) and (iii) of this section.

§ 146a.34 [Amendment]

23. Section 146a.34 *Tablets aluminum penicillin* (21 CFR 146a.34; 27 F.R. 619) is amended as follows:

a. By inserting in paragraph (a) the following new sentence between the fourth and fifth sentences: "Tablets not exceeding 15 millimeters in diameter, or not intended only for preparing solutions, shall disintegrate within 1 hour."

b. By changing paragraph (d) (2) (i) (a) and (b) to read:

(a) If the person who requests certification is the manufacturer of the batch: Average potency, average moisture, and, if required by paragraph (a) of this section, disintegration time of tablets collected during the time of tableting the batch; and, unless the tablets are packaged into dispensing-size containers immediately after they are compressed, average moisture of tablets collected during each day of packaging the batch.

(b) If the person who requests certification is not the manufacturer of the batch: Average potency, average moisture, and, if required by paragraph (a) of this section, disintegration time of tablets collected during each day the tablets are being packaged into dispensing-size containers.

c. By changing paragraph (d) (3) (i) to read:

(1) The batch:

(a) For potency and moisture:

(1) If the person who requests certification is the manufacturer of the batch: One tablet for each 5,000 tablets in the batch, but in no case less than 30 tablets collected by taking single tablets at such intervals throughout the entire time of tableting the batch that the quantities tableted during the intervals are approximately equal;

(2) If, after tableting, such person packages the batch into dispensing-size containers: 20 tablets collected at equal intervals during each day the tablets are being packaged, except that this sample is not required if the tablets are packaged immediately after they are compressed; or

(3) If the person who requests certification is not the manufacturer of the batch (for the purposes of certification

a batch shall be that number of tablets filled by such person into dispensing-size containers during each day's packaging operations): One tablet for each 5,000 tablets in the batch, but in no case less than 30 tablets collected by taking single tablets at such intervals throughout each day of packaging the tablets that the quantities packaged during the intervals are approximately equal.

(b) For disintegration time: 6 tablets.

d. By amending paragraph (e) (1) to read:

(1) \$0.75 for each tablet in the samples submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (i) (a) (1) and (3) of this section; \$3.00 for the samples submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (i) (a) (2) and (b) of this section; \$4.00 for each package in the samples submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (ii) and (iii) of this section.

§ 146a.88 [Amendment]

24. In § 146a.88 *Penicillin streptomycin tablets; penicillin dihydrostreptomycin tablets* (21 CFR 146a.88; 27 F.R. 610), paragraph (b) (1) is changed to read as follows:

(1) \$1.00 for each tablet submitted in accordance with the requirements of § 146a.27(d) (3) (i) (a) (1) and (3).

§ 146b.104 [Amendment]

25. Section 146b.104 *Streptomycin tablets; dihydrostreptomycin tablets* is amended as follows:

a. By inserting in paragraph (a) the following new sentence between the fourth and fifth sentences: "Tablets not exceeding 15 millimeters in diameter, or not intended only for preparing solutions, shall disintegrate within 1 hour."

b. By changing paragraph (d) (2) (i) to read:

(i) The batch: Average potency per tablet, average moisture, and if required by paragraph (a) of this section, disintegration time.

c. By changing paragraph (d) (3) (i) to read:

(i) The batch:

(a) For potency and moisture: One tablet for each 5,000 tablets in the batch, but in no case less than 30 tablets, collected by taking single tablets throughout the entire time of tableting so that the quantities tableted during the intervals are approximately equal.

(b) For disintegration time: 6 tablets.

d. By amending paragraph (e) (1) to read:

(1) \$0.75 for each tablet in the sample submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (i) (a) of this section; \$3.00 for all tablets submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (i) (b) of this section; \$4.00 for each package in the samples submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (ii) and (iii) of this section.

§ 146b.107 [Amendment]

26. Section 146b.107 *Streptomycin-polymyxin-bacitracin tablets* is amended as follows:

a. By inserting in paragraph (a) the following new sentence between the third and fourth sentences: "Tablets not exceeding 15 millimeters in diameter, or not intended only for preparing solutions, shall disintegrate within 1 hour."

b. By changing paragraph (d) (2) (i) to read:

(i) The batch: Average potency per tablet, average moisture, and if required by paragraph (a) of this section, disintegration time.

c. By changing paragraph (d) (3) (i) to read:

(i) The batch:

(a) For potency and moisture: One tablet for each 5,000 tablets in the batch, but in no case less than 30 tablets, collected by taking single tablets throughout the entire time of tableting so that the quantities tableted during the intervals are approximately equal.

(b) For disintegration time: 6 tablets.

d. By amending paragraph (e) (1) to read:

(1) \$1.00 for each tablet in the sample submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (i) (a) of this section; \$3.00 for all tablets submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (i) (b) of this section; \$4.00 for each package in the samples submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (ii), (iii), (iv), and (v) of this section.

§ 146c.207 [Amendment]

27. Section 146c.207 *Chlortetracycline hydrochloride tablets* is amended by adding to paragraph (a) the following new sentences: "In addition to the requirements prescribed by § 146c.204, tablets not exceeding 15 millimeters in diameter, or not intended only for preparing solutions, shall disintegrate within 1 hour. A person who requests certification shall therefore also submit for disintegration-time studies, results of this test made by him and a sample of 6 tablets. The fee for the tablets submitted for disintegration-time studies shall be \$3.00."

28. Section 146c.225 is amended by adding thereto three new sentences. As amended, this section reads as follows:

§ 146c.225 Tetracycline hydrochloride-nystatin tablets.

Tetracycline hydrochloride-nystatin tablets are tablets that conform to all requirements and are subject to all procedures prescribed by § 146c.224 for tetracycline hydrochloride-nystatin capsules, except that the expiration date is the date that is 24 months after the month during which the batch was certified. In addition to the requirements prescribed by § 146c.224, tablets not exceeding 15 millimeters in diameter, or not intended only for preparing solutions, shall disintegrate within 1 hour. A person who requests certification shall therefore also submit for disintegration-time studies, results of this test made by him and a sample of six tablets. The fee for the tablets submitted for disintegration-time studies shall be \$3.00.

§ 146c.228 [Amendment]

29. Section 146c.228 *Tetracycline hydrochloride-neomycin tablets* is amended as follows:

a. By adding to paragraph (a) the following new sentence: "Tablets not exceeding 15 millimeters in diameter, or not intended only for preparing solutions, shall disintegrate within 1 hour."

b. By changing paragraph (c) to read:

(c) In addition to complying with the requirements of § 146c.204(d), a person who requests certification of a batch shall submit with his request a statement showing the number of milligrams of tetracycline hydrochloride and neomycin in each tablet of the batch, the batch mark, and, if required by paragraph (a) of this section, disintegration time, and (unless it was previously submitted) the results and the date of the latest tests and assays of the neomycin used in making the batch for potency, toxicity, moisture, and pH. He shall also submit in connection with his request (unless it was previously submitted) a sample consisting of 5 packages containing approximately equal portions of not less than 0.5 gram each of the neomycin used in making such batch, and a sample of 6 tablets for disintegration-time studies.

c. By amending paragraph (d) to read as follows:

(d) The fees for the services rendered with respect to the samples submitted in accordance with the requirements of this section shall be:

(1) \$1.00 for each tablet submitted in accordance with the requirements of § 146c.204(d) (3) (i).

(2) \$3.00 for all tablets submitted for disintegration-time studies in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section.

§ 146c.238 [Amendment]

30. Section 146c.238 *Tablets tetracycline hydrochloride and novobiocin* is amended as follows:

a. By changing paragraph (b) to read:

(b) The moisture content of the tablets is not more than 6 percent. Tablets not exceeding 15 millimeters in diameter, or not intended only for preparing solutions, shall disintegrate within 1 hour.

b. By changing paragraph (d) to read:

(d) In addition to complying with the requirements of § 146c.204, a person who requests certification of a batch shall submit with his request a statement showing the batch mark, and if required by paragraph (b) of this section, disintegration time, and (unless previously submitted) the results and the date of the latest tests and assays of the novobiocin used in making the batch for potency, toxicity, pH, crystallinity, and moisture. He shall submit a sample of 6 tablets for disintegration-time studies. He shall also submit in connection with his request (unless previously submitted) a sample consisting of 10 packages, each containing approximately equal portions of not less than 300 milligrams of the novobiocin used in making the batch.

c. By changing paragraph (e) to read:

(e) The fees for the services rendered with respect to the samples submitted in accordance with the requirements of this section shall be:

(1) \$1.00 for each tablet submitted in accordance with the requirements of § 146e.204(c) (3) (i).

(2) \$3.00 for all tablets submitted for disintegration-time studies in accordance with paragraph (d) of this section.

31. Section 146d.310 is amended by adding thereto three new sentences. As amended, this section reads as follows:

§ 146d.310 Chloramphenicol tablets.

Chloramphenicol tablets are tablets that conform to all requirements and are subject to all procedures prescribed by § 146d.302 for chloramphenicol capsules, except that the expiration date of such tablets shall be 24 months after the month during which the batch was certified. In addition to the requirements prescribed by § 146d.302, tablets not exceeding 15 millimeters in diameter, or not intended only for preparing solutions, shall disintegrate within 1 hour. A person who requests certification shall submit for disintegration-time studies results of this test made by him and a sample of 6 tablets. The fee for the tablets submitted for the disintegration-time studies shall be \$3.00.

§ 146e.403 [Amendment]

32. Section 146e.403 *Bacitracin tablets* * * * is amended as follows:

a. By changing paragraph (a) to read:

(a) *Standards of identity, strength, quality, and purity.* Bacitracin tablets, zinc bacitracin tablets, and bacitracin methylene disalicylate tablets are tablets composed of bacitracin, zinc bacitracin, or bacitracin methylene disalicylate, with or without kaolin and pectin and with or without one or more suitable and harmless buffer substances, diluents, binders, lubricants, colorings, and flavorings, and with or without a suitable amebicidal agent. The potency of each tablet is not less than 1,000 units nor more than 10,000 units. Its moisture content is not more than 5 percent. Tablets not exceeding 15 millimeters in diameter, or not intended only for preparing solutions, shall disintegrate within 1 hour. The bacitracin used conforms to the standards prescribed therefor by § 146e.401(a), except § 146e.401(a) (2), (4), and (8). The zinc bacitracin used conforms to the requirements of § 146e.418(a). The bacitracin methylene disalicylate used conforms to the requirements of § 146e.416(a). Each other substance used, if its name is recognized in the U.S.P. or N.F., conforms to the standards prescribed therefor by such official compendium.

b. By changing paragraph (d) (2) (i) to read:

(i) The batch: Average potency per tablet, average moisture, and if required by paragraph (a) of this section, disintegration time.

c. By changing paragraph (d) (3) (i) to read:

(i) The batch:

(a) For potency and moisture: One tablet for each 5,000 tablets in the batch, but in no case less than 30 tablets, collected by taking single tablets throughout the entire time of tableting so that the quantities tableted during the intervals are approximately equal.

(b) For disintegration time: 6 tablets.

d. By amending paragraph (e) (1) to read:

(1) \$0.75 for each tablet in the sample submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (i) (a) of this section; \$3.00 for all tablets submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (i) (b) of this section; \$4.00 for each package in the samples submitted in accordance with paragraph (d) (3) (ii), (iii), (iv), and (v) of this section.

§ 146e.426 [Amendment]

33. Section 146e.426 *Tablets bacitracin methylene disalicylate and streptomycin sulfate oral veterinary* is amended as follows:

a. By changing paragraph (a) to read as follows:

(a) Each tablet shall contain not less than 150 units of bacitracin activity and not less than 15 milligrams of streptomycin activity. Tablets not exceeding 15 millimeters in diameter, or not intended only for preparing solutions, shall disintegrate within 1 hour.

b. By changing paragraph (c) to read as follows:

(c) In lieu of the directions for sampling the batch as prescribed in § 146e.417(d) (3) (i), the batch shall be sampled as follows:

(1) For potency and moisture: One tablet for each 5,000 tablets in the batch, but in no case less than 30 tablets, collected by taking single tablets throughout the entire time of tableting so that the quantities tableted during the intervals are approximately equal.

(2) For disintegration-time studies: 6 tablets.

c. By amending paragraph (d) to read:

(d) The fees for the services rendered with respect to the tablets submitted in accordance with the requirements prescribed therefor by paragraph (c) of this section shall be:

(1) \$1.00 for each tablet submitted in accordance with paragraph (c) (1) of this section.

(2) \$3.00 for all tablets submitted in accordance with paragraph (c) (2) of this section.

Because of amendments to §§ 146a.16, 146a.27, 146a.34, and 146a.88 made subsequently to the proposal, the changes in these sections were based upon the intervening amendments. Although the amendments in § 141a.105 and 146a.13, involving sodium oxacillin tablets, were not included in the proposed order, the Commissioner concludes that the requirements for disintegration-time studies for these tablets should be consistent with those prescribed for tablets of similar dosage form.

Effective date. This order shall become effective 30 days from the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 507, 59 Stat. 463 as amended; 21 U.S.C. 357)

Dated: June 4, 1962.

JOHN L. HARVEY,
Deputy Commissioner
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5641; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 33—NAVIGATION AND NAVIGABLE WATERS

Chapter II—Corps of Engineers,
Department of the Army

PART 203—BRIDGE REGULATIONS

Gasparilla Sound, Fla.

Pursuant to the provisions of section 5 of the River and Harbor Act of August 18, 1894 (28 Stat. 362; 33 U.S.C. 499), § 203.245 is hereby amended prescribing subparagraph (i) (3-a) to govern the operation of the Seaboard Air Line Railroad Company bridge across Gasparilla Sound, Florida, effective 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, as follows:

§ 203.245 Navigable waters discharging into the Atlantic Ocean south of and including Chesapeake Bay and into the Gulf of Mexico, except the Mississippi River and its tributaries and outlets; bridges where constant attendance of draw tenders is not required.

(i) *Waterways discharging into Gulf of Mexico east of Mississippi River.* * * *

(3-a) Gasparilla Sound, Fla.; Seaboard Air Line Railroad Company bridge between the mainland and Gasparilla Island. Between the hours of 6:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. the following day, the southerly swingspan in the bridge need not be opened for the passage of vessels.

[Regs., May 18, 1962, 285/111 (Gasparilla Sound, Fla.)—ENG CW-ON] (Sec. 5, 28 Stat. 362; 33 U.S.C. 499)

J. C. LAMBERT,
Major General, U.S. Army,
The Adjutant General.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5614; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 42—PUBLIC HEALTH

Chapter I—Public Health Service,
Department of Health, Education, and
Welfare

SUBCHAPTER D—GRANTS

PART 53—GRANTS FOR SURVEY, PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION OF HOSPITALS AND MEDICAL FACILITIES

Distribution of Beds for Acute and Long-Term Illness

Notice of proposed rule making, public rule making procedures and post-

ponement of effective date have been omitted in the issuance of the following amendment of this part, which relates solely to grants to States, political subdivisions and public or other nonprofit agencies for the construction of public and other nonprofit hospitals and medical facilities.

Paragraph (a) of § 53.12 is amended to read as follows:

§ 53.12 Distribution.

(a) The construction program under the State plan shall provide for the distribution of beds, within the allowances set forth in § 53.11, by one of the following methods:

(1) General hospital beds shall be distributed to the different areas of the State in such numbers as will meet the needs of each area, except that the number of beds allocated to any area shall not be less than 1.5 beds (existing and proposed) per thousand population. Nursing home beds and chronic disease hospital beds shall be distributed to the different areas of the State in such numbers as will meet the needs of each area for such beds, except that the total number of nursing home and chronic disease beds so allocated shall not be less than 1 bed (existing and proposed) per thousand population. If the State agency does not allocate all beds required under § 53.11 to specific areas, such unallocated beds shall be held in reserve for future distribution; or

(2) General hospital, chronic disease hospital, and nursing home beds shall be distributed to the different areas of the State in such numbers and combinations as will meet the general hospital, chronic disease hospital, and nursing home bed needs of each area except that the combination of such beds allocated to any area shall not be less than 2.5 beds (existing and proposed) per thousand population. If the State agency does not allocate all beds required under § 53.11 to specific areas, such unallocated beds shall be held in reserve for future allocation.

(Sec. 215, 58 Stat. 690, as amended; 42 U.S.C. 216. Interpret or apply sec. 622, 60 Stat. 1042, sec. 653, 68 Stat. 463; 42 U.S.C. 291e, 291u)

This amendment was approved by the Federal Hospital Council on April 5, 1962, and shall become effective immediately upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated: May 17, 1962.

[SEAL] ARNOLD B. KURLANDER,
Acting Surgeon General.

Approved:

LUTHER L. TERRY,
Chairman, Federal Hospital
Council.

Approved: June 4, 1962.

ABRAHAM RIBICOFF,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5642; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:48 a.m.]

Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter I—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

APPENDIX—PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

[Public Land Order 2690]

[Nevada 051740]

NEVADA

Revoking Executive Order No. 7435 of August 19, 1936

By virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 1 of the act of June 25, 1910 (36 Stat. 847; 43 U.S.C. 141), and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, it is ordered as follows:

1. Executive Order No. 7435 of August 19, 1936, as amended by Proclamation No. 2416 of July 25, 1940, withdrawing the public lands within the following described area, together with all lands of the United States within the meander line of Winnemucca Lake and east of the eastern boundary of the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation, for use as a refuge and breeding ground for migratory birds, and other wildlife, as the Winnemucca National Wildlife Refuge, is hereby revoked:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

- Tps. 24 and 25, N., R. 23 E.,
All east of the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation.
- T. 27 N., R. 23 E.,
Secs. 2, 11, and 14;
Secs. 15, 22, and 23, all east of the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation.
- T. 28 N., R. 23 E.,
Sec. 12, lots 3 to 6, incl., NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 13;
Sec. 14, lot 1, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 23, lots 1 to 4, incl., and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 26;
Sec. 35, lots 1, 2, 4, and 5, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
- T. 24 N., R. 24 E.,
Sec. 4, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Secs. 5 and 8;
Sec. 9, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 16, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Secs. 17 and 19;
Sec. 20, lots 1 and 2, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 30.
- T. 25 N., R. 24 E.,
Sec. 5, lots 2 to 6, incl., SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 8, lots 1 to 4, incl., W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 17, lots 1 to 4, incl., NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, and E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 20;
Sec. 21, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 28, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Secs. 29 and 32;
Sec. 33, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$.
- T. 26 N., R. 24 E.,
Sec. 4, lots 3 and 4;
Sec. 5, lots 1 to 4, incl.;
Sec. 7, lot 1;
Sec. 8, lots 1 to 4, incl., and E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 17, lots 1, 2, and 3, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 18;
Sec. 20, lots 1 to 4, incl.;
Sec. 29, lots 1 to 4, incl.;
Sec. 32, lots 1 to 5, incl., and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

- T. 27 N., R. 24 E.,
Sec. 4, lots 3 to 6, incl.;
Secs. 5 and 8;
Sec. 16, lots 1, 2, and 3;
Secs. 17 and 21;
Sec. 22, lots 1, 2, and 3;
Sec. 27, lots 1 to 4, incl.;
Sec. 33, lots 1 and 2;
Sec. 34, lots 1 and 2.
- T. 28 N., R. 24 E.,
Sec. 16, lots 1 and 2;
Sec. 17, lots 1 to 4, incl.;
Sec. 18;
Sec. 21, lots 1 to 4, incl.;
Sec. 28, lots 1 to 4, incl.;
Sec. 33, lots 1 to 4, incl.

The areas described aggregate approximately 65,444 acres.

2. The refuge was originally established for the protection of water fowl. The lands have been dry for several years, and no longer serve the purpose for which they were set aside. Most of the land is withdrawn for Reclamation purposes in connection with the Newlands Project.

3. The public lands released from withdrawal by this order are hereby restored to the operation of the public land laws, effective at 10:00 a.m. on July 11, 1962, subject to any valid existing rights, the requirements of applicable law, rules and regulations, and the provisions of any existing withdrawals.

4. The public lands outside of the area withdrawn for reclamation purposes have been open to applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws and to location for metalliferous minerals. They will be open to location for non-metalliferous minerals beginning at 10:00 a.m. on July 11, 1962.

Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Reno, Nevada.

JOHN A. CARVER, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

JUNE 5, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5631; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:46 a.m.]

Title 46—SHIPPING

Chapter IV—Federal Maritime Commission

SUBCHAPTER B—REGULATIONS AFFECTING MARITIME CARRIERS AND RELATED ACTIVITIES

PART 530—INTERPRETATIONS AND STATEMENTS OF POLICY

Interpretation of Shipping Act, 1916

Pursuant to section 43 of the Shipping Act, 1916 (46 U.S.C. 842), and section 3(a) (3) of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1002(a) (3)), the Commission hereby amends § 530.3 Further interpretation of Shipping Act, 1916, to read as follows:

§ 530.3 Further interpretation of Shipping Act, 1916.

(a) Section 3 of Public Law 87-346 provides as follows:

Sec. 3. Notwithstanding the provisions of sections 14, 14b, and 15, Shipping Act, 1916,

as amended by this Act, all existing agreements which are lawful under the Shipping Act, 1916, immediately prior to enactment of this Act, shall remain lawful unless disapproved, canceled, or modified by the Commission pursuant to the provisions of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended by this Act: *Provided, however,* That all such existing agreements which are rendered unlawful by the provisions of such Act as hereby amended must be amended to comply with the provisions of such Act as hereby amended, and if such amendments are filed for approval within six months after the enactment of this Act, such agreements so amended shall be lawful for a further period of not to exceed one year after such filing. Within such year the Commission shall approve, disapprove, cancel or modify all such agreements and amendments in accordance with the provisions of this Act.

(b) The Federal Maritime Commission interprets such section and section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916, as prohibiting a carrier or conference of carriers from denying contract rates for a period of one year after it has filed an amended contract rate agreement pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, or until such amended contract rate agreement has been approved, disapproved, canceled or modified by the Federal Maritime Commission, whichever first occurs, to a contract shipper who on April 2, 1962, was a party to a lawful contract rate agreement and who prior to April 3, 1962, or prior to the date of first shipment in the trade covered by the contract after April 2, 1962, advises said conference in writing or by telegram that he agrees to be bound by said contract rate agreement amended to the extent necessary to comply with the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916; *Provided,* That the conference has filed with the Federal Maritime Commission a proposed form of contract pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346. Unless the conference has filed such a proposed form of contract, the use of any contract system will be unlawful.

(c) Furthermore, on and after April 3, 1962, the provisions of any contract rate agreement which has been modified in order to comply with the proviso clause of section 3 of Public Law 87-346 are lawful and enforceable as between the parties only to the extent that such provisions (1) were lawful on April 2, 1962, and are not inconsistent with the requirements of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916, or (2) are required to make said contract rate agreement comply with section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. Any other provision of any such contract rate agreement is unlawful and may not be applied or enforced directly or indirectly, until such provision has been approved by the Commission. If any such contract rate agreement, on and after April 3, 1962, does not contain provisions required by section 14b, such contract rate agreement shall be applied or enforced as if it contained all provisions required by section 14b.

By the Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

JUNE 5, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5657; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:50 a.m.]

No. 112—6

Title 50—WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

Chapter I—Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

SUBCHAPTER B—HUNTING AND POSSESSION OF WILDLIFE

PART 10—MIGRATORY BIRDS

Miscellaneous Amendments

By Notice of Proposed Rule Making published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 1, 1962 (27 F.R. 4153), notification was given that the Secretary of the Interior proposed to amend Part 10, Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations. These amendments specify open seasons, certain closed seasons, shooting hours, bag and possession limits, hunting methods, and possession, transportation, and importation controls for migratory game birds.

In this connection the public was notified that certain specific amendments to Part 10 concerning hunting methods and possession, transportation, and importation controls were being proposed for immediate consideration. These specific amendments constitute a revision of existing regulations for clarification purposes and do not reflect any significant departure from the intent, purpose, or effect of the existing regulations. The text of these specific amendments was set forth in detail and interested persons were invited to submit their written views on these specific proposals to the Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, within 30 days of the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

The public was also notified that amendments to Part 10 which would prescribe open seasons, bag and possession limits, and shooting hours for doves and pigeons in Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands would be proposed for adoption on or about June 1, 1962, and interested persons were invited to submit their views, data, or recommendations regarding these matters prior to June 1.

Accordingly, after giving due consideration to the comments received within the 30-day period and to all other relevant matters presented, the specific amendments proposed are adopted without change as set forth below, and open seasons, bag and possession limits, and shooting hours for doves and pigeons in Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands are adopted as set forth below.

The taking of doves and pigeons in Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands is presently prohibited. These amendments will permit the taking of these species within designated periods of time beginning as early as July 1, 1962. Since these amendments benefit the public by relieving existing restrictions and serve to clarify existing regulations, they shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

1. Paragraphs (c) and (h) of § 10.2 are amended to read as follows:

§ 10.2 Definition of terms.

(c) *Take.* Pursue, hunt, shoot, capture, collect, kill, trap, or attempt to pursue, hunt, shoot, capture, collect, kill, or trap.

(h) *Sinkbox.* A raft or any type of low floating device having a depression which affords the hunter a means of concealing himself below the surface of the water.

2. Subparagraphs (2) and (3) of paragraph (a), and subparagraphs (4) and (8) of paragraph (b) of § 10.3 are amended to read as follows:

§ 10.3 Hunting methods.

(a) *Permitted methods.* Migratory game birds may be taken only:

(2) In the open or from a blind or other place of concealment (except a sinkbox) on land or water;

(3) From any floating craft except a sinkbox, and excluding any boat or other craft having a motor attached or any sailboat unless such boat or other craft with motor attached or such sailboat is beached, resting at anchor, or fastened within or tied immediately alongside of any type of fixed hunting blind: *Provided,* That rails (but not including coots or gallinules) may be taken from a boat with motor attached when (i) the source of power has been completely shut off; (ii) the forward progress of the boat due to the automotive power has ceased; and (iii) the boat is immobile or is being propelled by paddle, oars, or pole;

(b) *Prohibited methods.* Migratory game birds may not be taken:

(4) From or by means of any boat or other craft having a motor attached or any sailboat unless such boat or other craft with motor attached or such sailboat is beached, resting at anchor, or fastened within or tied immediately alongside of any type of fixed hunting blind, or is used solely as a means of picking up dead or injured birds;

(8) By means or aid of any motor-driven land, water, or air conveyance or any sailboat used for the purpose of or resulting in the concentrating, driving, rallying, or stirring up of waterfowl, coots, or cranes; or

3. Paragraph (b) of § 10.4 is amended to read as follows:

§ 10.4 Open seasons, limits, and other provisions.

(b) No person may take in any one day more than the daily bag limit or aggregate daily bag limit, whichever applies. No person may possess more birds lawfully taken in the United States than the possession limit or the aggregate possession limit, whichever applies. No person on the opening day of the season

may possess any freshly killed migratory game birds in excess of the daily bag limit or aggregate daily bag limit, whichever applies, and no person may possess any freshly killed migratory game birds during the closed season.

4. Paragraph (a) of § 10.7 is amended to read as follows:

From—	Not to exceed—
Provinces of Alberta, Manitoba, or Saskatchewan, Canada.	10 ducks and 10 geese per season.
Province of British Columbia, Canada.	12 ducks and 10 geese per season.
Province of Ontario, Canada.	10 ducks and 10 geese per calendar week. ¹
Any other province of Canada.	12 ducks and 10 geese per calendar week. ¹
Mexico or any other foreign country (except Canada) or subdivision thereof.	10 ducks and 5 geese per calendar week. ¹
Any foreign country or subdivision thereof:	
Pigeons (all species)	10 of each species per calendar week. ¹
Doves (all species)	25, singly or in the aggregate of all species, per calendar week. ¹
Ralls (except sora and coot)	30, singly or in the aggregate of all species, per calendar week. ¹
Coots	25 per calendar week. ¹
Sora rails.	25 per calendar week. ¹
Wilson's snipe.	8 per calendar week. ¹
Woodcock	8 per calendar week. ¹
Brant	6 per calendar week. ¹

¹ A calendar week begins on Sunday.

5. Section 10.9 is revised to read as follows:

§ 10.9 Possession for purposes of processing, transportation, or storage.

(a) No hunter who legally takes and possesses any migratory game birds shall place or leave any such birds in the custody of any other person for picking, cleaning, processing, shipping, transportation, or storage (including temporary storage) unless such birds have a tag attached signed by the hunter stating his address, the total number and kinds of birds, and the date such birds were killed.

(b) No person may receive or have in custody any migratory game birds belonging to another person unless such birds are tagged as required under paragraph (a) of this section.

(c) No hunter who legally takes and possesses any migratory game birds shall place or leave such birds at any place for storage (including temporary storage) other than at his personal abode unless such birds are tagged as required under paragraph (a) of this section. Legally possessed migratory game birds being transported in any vehicle as the personal baggage of the possessor shall not be deemed to be in storage or temporary storage.

(d) Any commercial picking establishment or cold-storage or locker plant receiving, possessing, or having in custody any migratory game birds shall maintain accurate records showing the numbers and kinds of such birds, the dates received and disposed of, and the names and addresses of the persons from whom such birds were received and to whom such birds were delivered. Any person authorized to enforce this part may enter such establishments or plants at all reasonable hours and inspect the records and the premises where operations are being carried on. The records required to be maintained shall be retained by the person or persons responsible for their preparation and maintenance for a period of one year following the close of the open season on migratory game birds prescribed for the State in which such picking establishment or cold-storage or locker plant is located.

§ 10.7 Importations from Canada, Mexico, or other foreign country.

(a) The following listed birds shall be limited as to the numbers permitted to be entered and transported by one person, either in a single shipment or by multiple shipments, as follows:

nance for a period of one year following the close of the open season on migratory game birds prescribed for the State in which such picking establishment or cold-storage or locker plant is located.

6. Section 10.10 is amended to read as follows:

§ 10.10 Termination of possession by hunters.

For the purposes of this part, the possession of birds legally taken by any hunter shall be deemed to have ceased when such birds have been delivered by him to another person as a gift; or have been delivered by him to a post office, a common carrier, or a commercial cold-storage or locker plant for transportation by the postal service or a common carrier to some person other than the hunter.

7. Section 10.14 is amended to read as follows:

§ 10.14 Wanton waste of migratory game birds.

No person shall kill or cripple any migratory game bird pursuant to this part without making a reasonable effort to retrieve the bird and include it in his daily bag limit.

8. A new § 10.31 is added to Part 10 to read as follows:

§ 10.31 State laws for the protection of migratory birds.

Nothing in this part shall be construed to authorize the taking, possession, exchange, or transportation of migratory game birds or parts thereof in any State contrary to the laws and regulations of that State: *Provided*, That such laws and regulations are for the purpose of giving further protection to such birds and are not inconsistent with the conventions between the United States and any foreign country for the protection of migratory birds or with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

9. Section 10.52 is revised to read as follows:

§ 10.52 Migratory game bird hunting seasons for Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands.

Subject to the applicable provisions of the preceding sections of this part, the open seasons (dates inclusive), the shooting hours, and the daily bag and possession limits on the species designated in this section are prescribed as follows:

(a) *Puerto Rico.*

	Doves (all species) (singly or in the aggregate)	Pigeons (all species) (singly or in the aggregate)
Daily bag limit.	15	18
Possession limit.	15	18
Open season dates.	July 1-Sept. 30.	July 1-Sept. 30.
Shooting hours.	One-half hour before sunrise until sunset.	

¹ On Mona Island the daily bag and possession limit on pigeons is 15, singly or in the aggregate all species.

(b) *Virgin Islands.*

	Doves (all species) (singly or in the aggregate)	Pigeons (all species) (singly or in the aggregate)
Daily bag limit.	10	Closed season.
Possession limit.	20	Do.
Open season dates.	July 15-Sept. 30.	Closed season.
Shooting hours.	One-half hour before sunrise until sunset.	

(Sec. 3, 40 Stat. 755, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 704; E.O. 10250, 16 F.R. 5385, 3 CFR, 1949-1953 Comp., p. 757)

STEWART L. UDALL,
Secretary of the Interior.

JUNE 5, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5632; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

Chapter II—Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

SUBCHAPTER G—PROCESSED FISHERY PRODUCTS, PROCESSED PRODUCTS THEREOF, AND CERTAIN OTHER PROCESSED FOOD PRODUCTS

PART 260—INSPECTION AND CERTIFICATION

Approved Identification

On page 1771 of the FEDERAL REGISTER of February 24, 1962, there was published a notice and text of a proposed amendment to part 260 of Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, by the addition of a new paragraph (e) to § 260.86.

The purpose of the addition of the new provision is to require accountability by the processor for the removal or stripping of official United States Department of the Interior (USDI) shields from packages of mislabeled fishery products.

Interested persons were given until March 24, 1962, to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections to the proposed amendment. One comment was received and considered. Accordingly, a minor change has been adopted to further clarify § 260.86(e) relating to

the removal of labels bearing inspection marks.

The proposed amendment is hereby adopted with the minor change and is set forth below. This amendment shall become effective 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated: June 5, 1962.

STEWART L. UDALL,
Secretary of the Interior.

§ 260.86 Approved identification.

* * * * *

(e) *Removal of labels bearing inspection marks.* At the time a lot of fishery products is found to be mislabeled and the labels on the packages are not removed within ten (10) consecutive calendar days, the following procedure shall be applicable:

(1) The processor, under the supervision of the inspector, shall clearly and conspicuously mark all master cases in the lot by means of a "Rejected by USDI Inspector" stamp provided by the Department.

(2) The processor shall be held accountable to the Department for all mislabeled products until the products are properly labeled.

(3) Clearance for the release of the relabeled products shall be obtained by the processor from the inspector.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5633; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:47 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Stabilization and
Conservation Service

[7 CFR Parts 1135, 1137]

[Docket Nos. AO-300-A5, AO-326-A2]

MILK IN COLORADO SPRINGS- PUEBLO AND EASTERN COLORADO MARKETING AREAS

Notice of Hearing on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Market- ing Agreements and Orders

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), notice is hereby given of a public hearing to be held at the Townhouse Motor Hotel, 8th and Santa Fe Streets, Pueblo, Colorado, beginning at 10:00 a.m., local time on June 26, 1962, with respect to proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreements and to the orders, regulating the handling of milk in the Colorado Springs-Pueblo and Eastern Colorado marketing areas.

The public hearing is for the purpose of receiving evidence with respect to the economic and marketing conditions which relate to the proposed amendments, hereinafter set forth, and any appropriate modifications thereof, to the tentative marketing agreements and to the orders.

The proposal relative to a redefinition of the Colorado Springs-Pueblo marketing area raises the issue whether the provisions of the present order would tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act, if they are applied to the marketing area as proposed to be redefined and, if not, what modifications of the provisions of the order would be appropriate.

The proposed amendments, set forth below, have not received the approval of the Secretary of Agriculture.

Proposed amendments to Colorado Springs-Pueblo Order:

Proposed by the Eastern Colorado Dairymen Association:

Proposal No. 1. Amend § 1135.6 to read as follows:

§ 1135.6 Colorado Springs-Pueblo marketing area.

"Colorado Springs-Pueblo marketing area", hereinafter called the "marketing area" means all territory within the boundaries of the counties of El Paso, Pueblo, Huerfano, Teller, Las Animas, Otero, Kiowa, Crowley, Lincoln and Cheyenne, all in the State of Colorado, and of the counties of Wallace, Cheyenne and Sherman, all in the State of Kansas.

Proposed by Beatrice Foods Company of Pueblo, Colorado; Beatrice Foods

Company of Colorado Springs, Colorado; the Sinton Dairy Company of Colorado Springs, Colorado; and the Carnation Company of Colorado Springs, Colorado:

Proposal No. 2. Amend § 1135.6 to include the Colorado counties of Los Animas, Otero, Crowley, Kiowa, Fremont and Custer, and the Kansas counties of Cheyenne, Sherman, Wallace and Logan.

Proposed by the Eastern Colorado Dairymen Association:

Proposal No. 3. Amend § 1135.10 to read as follows:

§ 1135.10 Producer.

"Producer" means any person, other than a producer-handler or a dairy farmer with respect to milk delivered to a pool plant which qualifies as producer milk under another Federal milk order who produces milk eligible for distribution as Grade A milk in compliance with the fluid milk product requirements of a duly constituted health authority, whose milk is:

(a) Received at a pool plant; or

(b) Diverted from a pool plant to a nonpool plant for the account of the handler operating the pool plant or of a cooperative association: *Provided*, That the quantity of milk so diverted does not exceed ten percent in the months of September, October, November, December, January and February, and 20 percent in the months of March, April, May, June, July and August, of the total producer milk pooled by such handler or cooperative association: *And provided further*, That the definition of producer, in respect to diverted milk, shall not include any person whose milk is not received at a pool plant for at least five days during the month: *And further provided*, That milk from producers may be diverted by a handler operating a pool plant for Class II uses only to the extent milk so diverted plus milk transferred from such pool plant for Class II uses does not exceed 30 percent of the total producer milk pooled by such handler; and

(c) For purposes of the requirements of § 1135.7, milk diverted for the account of the operator of a pool plant shall be included in the receipts of the pool plant from which diverted, and for purposes of location adjustments pursuant to § 1135.81, milk diverted to a nonpool plant shall be considered to have been received at the location of the nonpool plant to which diverted.

Proposed by Beatrice Foods Company of Pueblo, Colorado; Beatrice Foods Company of Colorado Springs, Colorado; Sinton Dairy Company of Colorado Springs, Colorado; and Carnation Company of Colorado Springs, Colorado:

Proposal No. 4. Amend the producer-handler definition to conform to such definition as contained in the Eastern Colorado Order No. 137 as follows:

§ 1135.11 Producer-handler.

"Producer-handler" means any person who operates a dairy farm and a milk

processing plant which distributes fluid milk products on routes in the marketing area and who receives no fluid milk products during the month from dairy farmers or any other source except by transfer from a pool plant. Such person must provide proof satisfactory to the market administrator that the care and management of all the dairy animals and other resources necessary to produce the entire volume of fluid milk products (excluding transfers from pool plants) and the operation of the processing and distribution business is the personal enterprise of and at the personal risk of such person.

Proposed by the Hillside Dairy, Pueblo, Colorado:

Proposal No. 5. Amend § 1135.11 by adding to the end thereof the following: "except that a producer-handler may purchase other source milk or milk from other producers in an amount not to exceed a maximum of 20 percent of such producer-handler's total sales during the month, providing, that such producer-handler shall pay for such other source milk or milk from other producers the established Class I price plus pool charges".

Proposal No. 6. Amend § 1135.13 by specifying the exact meaning of the words "reprocess" and "converted to another product", and amend § 1135.11 to permit a producer-handler to reprocess manufactured dairy products without losing his producer-handler status.

Proposed by Beatrice Foods Company of Pueblo, Colorado; Beatrice Foods Company of Colorado Springs, Colorado; Sinton Dairy Company of Colorado Springs, Colorado; and Carnation Company of Colorado Springs, Colorado:

Proposal No. 7. Amend § 1135.30 to change the handlers report due date to the seventh working day of the month. (Saturdays, Sundays, and Holidays are not to be considered as working days.)

Proposed by the Eastern Colorado Dairymen Association:

Proposal No. 7A. Amend § 1135.44(f) by adding at the end "unless a classification is mutually agreed upon at the time of reporting".

Proposed by Borden's Carlson-Frink Company of Denver, Colorado:

Proposal No. 8. Amend § 1135.46 and any other section so that all packaged products classified and priced as Class I under the Eastern Colorado order which are received and distributed from a Colorado Springs-Pueblo order pool plant be deducted from Class I.

Proposal No. 9. Amend § 1135.70 so that compensatory payments would not be assessed against products priced under the Eastern Colorado order which are distributed from a Colorado Springs-Pueblo order pool plant.

Proposed by Beatrice Foods Company of Denver, Colorado:

Proposal No. 10. Amend the order so that packaged milk could move between plants in the Colorado Springs-Pueblo

marketing area and plants in the Eastern Colorado area without penalty payments for the plants involved.

Proposal No. 11. Clarify § 1135.41(b) (6). Inventories of fluid milk products on hand at the end of the month.

Proposed by the Eastern Colorado Dairymen Association:

Proposal No. 12. Amend the provisions to clarify the authority of the market administrator and provide additional authority, if necessary, relative to checking the testing and weighing of milk, and requiring payment on the basis of the tests and weights as determined by the market administrator.

Proposal No. 13. Amend § 1135.80(d) (2) to read as follows:

(2) The total pounds and the average butterfat content of milk received from such producer, supported by itemized weight tickets and the butterfat tests covering each shipment of milk from such producer;

Proposed by the Milk Marketing Orders Division, Agriculture Stabilization and Conservation Service:

Proposal No. 14. Make such changes as may be necessary to make the entire marketing agreement and the order regulating the handling of milk in the Colorado Springs-Pueblo marketing area conform with any amendments thereto that may result from this hearing.

Proposed amendment of Eastern Colorado Order:

Proposed by the Eastern Colorado Dairymen Association:

Proposal No. 15. Amend the Eastern Colorado order by removing the Colorado counties of Lincoln and Cheyenne from the counties listed in the marketing area definition.

Copies of this notice of hearing and the orders may be procured from the Market Administrator, N. Alan Luke, 121 East Boulder, Colorado Springs, Colorado, and 2765 South Colorado Boulevard, Denver 22, Colorado, or from the Hearing Clerk, Room 112, Administration Building, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D.C., or may be there inspected.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on June 6, 1962.

ROBERT G. LEWIS,
Deputy Administrator, Price
and Production, Agricultural
Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5663; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:51 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Great Lakes Pilotage Administration

[46 CFR Part 401]

GREAT LAKES PILOTAGE REGULATIONS

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Notice is hereby given that amendments to the Great Lakes Pilotage Reg-

ulations as amended (46 CFR Part 401) set forth in tentative form below are proposed to be promulgated by the Administrator, Great Lakes Pilotage Administration. Prior to the adoption of such amendments a hearing will be held by the Administrator at 10:00 a.m. on June 27, 1962 in the Commerce Building, Room No. 5855, Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views and arguments as they may desire directly to the Administrator, Great Lakes Pilotage Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington 25, D.C., prior to completion of the hearing. Persons desiring to present their views at the hearing are requested to notify the Administrator prior to the hearing.

The proposed amendments to the regulations are to be issued under the authority contained in section 5 of the Great Lakes Pilotage Act of 1960 (74 Stat. 260, 261; 46 U.S.C. 216).

Dated: June 1, 1962.

A. T. MESCHTER,
Administrator,

Great Lakes Pilotage Administration.

Explanatory statement. Pursuant to the authority of Section 5 of the Great Lakes Pilotage Act of 1960, the Administrator, Great Lakes Pilotage Administration on January 31, 1961, issued Great Lakes Pilotage Regulations. On September 29, 1961 the Great Lakes Pilotage Regulations, after publication of proposed changes and public hearing, were amended (26 F.R. 9646). Certain amendments to the regulations are now proposed, among other things, to include rates for services not heretofore provided and to adjust for inequities in the existing rates, charges and conditions for pilotage services. Part 401, Chapter III of Title 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart D—Rates, Charges and Conditions for Pilotage Services

Section 401.400 is amended to read as follows:

§ 401.400 Rates and charges on designated waters.

(a) The following rates and charges shall be payable for all services performed by United States or Canadian registered pilots in the following areas of the United States waters of the Great Lakes described in § 401.300, pursuant to the written arrangements between United States and Canada of May 1, 1961:

- (1) *District No. 1.* (i) Snell Lock to Cape Vincent..... \$200
(ii) Trips commencing or terminating at any intermediate point within the District, an amount computed on a pro-rata basis set forth in (i) according to the distance piloted shall be charged as pilotage dues with a minimum charge therefor of... 50

- (2) *District No. 2.* (i) The Welland Canal..... \$125
(ii) Trips commencing or terminating at any intermediate point within the Welland Canal an amount computed on the basis of \$3 for each mile of distance piloted plus \$10 for each lock transited except that the minimum charge for such part pilotage shall be..... 30
and the maximum charge for such part pilotage shall not exceed..... 125
(iii) Southeast Shoal (pilots board at the Welland Canal) to Lake Huron Lightship (includes direct transit of undesignated Lake Erie waters)..... 125
(iv) Southeast Shoal (pilots board at the Welland Canal) to any point on Lake Erie west of Southeast Shoal (includes direct transit of undesignated Lake Erie waters)..... 80
(v) Southeast Shoal (pilots board at the Welland Canal) to any point on the Detroit River (includes direct transit of undesignated Lake Erie waters)..... 80
(vi) Any point on Lake Erie west of Southeast Shoal to any point on the St. Clair River or to Lake Huron Lightship..... 125
(vii) Any point on Lake Erie west of Southeast Shoal to any point on the Detroit River..... 80
(viii) Any point on the Detroit River to any point on the St. Clair River to Lake Huron Lightship..... 80
(ix) Any point on the Detroit River or the St. Clair River to any point on the same river, or from any point on Lake Erie west of Southeast Shoal to any other point on Lake Erie west of Southeast Shoal..... 50
(3) *District No. 3.* (i) Detour Reef Light to Gros Cap Reefs Light... 200
(ii) Detour Reef Light to Sault Ste. Marie, Mich. or Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario..... 165
(iii) Detour Reef Light to Algoma Steel Corp. Wharf at Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario..... 200
(iv) Sault Ste. Marie, Mich. or Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario including the Algoma Steel Corp. Wharf to Gros Cap Reefs Light... 75
(v) Harbor movement of vessels within District No. 3, per movement..... 50

(b) When a vessel in transit of a District puts into a port for the purpose of loading or discharging cargo, or otherwise interrupts her passage through the District for the convenience of the vessel, excluding ice, weather and traffic delay, and the pilot remains on board for the convenience of the vessel, an additional charge of \$5 per hour, with a maximum of \$50 for each 24-hour period, shall be payable.

Section 401.410 is amended to read as follows:

§ 401.410 Rates and charges on undesignated waters.

The rates or charges for all pilotage services performed by United States or Canadian registered pilots in the undesignated waters, other than the direct

transit of Lake Erie covered by the rates as specified in District No. 2, paragraph (a) (2) (iii), (iv) and (v) of § 401.400, payable for each 24-hour period or part thereof shall be \$50, plus a charge of \$25 for docking or undocking the vessel upon arrival or departure, or a harbor moveage, if performed by the pilot, plus any expenses reasonably incurred by the pilot both in joining the vessel and in returning to his base.

Section 401.420 is amended to read as follows:

§ 401.420 Cancellation of services.

When a pilot reports for service and the service is cancelled within one hour of the time of his reporting, a charge of \$25 shall be made and if the service is cancelled after one hour a further charge of \$5 per hour for each hour after the first hour shall be charged, but the aggregate amount of these charges shall not exceed \$50 for any one 24-hour period. When a pilot reports for service and the rendition of his services is delayed for the convenience of the vessel for more than one hour, or when the pilot has completed his services and he is delayed for the convenience of the vessel for more than one hour in debarking from the vessel, a further charge of \$5 for each hour after the first hour shall be made, but the aggregate amount of these charges shall not exceed \$50 for any one 24-hour period.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5648; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:49 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[21 CFR Part 121]

FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 840) has been filed by E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., 1007 Market Street, Wilmington 98, Delaware, proposing the amendment of paragraph (c) (5) of § 121.2520 *Adhesives* to provide for the use of trimethylol propane in the production of polyurethane resins intended for use in food-packaging adhesives.

Dated: June 4, 1962.

J. K. KIRK,
Assistant Commissioner
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5636; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:47 a.m.]

[21 CFR Part 121]

FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 807) has been filed by Marathon, A Division of American Can Company, Menasha, Wisconsin, proposing the issuance of a regulation to provide for the safe use of calcium lignosulfonate as a dispersing agent and stabilizer for pesticide sprays and dips for pre- and postharvest application to bananas.

Dated: June 4, 1962.

J. K. KIRK,
Assistant Commissioner
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5637; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:47 a.m.]

[21 CFR Part 121]

FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5)), the following notice is issued:

A petition (FAP 715) has been filed by Rohm and Haas Company, 222 West Washington Square, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, proposing the issuance of a regulation to establish a tolerance of 175 parts per million for residues of nickel sulfate (calculated as Ni) in the bran of barley, oats, rice, rye, and wheat resulting from carryover and concentration of residues of this pesticide chemical in the growing grain crops treated under an experimental permit issued by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and for which a temporary tolerance has been established.

Dated: June 1, 1962.

J. K. KIRK,
Assistant Commissioner
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5638; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:47 a.m.]

[21 CFR Part 121]

FOOD ADDITIVES

Order Denying Proposed Regulation

In the matter of Food Additive Petition No. 736, received from Thiokol Chemical Corporation, 780 North Clinton Avenue, Trenton 7, New Jersey:

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(c)(1)(B), (e), (f), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c)(1)(B), (e), (f)), and under the authority delegated to him by the Secretary of Health, Education, and

Welfare (25 F.R. 8625), the Commissioner of Food and Drugs hereby issues his findings and order in the above-identified matter:

Findings. 1. The petitioner proposed the issuance of a regulation prescribing safe conditions of use for di(butoxy-ethoxy-ethyl) formal as a plasticizer in natural and synthetic rubber formulations used to produce articles that contact food. Twenty to fifty parts by weight of the plasticizer is used per 100 parts by weight of the base-rubber component.

2. The petitioner was requested to supply extraction or migration data to reflect the maximum amount of the plasticizer expected to migrate to food under use conditions. Such data were not submitted.

3. The petitioner, in accordance with § 121.51(e)(1) of the food additive regulations requested, in writing, that the petition be filed as submitted. The petition was filed March 30, 1962, and notice thereof was published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* April 11, 1962 (27 F.R. 3469), and the petitioner was so notified.

Conclusion. Insufficient data are available to evaluate the safety of di(butoxy-ethoxy-ethyl) formal under the conditions of use proposed in the petition as filed.

Order. Therefore, the proposal of the petitioner to establish a regulation to permit the use of this food additive as a plasticizer in natural and synthetic rubber formulations used to produce articles that contact food is denied, and it is so ordered.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days from the date of its publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington 25, D.C., written objections thereto. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. All documents shall be filed in quintuplicate.

Effective date. This order shall be effective on the date of its publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*.

(Sec. 409(c)(1)(B), (e), (f), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c)(1)(B), (e), (f))

Dated: June 4, 1962.

JOHN L. HARVEY,
Deputy Commissioner
of Foods and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5639; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:47 a.m.]

Notices

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

MARINE CORP.

Order Approving Application Under Bank Holding Company Act

In the matter of the application of The Marine Corporation for prior approval of acquisition of voting shares of Security State Bank, Madison, Wisconsin.

There has come before the Board of Governors, pursuant to section 3(a)(2) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842) and § 222.4(a)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 222.4(a)(2)), an application by The Marine Corporation, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, for the Board's prior approval of the acquisition of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of Security State Bank, Madison, Wisconsin. A Notice of Application was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 15, 1961 (26 F.R. 10709), providing for the filing of comments and views regarding the proposed acquisition; the time provided by the notice for filing comments and views has expired and no comments or views have been filed.

It is ordered, For the reasons set forth in the Board's Statement¹ of this date, that the said application be and hereby is granted, provided that the acquisition so approved shall not be consummated (a) sooner than seven calendar days after the date of this Order or (b) later than three months after said date.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 4th day of June 1962.

By order of the Board of Governors.

[SEAL] MERRITT SHERMAN,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5628; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:46 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Commodity Credit Corporation

SALES OF CERTAIN COMMODITIES

June 1962 Monthly Sales List

Notice to buyers. Pursuant to the policy of Commodity Credit Corporation issued October 12, 1954 (19 F.R. 6669), and subject to the conditions stated therein as well as herein, the commodities listed below are available for sale and, where noted, for redemption of payment-in-kind certificates on the price basis set forth.

These prices at which Commodity Credit Corporation commodity holdings

are available for sale during June 1962 were also announced by the U.S. Department of Agriculture in a press release of May 31, 1962. The following commodities are available: Butter, cheddar cheese, nonfat dry milk, cotton (upland and extra long staple), peanuts, wheat, corn, oats, barley, rye, grain sorghums, soybeans, dry edible beans, and gum turpentine.

Additions to the list for June are soybeans and the following classes of dry edible beans, as announced earlier: Red Kidney (added May 8, press release USDA 1696-62) and Small Red and Great Northern (added May 18, press release USDA 1858-62).

The CCC Monthly Sales List, which varies from month to month as additional commodities become available or commodities formerly available are dropped, is designed to aid in moving CCC's inventories into domestic or export use through regular commercial channels.

If it becomes necessary during the month to amend this list in any material way—such as by the removal or addition of a commodity in which there is general interest or by a significant change in price or method of sale—an announcement of the change will be sent to all persons currently receiving the list by mail from Washington. To be put on this mailing list, address: Director, Price Division, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D.C.

All commodities except oats currently offered for sale by CCC, plus tobacco from CCC loan stocks, are eligible for special export sale under the CCC Export Credit Sales Program. The following commodities are currently eligible for barter: Nonfat dry milk, butter, cotton, tobacco, wheat, corn, rye, barley, grain sorghums, and pea beans. This list is subject to change from time to time.

Interest rates per annum under the CCC Export Credit Sales Program for June 1962 are 3½ percent for periods up to 12 months, and 4 percent for periods from over 12 months up to a maximum of 36 months. (As announced May 4—press release USDA 1664-62—the interest rate periods have been revised to provide only two rather than the former three periods.)

The CCC will entertain offers from responsible buyers for the purchase of any commodity on the current list. Offers accepted by CCC will be subject to the terms and conditions prescribed by the Corporation. These terms include payment by cash or irrevocable letter of credit before delivery of the commodity, and the conditions require removal of the commodity from CCC storage within a reasonable period of time. Where conditions of sale for export differ from those for domestic sale, proof of exportation is also required, and the buyer

is responsible for obtaining any required U.S. Government export permit or license. Purchases from CCC shall not constitute any assurance that any such permit or license will be granted by the issuing authority.

Applicable announcements containing all terms and conditions of sale will be furnished upon request. For easy reference a number of these announcements are identified by code number in the following list. Interested persons are invited to communicate with the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, USDA, Washington 25, D.C., with respect to all commodities or—for specified commodities—with the designated ASCS Commodity Office.

Commodity Credit Corporation reserves the right to amend, from time to time, any of its announcements. Such amendments shall be applicable to and be made a part of the sale contracts thereafter entered into.

CCC reserves the right to reject any or all offers placed with it for the purchase of commodities pursuant to such announcements.

If CCC does not have adequate information as to the financial responsibility of a prospective buyer to meet all contract obligations that might arise by acceptance of an offer or if CCC deems such buyers's financial responsibility to be inadequate CCC reserves the right (i) to refuse to consider the offer, (ii) to accept the offer only after submission by the buyer of a certified or cashier's check, bond, letter of credit or other security acceptable to CCC assuring that the buyer will discharge the responsibility under the contract, or (iii) to accept the offer upon condition that the buyer promptly submit to CCC such of the aforementioned security as CCC may direct. If a prospective buyer is in doubt as to whether CCC is acquainted with his financial responsibility he should communicate with the ASCS Office at which the offer is to be placed to determine whether a financial statement or advance financial arrangement will be necessary in his case.

Disposals and other handling of inventory items often result in small quantities at given locations or in qualities not up to specifications. These lots are offered by the appropriate ASCS Office promptly upon appearance and therefore, generally, they do not appear in the Monthly Sales List.

On sales for which the buyer is required to submit proof to CCC of exportation the buyer shall be regularly engaged in the business of buying or selling commodities and for this purpose shall maintain a bona fide business office in the United States, its territories or possessions, and have a person, principal, or resident agent upon whom service of judicial process may be had.

Prospective buyers for export should note that generally, sales to United States

¹ Filed as part of the original document. Copies available upon request to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington 25, D.C., or to the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.

Government agencies, with only minor exceptions, will constitute a domestic unrestricted use of the commodity.

Commodity Credit Corporation reserves the right, before making any sales, to define or limit export areas.

Notice to exporters. The Department of Commerce, Bureau of International Programs (the Bureau of Foreign Commerce until Aug. 9, 1961), pursuant to regulations under the Export Control Act of 1949, prohibits the exportation or re-exportation by anyone of any commodities (except absorbent cotton and sterilized gauze and bandages with respect to Cuba only) under this program to Cuba, the Soviet Bloc, or Communist-controlled area of the Far East including Communist China, North Korea, and the Communist-controlled area of Vietnam, except under validated license issued by the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of International Programs.

These regulations generally require that exporters, in or in connection with their contracts with foreign purchasers, where the contract involves \$10,000 or more and exportation is to be made to a Group R country, obtain from the foreign purchaser a written acknowledgment of his understanding of (1) U.S. Commerce Department prohibitions (Comprehensive Export Schedule, 15 CFR 371.4 and 371.8) against sales or resale for re-export of said commodities, or any part thereof, without express Commerce Department authorization, to the Soviet Bloc, Communist China, North Korea or the Communist-controlled area of Vietnam or to Cuba, and (2) the sanction of denial of future U.S. export privileges that may be imposed for violation of the Commerce Department regulations. Exporters who have a continuing and regular relationship with a foreign purchaser may obtain a blanket acknowledgment from such purchaser covering all transactions involving surplus agricultural commodities and manufactures thereof purchased from CCC or subsidized for export by the Secretary of Agriculture or CCC. Where commodities are to be exported by a party other than the original purchaser of the commodities from the CCC the original purchaser should inform the exporter in writing of the requirements for obtaining the signed acknowledgment from the foreign purchaser.

For all exportations, one of the destination control statements specified in Commerce Department Regulations (Comprehensive Export Schedule, 15 CFR 379.10(c)) is required to be placed on all copies of the shipper's export declaration, all copies of the bill of lading, and all copies of the commercial invoices. For additional information as to

which destination control statement to use, the exporter should communicate with the Bureau of International Programs or one of the field offices of the Department of Commerce.

Exporters should consult the applicable Commerce Department regulations for more detailed information if desired and for any changes that may be made therein.

Commodity	Sales price or method of sale																		
Dairy products	Sales are in carlots only in store at storage location of products. Submission of offers: For products in Arizona, California, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, and Washington, submit offers to the Portland ASCS Commodity Office. For products in other States and the District of Columbia, submit offers to the Cincinnati ASCS Commodity Office.																		
Butter	Domestic, unrestricted use: Announced prices, under LD-29 as amended: 65.75 cents per pound—New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New England, and other States bordering the Atlantic Ocean and Gulf of Mexico. 65.0 cents per pound—Washington, Oregon, and California. All other States 64.75 cents per pound. Export: Competitive bid under LD-33 as amended, pursuant to invitations to bid to be issued by Cincinnati ASCS Commodity Office. Announced prices under LD-35: When sales are made under LD-33, as amended, above any butter offered but not sold under the invitation to bid will be offered for sale through the following Wednesday at prices announced in Washington each Thursday.																		
Nonfat dry milk	Domestic, unrestricted use: Announced prices, under LD-29, as amended: Spray process, U.S. extra grade, 17.40 cents per pound. Roller process, U.S. extra grade, 15.40 cents per pound. Export: Competitive bid under LD-33, as amended, pursuant to invitations to bid to be issued by Cincinnati and Portland ASCS Commodity Offices. Announced prices under LD-35: When sales are made under LD-33, as amended, above any nonfat dry milk offered but not sold under the invitation to bid will be offered for sale through the following Monday at prices announced in Washington each Tuesday.																		
Cheddar Cheese (standard moisture basis).	Domestic, unrestricted use: Announced prices under LD-29 as amended: 39.75 cents per pound—New York, Pennsylvania, New England, New Jersey, and other States bordering the Atlantic Ocean and Pacific Ocean and the Gulf of Mexico. All other States 38.75 cents per pound. Export: Competitive bid under LD-33, as amended, pursuant to invitations to bid to be issued by Cincinnati ASCS Commodity Office. Announced prices under LD-35: When sales are made under LD-33, as amended, above any cheese offered but not sold under the invitation to bid will be offered for sale through the following Wednesday at prices announced in Washington each Thursday.																		
Cotton, upland	Domestic, unrestricted use: Competitive bid under the terms and conditions of Announcement NO-C-16 (sale of Upland Cotton for Unrestricted Use). Under this Announcement, upland cotton acquired under price support programs will be sold at the highest price offered but in no event at less than the higher of (a) 115 percent of the current support price plus reasonable carrying charges or (b) the market price of such cotton, as determined by CCC. Export, CCC Credit Sales: Competitive bid under the terms and conditions of Announcements CN-EX-14 (acquisition of Cotton for Export under Credit Sales Program) and NO-C-17 (sale of Upland Cotton for Credit Sales). Cotton to be sold at the highest price offered but in no event at less than the higher of (a) 105 percent of the current support price for such cotton plus reasonable carrying charges, or (b) the market price for such cotton, as determined by CCC, less in either case an amount equal to the payment-in-kind cotton export payment rate in effect on the date of the acceptance of an offer.																		
Cotton, extra long staple	Domestic or export, unrestricted use: Competitive bid under the terms and conditions of Announcement NO-C-6 (revised July 22, 1960), as amended and NO-C-10, as amended. Under these announcements extra long staple cotton will be sold at the highest price offered but in no event at less than the higher of (a) 115 percent of the current support price plus reasonable carrying charges, or (b) the domestic market price as determined by CCC.																		
Catalogs	Catalogs for upland cotton and extra long staple cotton showing quantities, qualities, and locations may be obtained for a nominal fee from the New Orleans ASCS Commodity Office.																		
Wheat, bulk	Domestic, unrestricted use: Market price basis in store, ¹ but not less than 1961 applicable support price for the class, grade, and quality of the wheat plus the amount shown below applicable to the type of carrier involved.																		
	<table><tr><th rowspan="2">Unit</th><th colspan="2">Received by—</th><th colspan="3">Examples of minimum prices (exrail or barge)</th></tr><tr><th>Truck</th><th>Rail or barge</th><th>Terminal</th><th>Class and grade</th><th>Price</th></tr><tr><td>Wheat (commercial area)²</td><td>Bushel</td><td>Cents 26</td><td>Cents 22</td><td>Chicago..... Minneapolis..... Kansas City..... Portland.....</td><td>No. 1 RW..... No. 1 DNS..... No. 1 HW..... No. 1 SW.....</td><td>\$2.31 2.33 2.31 2.21</td></tr></table>	Unit	Received by—		Examples of minimum prices (exrail or barge)			Truck	Rail or barge	Terminal	Class and grade	Price	Wheat (commercial area) ²	Bushel	Cents 26	Cents 22	Chicago..... Minneapolis..... Kansas City..... Portland.....	No. 1 RW..... No. 1 DNS..... No. 1 HW..... No. 1 SW.....	\$2.31 2.33 2.31 2.21
Unit	Received by—		Examples of minimum prices (exrail or barge)																
	Truck	Rail or barge	Terminal	Class and grade	Price														
Wheat (commercial area) ²	Bushel	Cents 26	Cents 22	Chicago..... Minneapolis..... Kansas City..... Portland.....	No. 1 RW..... No. 1 DNS..... No. 1 HW..... No. 1 SW.....	\$2.31 2.33 2.31 2.21													
	Export: (1) Under Announcement GR-345 (revised June 30, 1960), as amended, for redemption of certificates under export payment-in-kind program, (2) under Announcement GR-212 (Revision 2, Jan. 9, 1961), for specified offerings as announced and (3) as wheat under Announcement GR-261 (Revision 2, Jan. 9, 1961) or as flour under Announcement GR-262 (Revision 2, Jan. 9, 1961), for application under arrangements for barter which permits exportation of wheat as flour and approved credit sales only at prices determined daily. Available Evanston, Dallas, Kansas City, Minneapolis, and Portland ASCS Commodity Offices. (At Portland ASCS Commodity Office hard red winter wheat is not available for barter or Title I, P.L. 480 transactions.)																		

See footnotes at end of table.

See footnotes at end of table.

Commodity	Sales price or method of sale	Commodity	Sales price or method of sale																																																					
Oats, bulk.....	Domestic and export: Storable: A. Redemption of feed grain program certificates: ^{1a} Such CCC dispositions of storable oats as may be designated by CCC, will be in redemption of certificates or rights represented by pooled certificates under a feed grain program. Redemptions will be made at applicable market price at point of delivery, as determined by CCC. CCC reserves the right to determine the time of delivery, and the class, grade, quality, and quantity of oats that will be made available for redemption. CCC also reserves the right to restrict the availability of oats at any location whenever such action is deemed necessary. For information on the availability of such oats from bin sites, contact ASCS State or county offices. For information on the availability of such oats from other locations, contact the Evansville, Kansas City, Minneapolis, and Portland ASCS Commodity Offices. B. General sales: ^{1b} Market price basis in store ² but not less than 105 percent of the applicable 1961 support price ³ for the class, grade, and quality of the oats plus the amount shown below applicable to the storage point involved. For oats in store at other than the point of production the freight from point of production to the present point of storage will also be added.	Grain sorghums, bulk (continued).	Export announcement sales: Under Announcement GR-368 (revised Aug. 31, 1959, as amended) for feed grain export payment-in-kind program, and under Announcement GR-212 (revised Jan. 9, 1961), for application to arrangements for barter, approved credit and emergency sales. CCC stocks of grain sorghums held in California export terminals are the only grain sorghums stored in California for sale under these export announcements, except that such sorghums shall not be eligible for application to Title I, P.L. 480 purchase authorizations or for barter. CCC reserves the right to determine the class, grade, quality, and quantity to be made available for sale under these announcements. Available: Evansville, Kansas City, Minneapolis, and Portland ASCS Commodity Offices. The statutory minimum price referred to in the price adjustment provisions of these export sales announcements is the adjusted support price referred to in subparagraph B above. Domestic or export: Market price basis in-store but not less than the 1961 basic loan rate for No. 2, basis in-store point of storage, plus 16½ cents per bushel, plus the value of billing, if any, as determined by the ASCS Commodity Office. Market discounts for quality factors will be applied to the basic price to determine the actual sales price. Available: Evansville, Kansas City, Dallas ASCS Commodity Offices. Domestic: Domestic market price but not less than the following minimum price per hundredweight. For U.S. No. 1 f.o.b. indicated points of production, amount of paid-in-freight to be added as applicable. For other grades, adjust by market differentials. In other areas, adjust by the 1961 price support differential.																																																					
Oats.....	<table><tr><th rowspan="2">Unit</th><th colspan="2">In store at—</th><th colspan="3">Examples of minimum prices (exrail or barge)</th></tr><tr><th>Point of production</th><th>Other</th><th>Terminal</th><th>Class and grade</th><th>Price</th></tr><tr><td>Bushel.....</td><td>Cents 12</td><td>Cents 14</td><td>Chicago⁴.....</td><td>No. 3.....</td><td>\$0.89½</td></tr></table> <p>For information on the availability of such oats from bin sites, contact ASCS State or county offices. For information on the availability of such oats from other locations, contact the Evansville, Kansas City, Minneapolis, or Portland ASCS Commodity Offices. Nonstorable (as available): At not less than market price as determined by CCC. At bin sites through ASCS county offices. At other locations through the ASCS Commodity Offices listed on page 19.</p> <p>Domestic and export: Storable: A. Redemption of feed grain program certificates: Such CCC dispositions of storable sorghums as may be designated by CCC, will be in redemption of certificates or rights represented by pooled certificates under a feed grain program. Redemptions will be made at applicable market price at point of delivery, as determined by CCC. CCC reserves the right to determine the time of delivery, and the class, grade, quality, and quantity of grain sorghums that will be made available for redemption. CCC also reserves the right to restrict the availability of grain sorghums at any location whenever such action is deemed necessary. For information on the availability of such grain sorghums from bin sites, contact ASCS State or county offices. For information on the availability of such grain sorghums from other locations, contact the Evansville, Kansas City, Minneapolis, and Portland ASCS Commodity Offices. B. General sales:^{1b} Market prices in store,² but not less than 105 percent of the applicable support price³ for the class, grade, and quality of the grain sorghums plus the amount shown below applicable to the type of carrier involved. If delivery is outside the area of production, applicable freight will be added to the above.</p>	Unit	In store at—		Examples of minimum prices (exrail or barge)			Point of production	Other	Terminal	Class and grade	Price	Bushel.....	Cents 12	Cents 14	Chicago ⁴	No. 3.....	\$0.89½	Dry edible beans, Bagged (as available).	<table><tr><th>Class</th><th>Price per hundredweight</th><th>Area of production</th></tr><tr><td>Red Kidney.....</td><td>\$9.22</td><td>Michigan.</td></tr><tr><td>Great Northern.....</td><td>7.92</td><td>New York.</td></tr><tr><td>Small Red.....</td><td>7.70</td><td>Nebraska.</td></tr><tr><td>Pinto.....</td><td>7.88</td><td>Washington.</td></tr><tr><td>Pea.....</td><td>7.66</td><td>Eastern Colorado.</td></tr></table> <p>Export: Under Announcement GR-409 the following price per hundredweight for U.S. No. 1 f.o.b. indicated points of production, amount of paid-in-freight to be added as applicable.² In other areas, adjust by the 1961 price support differential.</p> <table><tr><th>Class</th><th>Price per hundredweight</th><th>Area of production</th></tr><tr><td>Red Kidney.....</td><td>\$8.52</td><td>Michigan.</td></tr><tr><td>Great Northern.....</td><td>6.99</td><td>New York.</td></tr><tr><td>Small Red.....</td><td>7.15</td><td>Nebraska.</td></tr><tr><td>Pinto.....</td><td>6.37</td><td>Washington.</td></tr><tr><td>Pea.....</td><td>7.03</td><td>Eastern Colorado.</td></tr></table> <p>Available: Pinto beans and Great Northern beans available at Kansas City, Portland, and Minneapolis ASCS Commodity Offices. Red beans and Red Kidney beans available at Evansville ASCS Commodity Office. Small Red beans available at Portland ASCS Commodity Office. Domestic for crushing or export: Competitive bid under OCC Peanut Announcement 1 (revised, Jan. 4, 1962), as amended. Domestic, unrestricted use: Competitive offers for unrestricted use, bulk in storage tanks, subject to Announcement TB-21-61 and supplements thereto. Available through Naval Stores Branch, Tobacco Division, ASCS, U.S. Department of Agriculture.</p>	Class	Price per hundredweight	Area of production	Red Kidney.....	\$9.22	Michigan.	Great Northern.....	7.92	New York.	Small Red.....	7.70	Nebraska.	Pinto.....	7.88	Washington.	Pea.....	7.66	Eastern Colorado.	Class	Price per hundredweight	Area of production	Red Kidney.....	\$8.52	Michigan.	Great Northern.....	6.99	New York.	Small Red.....	7.15	Nebraska.	Pinto.....	6.37	Washington.	Pea.....	7.03	Eastern Colorado.
Unit	In store at—		Examples of minimum prices (exrail or barge)																																																					
	Point of production	Other	Terminal	Class and grade	Price																																																			
Bushel.....	Cents 12	Cents 14	Chicago ⁴	No. 3.....	\$0.89½																																																			
Class	Price per hundredweight	Area of production																																																						
Red Kidney.....	\$9.22	Michigan.																																																						
Great Northern.....	7.92	New York.																																																						
Small Red.....	7.70	Nebraska.																																																						
Pinto.....	7.88	Washington.																																																						
Pea.....	7.66	Eastern Colorado.																																																						
Class	Price per hundredweight	Area of production																																																						
Red Kidney.....	\$8.52	Michigan.																																																						
Great Northern.....	6.99	New York.																																																						
Small Red.....	7.15	Nebraska.																																																						
Pinto.....	6.37	Washington.																																																						
Pea.....	7.03	Eastern Colorado.																																																						
Grain sorghums.....	<p>For information on the availability of such grain sorghums from bin sites, contact ASCS State or county offices. For information on the availability of such grain sorghums from other locations, contact the Evansville, Kansas City, Minneapolis, and Portland ASCS Commodity Offices. Nonstorable (as available): At not less than market price as determined by CCC. At bin sites through ASCS county offices. At other locations through the grain ASCS Commodity Offices listed on page 19.</p>	Peanuts, shelled and unshelled, farmers' stock (as available). Gum turpentine.....	<p>On bin site sales such delivery basis shall be f.o.b. buyers conveyance at the bin site. Nonsite sales shall be on the same basis as commercial producing area wheat except increase applicable support price by 33 percent. On bin site sales such delivery basis shall be f.o.b. buyers conveyance at the site. To compute, multiply applicable support price by 1.05, round product up to nearest shown above and any applicable freight for grain stored outside the area of production. Such dispositions shall be for domestic unrestricted use or for export, except that certain sales of barley from bin sites shall be for export only. On bin site sales, the delivery basis shall be f.o.b. buyers conveyance at the bin site.</p>																																																					

To compute, multiply applicable support price by 1.05, round product up to nearest whole cent and add amount shown above and any applicable freight.
 * Such dispositions shall be for domestic unrestricted use or for export.
 * Woodford County, Illinois, origin.
 * Such dispositions shall be for use only for feeding the buyer's livestock and poultry.
 * Such dispositions shall be for domestic unrestricted use or for export, except that certain sales of bin site grain sorghums, under A above in the Kansas City ASCS Commodity Office area, shall be for use only for feeding the buyer's livestock and poultry.
 * Except that Small Red beans will be available on a competitive bid basis but not less than the listed price.

(Sec. 4, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714b. Interpret or apply sec. 407, 63 Stat. 1055; 7 U.S.C. 1427)

Signed at Washington, D.C., on June 6, 1962.

H. D. GODFREY,
 Executive Vice President,
 Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F. R. Doc. 62-5664; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:51 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary FORT LEONARD WOOD MILITARY RESERVATION, MISSOURI

Order Interchanging Administrative Jurisdiction of Military and National Forest Lands

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of Agriculture by the Act of July 26, 1956 (70 Stat. 656), it is ordered as follows:

1. The lands described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and made a part hereof, which lie within or adjacent to the exterior boundaries of the Mark Twain National Forest, Missouri, are hereby transferred from the jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Army to the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Agriculture.

2. The lands described in Exhibit B, attached hereto and made a part hereof which lie within the boundaries of Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri, are hereby transferred from the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Agriculture to the jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Army.

Pursuant to section 2 of the aforesaid Act of July 26, 1956, the National Forest lands transferred to the Secretary of the Army by this order are hereafter subject only to the laws applicable to other military lands comprising the Fort Leonard Wood Military Reservation. The military lands transferred to the Secretary of Agriculture by this order are hereafter subject to the laws applicable to lands acquired under the Act of March 1, 1911 (36 Stat. 961), as amended.

This order shall be effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

ELVIS J. STAHR, JR.,
 Secretary of the Army.

APRIL 5, 1962.

ORVILLE L. FREEMAN,
 Secretary of Agriculture.

APRIL 17, 1962.

EXHIBIT A

LANDS TRANSFERRED FROM THE SECRETARY OF
 THE ARMY TO THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

Fifth Principal Meridian

T. 35 N., R. 10 W.,
 Sec. 4, that part lying west of the left bank of the Big Piney River;
 Secs. 5 to 7 inclusive;
 Sec. 8, N $\frac{1}{2}$, that part of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ north of the Big Piney River, and that part of the W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ north of Big Piney River;

Sec. 9, that portion of the N $\frac{1}{2}$ lying south and west of the left bank of the Big Piney River and that portion of the S $\frac{1}{2}$ lying north of the left bank of the Big Piney River;

Sec. 10, that part lying south, west and north of the left bank of the Big Piney River;

Sec. 28, NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 36 N., R. 10 W.,

Sec. 31, S $\frac{1}{2}$;

Sec. 32, S $\frac{1}{2}$;

Sec. 33, that part of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ lying south of the left bank of the Big Piney River.

T. 34 N., R. 11 W.,

Sec. 1, W $\frac{1}{2}$ lot 1 NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ lot 2 NW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 12, that part of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ lying west of the county road, excepting a parcel described as follows: beginning at the southwest corner of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, thence east 8.70 chains, thence north 5.425 chains, thence west 8.70 chains to section line, thence south 5.425 chains to place of beginning.

T. 35 N., R. 11 W.,

Sec. 1, lots 1 and 2 NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ lot 2 NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 18, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, lot 1 NW $\frac{1}{4}$, lot 1 SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ lot 2 SW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 19, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ lot 1 NW $\frac{1}{4}$, lot 2 NW $\frac{1}{4}$, lot 1 SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 30, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ lot 1 SW $\frac{1}{4}$, lots 1 and 2 NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 31.

T. 36 N., R. 11 W.,

Sec. 36, S $\frac{1}{2}$.

T. 36 N., R. 12 W.,

Sec. 1, lots 1, 2 and 3, E $\frac{1}{2}$ lots 4 and 5, E $\frac{1}{2}$ and E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ lot 6, and lot 7 of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$, lots 1 to 5 inclusive, W $\frac{1}{2}$ lot 6, and lot 7 of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 2, lots 1 to 7, inclusive, of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$, lots 1 to 7 inclusive, of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 3, lot 1, E $\frac{1}{2}$ lot 6, and those portions of lots 2 to 6, inclusive, lying south and east of the right bank of the Roubidoux River, all in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$, and that portion of lot 1 in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ lying south and east of the right bank of the Roubidoux River.

T. 35 N., R. 12 W.,

Sec. 24, E $\frac{1}{2}$;

Sec. 25, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 35, S $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$;

Sec. 36, SE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 36 N., R. 12 W.,

Sec. 36, that part of S $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ described as follows: Beginning at a point which is N. 79° W. from the southeast corner of said section 36, T. 36 N., R. 12 W., a distance of 1.90 chains; thence N. 79° W. on conditional line 38.82 chains to the half section line of said section 36; thence south with said half section line to south quarter corner of said section 36, thence easterly along the south line of said section 36 to the point of intersection with the line which runs S. 15°30' W. from the point of beginning, thence N. 15°30' W. to the point of beginning containing 14 acres more or less.

The described areas aggregate approximately 9,795.10 acres.

EXHIBIT B

LANDS TRANSFERRED FROM THE SECRETARY OF
 AGRICULTURE TO THE SECRETARY OF THE ARMY

Fifth Principal Meridian

T. 35 N., R. 10 W.,

Sec. 18, beginning at the corner common to secs. 7, 8, 17, and 18;

Thence due W., 29.27 chs.,

S. 31° W., 6.25 chs.,

S. 52° W., 5.85 chs.,

S. 63° W., 8.41 chs.,

N. 85° W., 5.64 chs.,

N. 72° W., 6.43 chs.,

N. 52° W., 5.51 chs.,

N. 41° W., 4.41 chs.,

N. 51° W., 5.42 chs., to sec. line,

W. 12.25 chs. to NW corner sec. 18,

S. along sec. line 34.00 chs.,

S. 32° E., 7.25 chs.,

S. 81° E., 3.40 chs.,

S. 84° E., 12.31 chs.,

S. 63° E., 16.00 chs.,

S. 71° E., 13.48 chs.,

S. 39° E., 21.80 chs.,

Due N., 10.40 chs.,

Due E., 15.06 chs.,

N. 40° E., 2.50 chs.,

N. 19° E., 6.60 chs.,

N. 2° E., 2.70 chs.,

N. 8° W., 2.00 chs.,

N. 10° W., 4.00 chs.,

N. 16° W., 11.50 chs.,

N. 6° W., 5.25 chs.,

N. 8° W., 4.00 chs.,

N. 10° W., 6.75 chs.,

N. 18° E., 3.00 chs.,

E. 5.16 chs. to sec. line,

North along sec. line 13.21 chs. to point of beginning;

Excepted from the above is a tract of 94.50 acres, situated within the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of sec. 18, previously transferred by the Department of Agriculture to the Department of the Army by order published in the FEDERAL REGISTER dated 16 August, 1957;

Sec. 31, that part of the S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ lying north and west of the left bank of the Big Piney River.

T. 34 N., R. 11 W.,

Sec. 1, lot 7 NE $\frac{1}{4}$, lot 7 NW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 2, lots 3, 4, and 5 NE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 3, lots 1 and 2 NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ and E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ lot 7 NE $\frac{1}{4}$, lots 1 and 2 NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 5, E $\frac{1}{2}$ lot 1 NW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 6, W $\frac{1}{2}$ lot 5 NW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 8, S $\frac{1}{2}$;

Sec. 9, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 14, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 16, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 17, NW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 18, lot 1 SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 19, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, lot 1 NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 20, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 21, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 22, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 23, that part of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ consisting of a strip of land one and ninety hundredths (1.90) chains wide, the center line of which is described as follows:

Beginning at a point 0.95 chains south of the northwest corner of the said NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Thence S. 86° E., 16.50 chs.,

Thence S. 60° E., 4.50 chs., to the point of intersection with the east line of sec. 23, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 31, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, lots 1 and 2 NW $\frac{1}{4}$, lots 1 and 2 SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 35 N., R. 11 W.,

Sec. 2, lots 1 and 2 NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ of lots 1 and 2 NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 3, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 11, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 12, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 13, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 16, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
 Sec. 17, SE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 20, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 21;
 Sec. 25, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ excepting that portion described as follows:
 Beginning at the southwest corner of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Thence due E., 20.00 chs.,
 Due N., 2.80 chs.,
 S. 87° W., 4.80 chs.,
 S. 80°30' W., 15.45 chs. to the point of beginning;
 Sec. 26, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 27, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 28, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 29, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 35, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 36, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 34 N., R. 12 W.,
 Sec. 2, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 3, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ except a tract of approximately 8 acres described as follows:
 That part of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ lying north and west of the road which traverses the NW corner of said SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 9, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 10, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ except a tract of 6.36 acres described as follows:
 Beginning at the southeast corner of said NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ thence north 3.18 chains, thence west 20 chains to the point of intersection with the west line of above described 40 acres, thence south 3.18 chains, thence east along the south line of the 40 acres to the point of beginning;
 Sec. 11, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ except a tract of about 8.58 acres described as follows:
 Beginning at the southwest corner of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ thence north 3.18 chains, thence east 10.63 chains, thence south .34 chains, thence north 60° east to the point of intersection with the east line of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, thence south 8.25 chains, thence west 20 chains to the point of beginning, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 14, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 15, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 16, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 22, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 23, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 25, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 27, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 28, NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 33, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 35, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 36, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

The described areas aggregate approximately 9,941.46 acres.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5645; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:49 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

FLATHEAD INDIAN RESERVATION

Transfer of Land Records to Billings Area Office

In accordance with 25 CFR 120 and pursuant to authority delegated by Amendment No. 49 to Secretarial Order 2508 (26 F.R. 11395), notice is hereby given that all source title documents and land records pertaining to trust or restricted Indian-owned lands on the Flathead Indian Reservation in the State of Montana, have been transferred from the City of Washington, D.C., to the Billings Area Office, Bureau of In-

dian Affairs, 804 North 29th Street, Billings, Montana.

Effective June 18, 1962, the Billings Area Office will be the office for the maintenance of records for all such trust and restricted lands.

JOHN O. CROW,
Acting Commissioner.

JUNE 5, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5634; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:47 a.m.]

SWINOMISH INDIAN RESERVATION

Transfer of Land Records to Portland Area Office

MAY 29, 1962.

In accordance with 25 CFR 120 and pursuant to authority delegated by Amendment No. 49 to Secretarial Order 2508 (26 F.R. 11395), notice is hereby given that all source title documents and land records pertaining to trust or restricted Indian-owned lands on the Swinomish Indian Reservation in the State of Washington, have been transferred from the City of Washington, D.C., to the Portland Area Office, Bureau of Indian Affairs, 1002 Northeast Holliday Street, Portland 8, Oregon.

Effective May 28, 1962, the Portland Area Office will be the office for the maintenance of records for all such trust and restricted lands.

PHILEO NASH,
Commissioner.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5647; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:49 a.m.]

Bureau of Land Management

[BLM 063476]

FLORIDA

Notice of Filing of Plat of Survey

JUNE 4, 1962.

The Plat of Survey of the lands described below will be officially filed in this office effective 10 a.m. on July 16, 1962.

TALLAHASSEE MERIDIAN

T. 10 S., R. 26 E.:	Area (acres)
Sec. 33,	
lot 7-----	2.87
lot 8-----	8.87
lot 9-----	16.06
lot 10-----	7.47
Sec. 34, lot 11-----	3.65
T. 11 S., R. 26 E.:	
Sec. 3, lot 5-----	.82
Sec. 4,	
lot 5-----	11.46
lot 6-----	4.63
lot 7-----	1.81

The area described aggregates 57.64 acres.

The survey was made as an administrative measure to identify certain islands in the St. John River not heretofore included in the original surveys in these townships as shown on the respective plats of the townships.

The lands included in this survey are of a black clay loam formation and are low level land, reaching from 1 to 2 feet above water level of the St. Johns River. The timber species consists of ash, maple, elm, water oak, black gum, cypress, and

cabbage palm; the undergrowth is young timber and vines, with some palmetto found. The formation of the islands is similar to that of the low land on the mainland that was included within the Joseph M. Hernandez grant; the timber growth is also similar to that found on the low land on the mainland and ranges in size from 4 to 30 inches in diameter. This attests to the fact that the islands have been in existence since 1845, when Florida was admitted into the Union, at the dates of the original surveys and re-surveys, and all subsequent dates, and therefore have the status of public land.

The islands are swamp and overflow in character, and at times during extreme high tides, are inundated several days in succession.

The public lands affected by this notice are hereby open to the operation of the public land laws, subject to any valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals and the requirements of applicable law, rules and regulations.

All inquiries relating to the lands should be directed to the Manager, Land Office, Division of Field Services, Bureau of Land Management, Washington 25, D.C.

H. K. SCHOLL,
Manager, Land Office.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5646; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:49 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Office of the Secretary

WEATHER BUREAU

Field Organization

MAY 24, 1962.

The following Appendix A to the order was issued by the Acting Secretary of Commerce on May 24, 1962. The material appearing at 26 F.R. 6746-6747 of July 27, 1961, is superseded as follows:

APPENDIX A

Field organization and location

The following abbreviations are used in this list:

DMO—District Meteorologist Office.
 NHRP—National Hurricane Research Project.
 NSSP—National Severe Storms Project.
 NWRC—National Weather Records Center.
 WBAS—Weather Bureau Airport Station.
 WBO—Weather Bureau Office.
 WBSC—Weather Bureau State Climatologist.
 WBASO—Weather Bureau Agriculture Service Office.
 WRPC—Weather Records Processing Center.
 PSO—Pacific Supervisory Office.
 RAO—Regional Administrative Office.
 RFC—River Forecast Center.

WBAS, Abilene, Tex.
 WBO, Agana, Guam, Mariana Islands.
 WBAS, Akron, Ohio.
 WBAS, Alamosa, Colo.
 WBAS, WBO, Albany, N.Y.
 WBAS, Albuquerque, N. Mex.
 WBAS, Alexandria, La.
 WBAS, Allentown, Pa.
 WBAS, Alpena, Mich.
 WBAS, Amarillo, Tex.
 RAO, WBAS, WBSC, Anchorage, Alaska.
 WBAS, Annette, Alaska.
 WBO, Apalachicola, Fla.
 WBO, NWRC, Asheville, N.C.
 WBAS, Astoria, Oreg.

WBAS, WBSC, Athens, Ga.
 WBAS, Atlanta, Ga.
 WBAS, Atlantic City, N.J.
 WBAS, RFC, Augusta, Ga.
 WBAS, Austin, Tex.
 WBAS, Bakersfield, Calif.
 WBAS, Baltimore, Md.
 WBAS, Barrow, Alaska.
 WBAS, Barter Island, Alaska.
 WBAS, Baton Rouge, La.
 WBAS, Bethel, Alaska.
 WBAS, Billings, Mont.
 WBAS, Binghamton, N.Y.
 WBAS, Birmingham, Ala.
 WBAS, Bishop, Calif.
 WBAS, Bismarck, N. Dak.
 WBAS, Block Island, R.I.
 WBAS, Blue Canyon, Calif.
 WBAS, Boise, Idaho.
 WBAS, WBSC, Boston, Mass.
 WBAS, Bridgeport, Conn.
 WBAS, Bristol, Tenn.
 WBSC, Brookings, S. Dak.
 WBAS, Brownsville, Tex.
 WBAS, Buffalo, N.Y.
 WBAS, Burbank, Calif.
 WBAS, Burlington, Iowa.
 WBAS, Burlington, Vt.
 WBO, Burns, Oreg.
 WBO, Burrwood, La.
 WBO, Cairo, Ill.
 WBSC, Champaign, Ill.
 WBAS, Canton Island, Pacific.
 WBO, Cape Hatteras, N.C.
 WBO, Cape Henry, Va.
 WBAS, Caribou, Maine.
 WBAS, Casper, Wyo.
 WBAS, Charleston, S.C.
 WBAS, Charleston, W. Va.
 WBAS, Charlotte, N.C.
 WBAS, WRPC, Chattanooga, Tenn.
 WBAS, Cheyenne, Wyo.
 WBAS, (Midway), Chicago, Ill.
 WBAS, (O'Hare), Chicago, Ill.
 WBO, (University), Chicago, Ill.
 WBO, (Downtown), Chicago, Ill.
 WBAS, WBO, RFC, Cincinnati, Ohio.
 WBAS, Clayton, N. Mex.
 WBAS, Cleveland, Ohio.
 WBAS, Cold Bay, Alaska.
 WBAS, Colorado Springs, Colo.
 WBAS, WBSC, Columbia, Mo.
 WBAS, Columbia, S.C.
 WBAS, Columbus, Ga.
 WBAS, WBSC, Columbus, Ohio.
 WBAS, Concord, N.H.
 WBAS, Concordia, Kans.
 WBAS, Cordova, Alaska.
 WBAS, Corpus Christi, Tex.
 WBASO, Corvallis, Oreg.
 WBAS, Dallas, Tex.
 WBAS, Dayton, Ohio.
 WBAS, Daytona Beach, Fla.
 WBO, Del Rio, Tex.
 WBAS, WBSC, Denver, Colo.
 WBAS, WBSC, Des Moines, Iowa.
 WBAS, (Willow Run), Detroit, Mich.
 WBAS, (Metropolitan), Detroit, Mich.
 WBAS, (City Airport), Detroit, Mich.
 WBO, Devils Lake, N. Dak.
 WBAS, Dodge City, Kans.
 WBAS, Dubuque, Iowa.
 WBAS, Duluth, Minn.
 WBAS, Elkins, W. Va.
 WBAS, Elko, Nev.
 WBAS, El Paso, Tex.
 WBAS, Ely, Nev.
 WBAS, Erie, Pa.
 WBO, Escanaba, Mich.
 WBAS, Eugene, Oreg.
 WBO, Eureka, Calif.
 WBAS, Evansville, Ind.
 WBAS, Fairbanks, Alaska.
 WBAS, Fargo, N. Dak.
 WBAS, Flagstaff, Ariz.
 WBAS, Flint, Mich.
 WBAS, Florence, S.C.
 WBAS, Fort Myers, Fla.
 WBAS, Fort Smith, Ark.
 WBAS, Fort Wayne, Ind.
 RAO, WBAS, RFC, Fort Worth, Tex.

WBAS, Frederick, Md.
 WBAS, Fresno, Calif.
 WBSC, Gainesville, Fla.
 WBAS, WBO, Galveston, Tex.
 WBAS, Glasgow, Mont.
 WBAS, Goodland, Kans.
 WBAS, Grand Island, Nebr.
 WBAS, Grand Junction, Colo.
 WBAS, Grand Rapids, Mich.
 WBAS, Great Falls, Mont.
 WBAS, Green Bay, Wis.
 WBAS, Greensboro, N.C.
 WBAS, Greenville, S.C.
 WBAS, Harrisburg, Pa.
 WBAS, RFC, Hartford, Conn.
 WBAS, Havre, Mont.
 WBAS, Helena, Mont.
 WBAS, Hilo, Hawaii
 PSO, WBAS, WBSC, Honolulu, Hawaii
 WBAS, Houston, Tex.
 WBAS, Huntington, W. Va.
 WBAS, Huntsville, Ala.
 WBAS, Huron, S. Dak.
 WBAS, Indianapolis, Ind.
 WBAS, International Falls, Minn.
 WBSC, Ithaca, N.Y.
 WBAS, Jackson, Miss.
 WBASO, Jackson, Tenn.
 WBAS, Jacksonville, Fla.
 WBAS, Johnston Island, Pacific
 WBAS, Juneau, Alaska
 WBAS, Kahului, Hawaii
 WBAS, Kalispell, Mont.
 RAO, DMO, WBAS, RFC, Kansas City, Mo.
 WRPC, NSSP, Kansas City, Mo.
 WBASO, Kearneysville, W. Va.
 WBASO, Kelser, Ark.
 WBAS, Key West, Fla.
 WBAS, King Salmon, Alaska
 WBAS, Klamath Falls, Oreg.
 WBAS, Knoxville, Tenn.
 WBO, Koror, Pacific.
 WBAS, Kotzebue, Alaska.
 WBAS, LaCrosse, Wis.
 WBSC, Lafayette, Ind.
 WBAS, Lake Charles, La.
 WBO, Lakeland, Fla.
 WBO, Lancaster, Pa.
 WBAS, Lander, Wyo.
 WBAS, WBASO, Lansing, Mich.
 WBAS, Laredo, Tex.
 WBAS, Las Vegas, Nev.
 WBAS, Lewiston, Idaho.
 WBAS, Lexington, Ky.
 WBAS, Lihue, Hawaii.
 WBO, Lincoln, Nebr.
 WBAS, Little Rock, Ark.
 WBAS, Long Beach, Calif.
 WBAS, WBO, Los Angeles, Calif.
 WBAS, Louisville, Ky.
 WBAS, Lubbock, Tex.
 WBAS, Lynchburg, Va.
 WBAS, McGrath, Alaska.
 WBAS, Macon, Ga.
 WBAS, WBSC, Madison, Wis.
 WBAS, Majuro, Pacific.
 WBAS, Mansfield, Ohio.
 WBO, Marguerite, Mich.
 WBAS, Meacham, Oreg.
 WBAS, Medford, Oreg.
 WBAS, WBO, Memphis, Tenn.
 WBAS, Meridian, Miss.
 DMO, WBAS, NHRP, Miami, Fla.
 WBAS, Midland, Tex.
 WBAS, Milford, Utah
 WBAS, Milwaukee, Wis.
 WBAS, WBO, Minneapolis, Minn.
 WBAS, Missoula, Mont.
 WBAS, Mobile, Ala.
 WBAS, Moline, Ill.
 WBAS, Montgomery, Ala.
 WBO, Mount Shasta, Calif.
 WBAS, Muskegon, Mich.
 WBAS, Nantucket, Mass.
 WBAS, Nashville, Tenn.
 WBASO, New Brunswick, N.J.
 WBAS, New Haven, Conn.
 WBAS, WBO, New Orleans, La.
 RAO, WBO, New York, N.Y.
 WBAS, (International), New York, N.Y.
 WBAS, (LaGuardia), New York, N.Y.

WBAS, Newark, N.J.
 WBAS, Nome, Alaska.
 WBAS, Norfolk, Nebr.
 WBAS, Norfolk, Va.
 WBAS, North Platte, Nebr.
 WBAS, Oakland, Calif.
 WBAS, WBSC, Oakland City, Okla.
 WBAS, Olympia, Wash.
 WBAS, Omaha, Nebr.
 WBAS, Orlando, Fla.
 WBO, Parkersburg, W. Va.
 WBAS, Pendleton, Oreg.
 WBO, Pensacola, Fla.
 WBAS, Peoria, Ill.
 WBAS, Philadelphia, Pa.
 WBAS, Phoenix, Ariz.
 WBAS, WBO, Pittsburgh, Pa.
 WBAS, Pittsfield, Mass.
 WBAS, Pocatello, Idaho.
 WBO, Pomona, Calif.
 WBO, Ponape, Pacific.
 WBASO, Portageville, Mo.
 WBAS, Port Arthur, Tex.
 WBAS, Portland, Maine.
 WBAS, WBO, RFC, Portland, Oreg.
 WBAS, Prescott, Ariz.
 WBAS, Providence, R.I.
 WBAS, Pueblo, Colo.
 WBAS, Raleigh, N.C.
 WBAS, Rapid City, S. Dak.
 WBAS, Raton, N. Mex.
 WBO, Reading, Pa.
 WBAS, Red Bluff, Calif.
 WBO, Redding, Calif.
 WBAS, Reno, Nev.
 WBAS, Richmond, Va.
 WBAS, Roanoke, Va.
 WBAS, Rochester, Minn.
 WBAS, Rochester, N.Y.
 WBAS, Rockford, Ill.
 WBAS, Rome, Ga.
 WBAS, Roseburg, Oreg.
 WBAS, Roswell, N. Mex.
 WBAS, WBO, Sacramento, Calif.
 WBAS, St. Cloud, Minn.
 WBAS, St. Joseph, Mo.
 WBAS, RFC, St. Louis, Mo.
 WBAS, St. Paul Island, Alaska.
 WBAS, Salem, Oreg.
 RAO, WBAS, Salt Lake City, Utah.
 WBAS, San Angelo, Tex.
 WBAS, San Antonio, Tex.
 WBO, Sandberg, Calif.
 WBAS, San Diego, Calif.
 WBO, Sandusky, Ohio.
 WBAS, WBSC, WRPC, San Francisco, Calif.
 WBAS, WBSC, San Juan, P.R.
 WBAS, Santa Maria, Calif.
 WBAS, Sault Ste Marie, Mich.
 WBAS, Savannah, Ga.
 WBAS, Scottsbluff, Nebr.
 WBAS, Scranton, Pa.
 WBAS, (Boeing), Seattle, Wash.
 WBAS, (Seattle-Tacoma), WBO, Seattle, Wash.
 WBO, Sexton Summit, Oreg.
 WBAS, Shemya, Alaska.
 WBAS, Sheridan, Wyo.
 WBAS, Shreveport, La.
 WBAS, Silver City, N. Mex.
 WBAS, Sioux City, Iowa.
 WBAS, Sioux Falls, S. Dak.
 WBAS, South Bend, Ind.
 WBAS, Spartanburg, S.C.
 WBAS, Spokane, Wash.
 WBAS, Springfield, Ill.
 WBAS, Springfield, Mo.
 WBO, Stampede Pass, Wash.
 WBASO, Stoneville, Miss.
 WBO, Swan Island, West Indies.
 WBAS, Syracuse, N.Y.
 WBAS, Tallahassee, Fla.
 WBAS, Tampa, Fla.
 WBO, Tatoosh Island, Wash.
 WBAS, Texarkansas, Ark.
 WBO, Thomasville, Ga.
 WBASO, Tifton, Ga.
 WBAS, Toledo, Ohio.
 WBAS, WBSC, Topeka, Kans.
 WBO, Trenton, N.J.
 WBAS, Truk, Pacific.

WBAS, Tucson, Ariz.
 WBAS, RFC, Tulsa, Okla.
 WBASO, Twin Falls, Idaho.
 WBAS, Valdosta, Ga.
 WBAS, Valentine, Nebr.
 WBO, Vicksburg, Miss.
 WBAS, Victoria, Tex.
 WBAS, Waco, Tex.
 WBAS, Wake Island, Pacific.
 WBO, Walla Walla, Wash.
 DMO, Washington, D.C.
 WBAS, Waterloo, Iowa.
 WBO, Wenatchee, Wash.
 WBAS, Wendover, Utah.
 WBASO, Weslaco, Tex.
 WBAS, West Palm Beach, Fla.
 WBAS, Wichita, Kans.
 WBAS, Wichita Falls, Tex.
 WBAS, Williamsport, Pa.
 WBAS, Williston, N. Dak.
 WBAS, Wilmington, Del.
 WBAS, Wilmington, N.C.
 WBAS, Winnemucca, Nev.
 WBAS, Winslow, Ariz.
 WBAS, Winston Salem, N.C.
 WBAS, Worcester, Mass.
 WBAS, Yakima, Wash.
 WBAS, Yakutat, Alaska.
 WBO, Yap, Pacific.
 WBAS, Youngstown, Ohio.
 WBAS, Yuma, Ariz.

JOHN PRINCE,
 Deputy Assistant Secretary
 for Administration.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5649; Filed, June 8, 1962;
 8:49 a.m.]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-181]

MARTIN-MARIETTA CORP.

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Byproduct Material License

Please take notice that Martin-Marietta Corporation, Baltimore, Maryland, holder of Construction Permit No. CPCSF-1, has amended its application to delete operations with Curium 242 and its contaminants, including special nuclear material. The amended application concerns Strontium 90 and its associated contaminants and no longer concerns special nuclear material. Accordingly, the application is no longer subject to the requirements of Title 10, CFR, Chapter I, Part 50, "Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities", but is subject to the requirements of Title 10, CFR, Chapter I, Part 30, "Licensing of Byproduct Material." This facility will not be a "Production facility" as defined in section 11t. of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and § 50.2(a) of the Commission regulations, 10 CFR Part 50. Therefore, the publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER on March 21, 1961 (26 F.R. 2376), with respect to this facility, entitled, "Notice of Interim Establishment of Required Financial Protection and Indemnification Fee" should be disregarded, and neither financial protection will be required of nor will indemnity be extended to this licensee under section 170c of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended. However, there is Price-Anderson indemnity coverage under a contract between the Commission and Martin-Marietta pursuant to section 170d of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

Accordingly, please take notice that the Atomic Energy Commission proposes to issue to Martin-Marietta Corporation a byproduct material license substantially in the form set forth below.

The proposed license will authorize the possession and use of 500,000 curies of Strontium 90 and its associated radioactive contaminants for the production of isotopic power sources at the Martin-Marietta Corporation laboratory in Quehanna, Pennsylvania.

The Commission has found that the application as amended complies with the requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations set forth in Title 10, Chapter I, CFR.

Prior to issuance of the license, the facility will be inspected by representatives of the Commission to determine whether it has been constructed in accordance with the provisions of Construction Permit No. CPCSF-1.

Within fifteen (15) days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, the applicant may file a request for a hearing, and any person whose interest may be affected by the proposed issuance of this license may file a petition for leave to intervene. A request for a hearing and petitions to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the provisions of the Commission's regulations (10 CFR Part 2). If a request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene is filed within the time prescribed in this notice, a notice of hearing or an appropriate order will be issued.

For further details with respect to this proposed issuance see (1) the application and amendments thereto and (2) the related safety analysis prepared by Process Evaluation Branch of the Division of Licensing and Regulation, all of which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW, Washington, D.C. A copy of Item (2) above may be obtained at the Commission's Public Document Room, or upon request addressed to the Atomic Energy Commission, Washington 25, D.C., Attention: Director of Licensing and Regulation.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

R. LOWENSTEIN,
 Director, Division of
 Licensing and Regulation.

JUNE 6, 1962.

BYPRODUCT MATERIAL LICENSE

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, 10 CFR Part 30, "Licensing of Byproduct Material", and in reliance upon the statements and representations contained in the application dated January 25, 1961 and amendments thereto dated January 30, 1961; October 10, 1961; October 17, 1961; November 28, 1961; March 27, 1962; April 24, 1962; and May 10, 1962; (hereinafter collectively referred to as "the application"), Martin-Marietta Corporation, Baltimore, Maryland, (hereinafter referred to as "the licensee") is hereby authorized to receive, possess and use byproduct material at the licensee's laboratory at Quehanna, Pennsylvania, for the production of isotopic power sources.

The licensee shall be subject to the provisions of 10 CFR Part 20, "Standards for Protection Against Radiation", all other

applicable rules, regulations, orders of the Atomic Energy Commission now or hereafter in effect, and to the following conditions:

1. The licensee shall not possess Strontium 90 and its attendant radioactive impurities in excess of the below possession limits:

- (a) 500,000 curies of Strontium 90.
- (b) 150,000 curies of Strontium 89.
- (c) 2,500 curies of Cerium 144.
- (d) 2,500 curies of gross fission products other than Strontium 90, Strontium 89 and Cerium 144.

2. In lieu of the control device specified in § 20.203(c)(2) of Part 20, Title 10, Chapter I, CFR, control to high radiation areas shall be restricted by a "special absolute integrity locking system" as described in the licensee's document titled "V. Administrative Control."

3. Technical specifications: The entire application, as related to Strontium 90 processing, shall be considered as the technical specifications except in cases where said application conflicts with, or is more restrictive than, the following listed specifications. In such cases, the licensee is merely bound to the following listed specifications:

(a) The licensee may receive, possess and use Strontium 90 and its attendant radioactive impurities in the quantities specified in Section I of the licensee's application amendment dated March 27, 1962.

(b) The type and location of chemical reagents shall not be changed from, nor shall the quantity and average concentration of chemical reagents exceed, that which is specified in Figures IV-1 and IV-2 of the licensee's application amendment dated March 27, 1962.

(c) Limitations on materials other than reagents in hot cells shall be as presented in Table IV-3 of the licensee's application amendment dated March 27, 1962.

(d) Process conditions of temperature and pressure shall not exceed those shown in Section V, MND-2410, Revision A, except that the process description therein is considered to be deleted.

Except as hereinafter provided, the licensee shall operate the facility only in accordance with the technical specifications. No changes shall be made in the technical specifications unless authorized by the Commission pursuant to paragraph 4 below.

4. Authorization of changes and experiments:

(a) The licensee may (i) make changes in the facility, (ii) make changes in the procedures, and (iii) conduct tests or experiments, unless the proposed change, test or experiment involves a change in the technical specifications or an unreviewed safety question, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section. The licensee shall maintain records of each change, test or experiment carried out pursuant to the authorization granted in this paragraph. If the proposed change, test or experiment involves a change in the technical specifications or an unreviewed safety question, it shall not be carried out unless authorized by the Commission pursuant to the procedures set forth in this section.

(b) A proposed change, test or experiment shall be deemed to involve an unreviewed safety question if (i) the probability of occurrence of a type of accident analyzed in the application may be increased; or (ii) if consequences of any type of accident analyzed in the application may be increased; or (iii) if such change, test or experiment may create a credible probability of a radiation accident of a different type than any analyzed in the application.

(c) With respect to any change, test or experiment which must be authorized by the Commission pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, the licensee shall submit a request for such authorization accompanied by an appropriate safety analysis.

(d) The Commission may authorize the proposed change, test or experiment upon

finding that there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered.

5. Byproduct material shall be used by, or under the supervision of, Howard D. Cross, Robert M. Duff, John M. Glasgow, William K. Goodman, George W. Karl, John W. Meade, Elmer E. Shields, Donald Spangler, or William S. Stringham.

6. This license is effective as of the date of issuance and shall expire two (2) years from the last day of the month in which this license is issued.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this ----- day of -----, 1962.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5665; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:51 a.m.]

[Docket No. 50-13]

BABCOCK AND WILCOX CO.

Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Utilization Facility License

Please take notice that the Atomic Energy Commission has issued Amendment No. 5, set forth below, to Facility License No. CX-10. The amendment authorizes The Babcock and Wilcox Company, as requested by its application for license amendment dated March 12, 1962, and the supplement thereto dated April 24, 1962, to conduct certain experiments using light and heavy water mixtures as moderator at power levels up to one kilowatt thermal in its Spectral Shift Control Reactor Critical Experiment Facility ("the facility") situated in Bay No. 2 in its Critical Experiment Laboratory located near Lynchburg, Virginia.

The Commission has found that:

1. Operation of the facility in accordance with the license as amended will not present undue hazard to the health and safety of the public and will not be inimical to the common defense and security;

2. The application for amendment complies with the requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations set forth in Title 10, Chapter I, CFR;

3. Prior public notice of proposed issuance of this amendment is not necessary in the public interest since operation of the facility in accordance with the license, as amended, will not present any substantial change in the hazards to the health and safety of the public from those considered and evaluated in connection with the previously approved operations.

Within not less than fifteen (15) days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, the applicant may file a request for a hearing, and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and petitions to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the provisions of the Commission's regulation (10 CFR Part 2). If a request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene is filed within the time prescribed in this notice, the Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

For further details with respect to this amendment see (1) a related hazards analysis prepared by the Research and Power Reactor Safety Branch of the Division of Licensing and Regulation and (2) the licensee's applications for license amendment dated March 12, 1962 and April 24, 1962, all of which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. A copy of item (1) above may be obtained at the Commission's Public Document Room or upon request addressed to the Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C., Attention: Director, Division of Licensing and Regulation.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 31st day of May 1962.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

ROBERT H. BRYAN,
Chief, Research and Power Reactor Safety Branch, Division of Licensing and Regulation.

[License No. CX-10; Amdt. 5]

License No. CX-10 issued to The Babcock and Wilcox Company ("the licensee") is hereby amended in the following respects:

1. In addition to the activities previously authorized by the Commission by License No. CX-10, as amended, the licensee is authorized, as requested by its application for license amendment dated March 12, 1962 as supplemented by an amendment thereto dated April 24, 1962, (both hereinafter referred to as the application) to conduct certain experiments using light and heavy water mixtures as moderator at power levels up to one kilowatt thermal in its Spectral Shift Control Reactor Critical Experiment Facility ("the facility") situated in Bay No. 2 in its Critical Experiment Laboratory located near Lynchburg, Virginia.

The experiments shall be conducted in accordance with the procedures and subject to the limitations in License No. CX-10, as amended, in the application for license amendment and the following additional conditions:

a. The licensee shall inspect the cadmium-stainless steel control blades at least once every six weeks to determine if any separation of the sheets has occurred. A written record shall be made by the licensee of each such inspection.

b. The fuel loading in the facility shall be such that the total excess reactivity above cold, clean critical does not exceed 2 percent delta k/k.

c. The minimum shutdown margin with the most reactive control blade withdrawn completely from the core shall be at least 1 percent delta k/k.

d. The maximum rate at which reactivity may be inserted through normal withdrawal of control blades from the reactor core shall not exceed 0.05 percent delta k/k second.

e. At any time when k effective of the facility is greater than 0.98, the reactivity rate resulting from the addition of moderator shall not be permitted to exceed 0.05 percent delta k/k second.

2. Pursuant to the Act and Title 10, CFR, Chapter I, Part 70, "Special Nuclear Material", the licensee is authorized to receive, possess and use in connection with the conduct of the experiments authorized by this Amendment No. 5 up to 575 kilograms of contained uranium-235 and up to 160 grams of plutonium contained in plutonium-beryllium neutron sources.

3. Pursuant to the Act and Title 10, CFR, Chapter I, Part 40, "Licensing of Source Material", the licensee is authorized to receive, possess and use in connection with the con-

duct of the experiments authorized by this Amendment No. 5 up to 1155 kilograms of ThO₂.

4. As promptly as practicable, but no later than 60 days after the initial criticality attained in the facility during the conduct of the experiments authorized by this Amendment No. 5, the licensee shall submit a written report to the Commission describing the measured values obtained during the initial criticality of the nuclear parameters listed below and evaluating any significant variation of a measured parameter from the corresponding predicted value:

- Total worth of the control blades;
- Minimum shutdown margin both at room and operating temperature;
- Maximum worth of the single control blade of highest reactivity value; and
- Maximum total and individual worth of any fixed or movable experiments inserted in the reactor.

5. The licensee shall promptly submit a written report to the Commission whenever, during operation of the facility subsequently to initial criticality, any of the nuclear characteristics of the facility, including those described in paragraph 4 above and the application, is observed to vary significantly from its predicted value.

This amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

Date of issuance: May 31, 1962.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

ROBERT H. BRYAN,
Chief, Research and Power Reactor Safety Branch, Division of Licensing and Regulation.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5616; Filed, June 8, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 13674; Order No. E-18411]

NORTH CENTRAL AIRLINES, INC.

Order Instituting Investigation

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 6th day of June 1962.

In the matter of the North Central Airlines, Inc. "Use It or Lose It" Investigation of Transborder Route 86F.

The Board in the past three and one-half years has made extensive awards to local service carriers subject to its "use it or lose it" policy. This policy contemplates an early reassessment of the traffic response to the newly authorized services in order to determine whether sufficient use was being made of them to warrant their continuation. The Board specifically set a minimum standard of use which required that each city, whether certificated on a temporary or an indefinite basis, originate an average of five passengers daily for the twelve months following the initial six months of service, and indicated that it would, in the absence of unusual or compelling circumstances, institute a formal investigation to determine whether a city should lose its air service for lack of use in the event it does not meet the standard. Further, the Board stated that it would similarly reassess the traffic results on each route segment for the same twelve-month period. The minimum standard in this regard required an average passenger load of seven passengers per flight during the trial period,

and provided that an inadequate traffic response on any segment would result in the institution of appropriate proceedings to determine whether the subject segment should be suspended or deleted. In addition, with respect to those segments experiencing average passenger loads ranging between five and seven passengers per flight, it was provided that formal proceedings looking toward the termination of service would be instituted, except in those situations in which unusual circumstances such as extreme isolation or national defense may dictate the contrary. (Seven States Area Investigation, Order E-13254, December 8, 1958).

Pursuant to Order E-15741, served September 7, 1960, North Central Airlines, Inc. (North Central) inaugurated service on December 1, 1960, between the terminal point Duluth, Minn.-Superior, Wisconsin and the terminal point Port Arthur-Fort William, Ontario, Canada (segment 2); and between the terminal point Hancock-Houghton, Michigan, and the terminal point Port Arthur-Fort William, Ontario, Canada (segment 3).

In accordance with the Board's "Use It or Lose It" policy, the designated review period for segments 2 and 3 of route 86F elapsed on May 31, 1962. As indicated by Appendix A' to this order, use of both segments has been substantially below the minimum standards established by the Board. Moreover, originations at Port Arthur-Fort William, for segments 2 and 3 combined, averaged only 6.5 passengers per day for the 12 months ending March 31, 1962, thus failing to achieve the minimum of 5 passengers per day for each segment. No trend is presently evident which would result in the attainment of even the minimum standards in a reasonable length of time.

In view of the foregoing, the Board is hereby instituting an investigation to determine whether the public convenience and necessity require the continuation, and if so for what period, or the suspension or elimination of North Central's authority to serve segment 2 and segment 3 of its route 86F. We will not consider new or previously filed route applications of any carrier nor possible certificate modifications, except as contemplated herein, and we intend that this investigation shall be conducted so that it may proceed promptly and be disposed of in the shortest possible time allowing for a decision upon an adequate record. For the guidance of the parties in presenting their respective cases, we note that data reflecting traffic, service, and costs will be of particular significance in reaching our decision in this investigation, and we expect North Central to submit as direct exhibits in this case data which reflect the quality, quantity, and other characteristics of service it has provided over the segments and at the points here in issue.

Accordingly, it is ordered:

1. That an investigation be and hereby is instituted pursuant to section 401(g)

¹ Filed as part of the original document.

of the Act to determine whether the public convenience and necessity require the continuation, and if so, for what period or suspension or elimination of the authority of North Central to serve:

Segment 2 of Route 86F: between Duluth, Minn.-Superior, Wis. and Port Arthur-Fort William, Ontario, Canada.

Segment 3 of Route 86F: between Hancock-Houghton, Mich. and Port Arthur-Fort William, Ontario, Canada.

2. That this investigation, to be known as the North Central Airlines, Inc., "Use It or Lose It" Investigation of Transborder Route 86F, Docket 13674 shall be set down for hearing before an Examiner of the Board at a time and place to be hereafter determined;

3. That a copy of this order shall be served upon North Central Airlines, Inc.; and the cities of Duluth, Minn.; Superior, Wis.; Hancock, Michigan; Houghton, Mich.; Port Arthur, Ontario, Canada; and Fort William, Ontario, Canada, who are hereby made parties to this proceeding; and

4. That a copy of this order shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5651; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Commission Order 1 (Amended), Amdt. 2]

ORGANIZATION AND FUNCTIONS

Delegation of Authority To Special Permission Committee

The basic order is hereby amended by a restatement of section 8 in its entirety, as follows:

Sec. 8 *Specific authorities delegated to the Special Permission Committee (Secretary, Executive Director, and the Regulations Consultant).*

8.01 Authority, acting as the Special Permission Committee, to approve Special Permission applications for relief from a statutory and/or Commission tariff requirement for any relief sought beyond that delegated to the Executive Director in section 7.02 of this order.

8.02 In the absence of the Executive Director or the Secretary to whom this authority is delegated the Deputy Executive Director and the Assistant Secretary are authorized to act for their respective supervisors.

8.03 The Executive Director is designated as the Chairman of the Special Permission Committee with authority to prescribe rules for the conduct of Committee activities, including the recording of actions and recommendations.

Dated: June 1, 1962.

THOS. E. STAKEM,
Chairman.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5652; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

CAROLINA SHIPPING CO. AND UNIVERSAL TRANSPORT CORP.

Notice of Agreements Filed for Approval

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended.

Agreement No. 8878, between Carolina Shipping Company and Universal Transport Corp., provides for a non exclusive cooperative working arrangement with regard to forwarding and brokerage fees. The parties have agreed to divide the forwarding, service, and brokerage fees, for each shipment handled on behalf of the other, as per negotiation. Both parties have received an application number as an independent ocean freight forwarder. This agreement will be terminated upon written notice to the other, and upon prompt notice to the Federal Maritime Commission.

Interested persons may inspect this agreement and obtain copies thereof at the Bureau of Domestic Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., and may submit, within 20 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, written statements with reference to it, and their position as to approval, disapproval, or modification thereof, together with request for hearing should such hearing be desired.

Dated: June 6, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5653; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

T. J. HANSON ET AL.

Notice of Agreements Filed for Approval; Correction Notices

Agreement No. 8854 between T. J. Hanson (Beaumont, Texas) and Universal Transport Corp. (New York, New York), appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER May 1, 1962, has been withdrawn by the parties. This action was taken because an agreement with the same terms (8844) had been previously filed. Agreement No. 8844 appeared in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 20, 1962.

Agreement No. 8824 between T. J. Hanson (Beaumont, Texas) and W. R. Zanes and Company (Houston, Texas), appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 20, 1962, has been withdrawn by the parties. Agreement No. 8856 between these parties takes the place of Agreement No. 8824. Agreement No. 8856 appeared in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 22, 1962.

Dated: June 6, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5654; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

**STONE FORWARDING CO., INC., AND
A. V. BERNER AND CO., INC.****Notice of Agreements Filed for
Approval**

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended.

Agreement No. 8901, between the Stone Forwarding Company, Inc. and A. V. Berner and Company, Inc., provides for a nonexclusive cooperative working arrangement. The parties agree to divide forwarding fees for shipments handled on behalf of the other as per negotiation. Ocean freight brokerage shall be divided 50%/50%. This agreement may be terminated by the parties upon written notice to the other. Both parties have received an application number as an independent ocean freight forwarder.

Interested persons may inspect this agreement and obtain copies thereof at the Bureau of Domestic Regulation Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., and may submit, within 20 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, written statements with reference to it, and their position as to approval, disapproval, or modification thereof, together with request for hearing should such hearing be desired.

Dated: June 6, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5655; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 1060]

FEDERAL AND ATLANTIC LAKES LINES**Notice of Filing of Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract**

Notice is hereby given that the Federal and Atlantic Lakes Lines has filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 3 of Public Law 87-346, a proposed Exclusive Patronage (Dual Rate) Contract, modified for the purpose of conforming such contract to the provisions of section 14b of the Shipping Act, 1916. As required by section 3, the Federal Maritime Commission will determine whether the contract should be approved, disapproved, canceled or modified pursuant to the provisions of section 14b.

Interested parties may inspect a copy of the contract at the Bureau of Foreign Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., and at the offices of the District Directors of the Federal Maritime Commission in New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif., and may submit to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C., within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an original and 15 copies of written statements with reference to such contract and their position as to

approval, disapproval, modification, or cancellation, together with a request for hearing, should a hearing be desired.

Dated: June 6, 1962.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5656; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:50 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Project No. 2100]

**DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA****Notice of Application for Amendment
of License**

JUNE 4, 1962.

Public notice is hereby given that application has been filed under the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 791a-825r) by Department of Water Resources of the State of California (correspondence to Alfred R. Golze, Chief Engineer, Department of Water Resources, State of California, P.O. Box 388, Sacramento 2, California) for amendment of the license for water-power Project No. 2100, to be known as "Oroville Division, State Water Facilities" (formerly known as the Feather River Project, Oroville Division), and located on the Feather River and its tributaries near Oroville in Butte County, California, and affecting navigable waters of the United States and lands of the United States, some of which are within the Plumas and Lassen National Forests and certain lands held in trust by the United States for Indians, to revise the proposed project so that it would be described as follows: Oroville Dam and Reservoir: An earth-fill dam across the Feather River about 5.5 miles upstream from Oroville, about 735 feet high above streambed with crest length of 6,800 feet including gated spillway and flood control outlet section on the right abutment; three auxiliary earth-fill dams at low points in the periphery of the reservoir; and a reservoir with storage capacity of 3,484,200 acre-feet and water surface of 15,485 acres at normal surface elevation 900; Oroville Power Plant: An underground powerhouse located in the left abutment of Oroville Dam with installation of three 117,000-kilowatt conventional generating units and three combined pump-turbine, motor-generator units each rated 87,000 kilowatts as generator; two 22-foot penstocks from intake structure to the powerhouse and two 35-foot tailrace tunnels from powerhouse to the Feather River at the toe of the dam; step-up transformers; and an access tunnel from the surface adequate for railroad rolling stock (Future development contemplates doubling the capacity at Oroville with six units in a separate powerhouse); Thermalito Diversion Dam: A concrete gravity overflow type dam across Feather River

about 0.85 mile above the Oroville-Chico highway bridge at Oroville, about 151 feet high above streambed, with crest length of 1,280 feet including 630 feet of radial crest gates, impounding a reservoir with storage capacity of 13,400 acre-feet at normal water surface elevation 225; Thermalito Power Canal: An earth-cut canal extending approximately two miles between Thermalito Diversion Dam and Thermalito Forebay, originally to have capacity of 16,400 cfs and to be enlarged to 32,800 cfs capacity ultimately; Thermalito Forebay Dam: An earth-fill dam located in sec. 10, T. 19 N., R. 3 E., MDB&M, about 70 feet high with crest length of 1,220 feet and forming, with approximately 2½ miles of earth levee, a reservoir with 11,750 acre-feet of storage capacity at normal water surface elevation 225; and Thermalito Power Plant at the right end of the dam with initial installation of one 31,800-kilowatt conventional generating unit and two combined pump-turbine, motor-generator units each rated 30,100 kilowatts as generator with step-up transformers, and with provisions for future construction to enlarge plant capacity; Thermalito Afterbay Dam: An earth-fill dam with maximum height of 30 feet and total length of 41,400 feet located in secs. 18, 19, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34 T. 19 N., R. 3 E., MDB&M, impounding a reservoir with gross storage capacity of 57,500 acre-feet, of which 45,000 acre-feet will be active storage, such reservoir to be supplied by an earth-cut channel from the tailrace of Thermalito Power Plant; Two 230-Kilovolt Switchyards: One located on the left bank of Feather River immediately downstream from Oroville Dam; the other downstream and to the right of Thermalito Forebay Dam; Thermalito-Oroville Transmission Line: A 230-kilovolt line between 230-kilovolt switchyards at Thermalito and Oroville Power Plants; Palermo Outlet Works: A tunnel through left abutment of Oroville Dam, to supply Palermo Irrigation Canal; and Feather River Hatchery: A concrete gravity overflow type Fish Barrier Dam across Feather River about ¼ mile above the Oroville-Chico highway bridge at Oroville, about 30 feet high above streambed and 600 feet long; a fish ladder from the river just below the dam to the hatchery facilities on the right bank; a gathering and spawning building; hatchery building; an artificial spawning channel; and holding tanks, nursery tanks, and rearing ponds. Other License Changes: The application further proposes the deletion of license Articles 33 and 34 and the modification of license Article 29, all of which pertain to the protection of fish resources; and the modification of license Article 38 as it pertains to the clearing of land within Oroville Reservoir.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure of the Commission (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The last date upon which protests or

petitions may be filed is July 9, 1962. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5625; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. G-13183 etc.]

PLACID OIL CO. ET AL.

Notice of Severance, Extension of Time and Postponement of Hearing

JUNE 4, 1962.

Placid Oil Company, Operator, et al., Docket Nos. G-13183, et al.; Beck Oil Company, et al., Docket No. G-17402.

Upon consideration of the request filed by Applicant in the above-designated matter, notice is hereby given that Docket No. G-17402, Beck Oil Company, is hereby severed from the proceedings consolidated by order issued March 7, 1962, as modified by notice issued May 24, 1962, under the lead docket, Placid Oil Company, Operator, et al., Docket Nos. G-13183, et al.

An extension is hereby granted to the participant in the proceeding severed hereby to and including June 18, 1962 within which to serve and file data specified by Paragraph (C) of the Commission's order issued March 7, 1962; and that a hearing in the severed proceeding is scheduled to commence at 10:00 a.m., June 29, 1962, in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5626; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP62-240]

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Notice of Application and Date of Hearing

JUNE 4, 1962.

Take notice that on April 13, 1962, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Applicant), P.O. Box 296, Houston, Texas, filed in Docket No. CP62-240 an application pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon its 8-inch Chester Coke Lateral previously used in rendering natural gas service to Philadelphia Electric Company for use in its coke oven plant in Chester, Pennsylvania, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The application states that the plant served by the subject pipeline is no longer operated for the purpose for which it was receiving gas and that sales are no longer being made by Applicant at this location. Applicant has entered into an agreement with Philadelphia Electric Company to sell the line for \$3,087, which is more than could be obtained through an attempt to salvage the pipe, Applicant states.

The subject facilities were authorized by the Commission in Docket No. G-704.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on July 10, 1962, at 9:30 a.m., e.d.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before June 29, 1962. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5627, Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:46 a.m.]

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 21-546]

KOSHER FOOD PRODUCTS AND KOSHER PRODUCTS INDUSTRY

Notice of Trade Practice Conference

A trade practice conference for the Kosher Food Products and Kosher Products Industry will be held under the auspices of the Federal Trade Commission commencing at 10 a.m., e.s.t., on Thursday, June 28, 1962, in the Assembly Room at 2 Rector Street, New York, New York.

The conference will be held under the general supervision of the Honorable Sigurd Anderson, Federal Trade Commissioner, and will constitute the first step in a proceeding authorized by the Commission to establish trade practice rules for this industry.

Members of this industry are persons, firms, corporations, and organizations including manufacturers, wholesalers, jobbers, distributors, importers, retailers, and others engaged in the sale, offering for sale, or distribution of industry products such as, but not limited to, soups, bakery products, fish, pickles, condiments, soaps, cleansing powders, and polishes. Meats and poultry are excluded. All industry products are identified by an inscription on the product,

or the container thereof, of the word Kosher in any language, or the use of a six-pointed star or other symbol, or the use of English text drawn to suggest or simulate Hebrew text.

The purpose of the conference is to afford all members of this industry an opportunity to consider, and propose for establishment, subject to the Commission's approval, rules designed to eliminate and prevent unfair methods of competition, unfair or deceptive acts or practices, and other trade abuses violative of laws administered by the Commission. Any industry member may submit suggested trade practice rules for consideration at the conference and take part in the consideration and discussion of proposals or suggestions presented by others.

Among the subjects for rules which have been suggested for consideration at the conference are: Exclusive Dealing, Fictitious Pricing, Misrepresentation in General and Inducing Breach of Contract as well as discriminatory and preferential treatment to favored retail outlets by the furnishing of special displays or return privileges not accorded all competing customers.

After due consideration of all matters presented in writing or orally, the Commission will proceed to final action on the proposed rules.

Authorized: March 27, 1962.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5701; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:52 a.m.]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster Area 382]

CONNECTICUT

Declaration of Disaster Area

Whereas, it has been reported that during the month of May 1962, because of the effects of certain disasters, damage resulted to residences and business property located in New Haven County in the State of Connecticut;

Whereas, the Small Business Administration has investigated and has received other reports of investigations of conditions in the area affected;

Whereas, after reading and evaluating reports of such conditions, I find that the conditions in such area constitute a catastrophe within the purview of the Small Business Act.

Now, therefore, as Deputy Administrator of the Small Business Administration, I hereby determine that:

1. Applications for disaster loans under the provisions of section 7(b) (1) of the Small Business Act may be received and considered by the Offices below indicated from persons or firms whose property, situated in the aforesaid County and areas adjacent thereto, suffered damage or destruction resulting from tornado and accompanying conditions occurring on or about May 24, 1962.

OFFICES

Small Business Administration Regional Office,
42 Broadway,
New York 4, N.Y.

Small Business Administration Branch Office,
44 Gillett Street,
Hartford, Conn.

2. A temporary field office will be established at Waterbury, Connecticut, address to be announced locally.

3. Applications for disaster loans under the authority of this Declaration will not be accepted subsequent to November 30, 1962.

Dated: May 25, 1962.

C. R. LANMAN,
Deputy Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5686; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:51 a.m.]

[Declaration of Disaster Area 383]

TEXAS

Declaration of Disaster Area

Whereas, it has been reported that during the month of May 1962, because of the effects of certain disasters, damage resulted to residences and business property located in Haskell County in the State of Texas;

Whereas, the Small Business Administration has investigated and has received other reports of investigations of conditions in the area affected;

Whereas, after reading and evaluating reports of such conditions, I find that the conditions in such area constitute a catastrophe within the purview of the Small Business Act.

Now, therefore, as Administrator of the Small Business Administration, I hereby determine that:

1. Applications for disaster loans under the provisions of section 7(b) (1) of the Small Business Act may be received and considered by the Offices below indicated from persons or firms whose property, situated in the aforesaid County and areas adjacent thereto, suffered damage or destruction resulting from tornado and accompanying conditions occurring on or about May 26, 1962.

OFFICES

Small Business Administration Regional Office,
Fidelity Building,
1000 Main Street,
Dallas 2, Tex.

Small Business Administration Branch Office,
Veterans Administration Building, Room 212,
1616 19th Street,
Lubbock, Tex.

2. Applications for disaster loans under the authority of this Declaration will not be accepted subsequent to November 30, 1962.

Dated: May 29, 1962.

JOHN E. HORNE,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5687; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:51 a.m.]

[Declaration of Disaster Area 384]

KANSAS

Declaration of Disaster Area

Whereas, it has been reported that during the month of May 1962, because of the effects of certain disasters, damage resulted to residences and business property located in Reno and Harvey Counties in the State of Kansas;

Whereas, the Small Business Administration has investigated and has received other reports of investigations of conditions in the areas affected;

Whereas, after reading and evaluating reports of such conditions, I find that the conditions in such areas constitute a catastrophe within the purview of the Small Business Act.

Now, therefore, as Administrator of the Small Business Administration, I hereby determine that:

1. Applications for disaster loans under the provisions of section 7(b) (1) of the Small Business Act may be received and considered by the Offices below indicated from persons or firms whose property, situated in the aforesaid Counties and areas adjacent thereto, suffered damage or destruction resulting from tornado and accompanying conditions occurring on or about May 24, 1962.

OFFICES

Small Business Administration Regional Office,
Home Savings Building, Fifth Floor,
1006 Grand Avenue,
Kansas City 6, Mo.

Small Business Administration Branch Office,
Board of Trade Building, Room 215,
120 South Market Street,
Wichita 2, Kans.

2. Applications for disaster loans under the authority of this Declaration will not be accepted subsequent to November 30, 1962.

Dated: May 29, 1962.

JOHN E. HORNE,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5688; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:52 a.m.]

[Declaration of Disaster Area 385]

OKLAHOMA

Declaration of Disaster Area

Whereas, it has been reported that during the month of May 1962, because of the effects of certain disasters, damage resulted to residences and business property located in Washita County in the State of Oklahoma;

Whereas, the Small Business Administration has investigated and has received other reports of investigations of conditions in the area affected;

Whereas, after reading and evaluating reports of such conditions, I find that the conditions in such area constitute a catastrophe within the purview of the Small Business Act.

Now, therefore, as Administrator of the Small Business Administration, I hereby determine that:

1. Applications for disaster loans under the provisions of section 7(b) (1) of the Small Business Act may be received and considered by the Offices below indicated from persons or firms whose property, situated in the aforesaid County and areas adjacent thereto, suffered damage or destruction resulting from tornado and accompanying conditions occurring on or about May 25, 1962.

OFFICES

Small Business Administration Regional Office,
Fidelity Building,
1000 Main Street,
Dallas 2, Tex.

Small Business Administration Branch Office,
U.S. Post Office Building, Room 807,
3d and Robinson,
Oklahoma City 2, Okla.

2. Applications for disaster loans under the authority of this Declaration will not be accepted subsequent to November 30, 1962.

Dated: May 29, 1962.

JOHN E. HORNE,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5689; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:52 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

JUNE 6, 1962.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 37776: *Lumber to points in WTL territory.* Filed by Western Trunk Line Committee, Agent (No. A-2255), for interested rail carriers. Rates on lumber and lumber articles, as described in the application, in carloads, from Clearmont, Parkman, Ranchester, Riverton and Sheridan, Wyo., to points in western trunk-line territory.

Grounds for relief: Market competition.

Tariffs: Supplement 24 to Chicago and North Western Railway tariff I.C.C. 11349 and supplement 51 to Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad tariff I.C.C. 20452.

FSA No. 37777: *Iron and steel articles to points in Texas.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent (No. B-8223), for interested rail carriers. Rates on iron and steel articles, as described in the application, in carloads, from points in Illinois, Minnesota, Missouri, and Oklahoma, also Minnequa, Colo., and Milwaukee, Wis., to Fondren, Nadeau, San Leon and Seabrook, Tex.

Grounds for relief: Market competition.

Tariff: Supplement 257 to Southwestern Freight Bureau tariff I.C.C. 4308.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5644; Filed, June 8, 1962;
8:48 a.m.]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

JUNE 5, 1962.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 37775: *Substituted service—NYNH&H for Darcy Transportation Company, et al.* Filed by The New York, New Haven and Hartford Railroad Company, for itself and interested carriers. Rates on property loaded in highway trailers and transported on railroad flat cars, between Harlem River, N.Y., on the one hand, and Boston, Mass., and Providence, R.I., on the other, on traffic originating at such points or points beyond as described in the application.

Grounds for relief: Motor-truck competition.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-5583; Filed, June 7, 1962;
8:47 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE CODIFICATION GUIDE—JUNE

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during June.

3 CFR	Page	7 CFR—Continued	Page	14 CFR—Continued	Page
PROCLAMATIONS:		PROPOSED RULES—Continued		608.....	5425, 5426
2416.....	5504	1014.....	5335	609.....	5179
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:		1015.....	5335	PROPOSED RULES:	
7435.....	5504	1016.....	5195	48.....	5402
11023.....	5131	1065-1066.....	5402	60.....	5402
11024.....	5385	1071-1076.....	5402	241.....	5268
11025.....	5467	1090.....	5402	507.....	5439
PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS OTHER		1094.....	5402	600.....	5269, 5341, 5404
THAN PROCLAMATIONS AND EXECU-		1096.....	5402	601.....	5270, 5341, 5404, 5406
TIVE ORDERS:		1098.....	5402	602.....	5404, 5406
Letter, Apr. 23, 1929 (super-		1101-1107.....	5402	608.....	5341
sed by EO 11023).....	5131	1120.....	5402	16 CFR	
Memorandum, June 1, 1962.....	5387	1126-1130.....	5402	13.....	5139,
Reorganization Plan 2, 1962.....	5419	1132.....	5402	5140, 5258, 5393-5397, 5426, 5427,	
5 CFR		1134.....	5402	5497, 5498.	
6.....	5243, 5329, 5389, 5490	1135.....	5402, 5508	29.....	5398
30.....	5423	1137.....	5402, 5508	17 CFR	
6 CFR		1193.....	5432	231.....	5190
421.....	5243	9 CFR		271.....	5190
443.....	5248, 5251	74.....	5491	PROPOSED RULES:	
7 CFR		77.....	5491	240.....	5204
51.....	5253	PROPOSED RULES:		18 CFR	
319.....	5389	16.....	5195	3.....	5331
722.....	5254	17.....	5195	PROPOSED RULES:	
775.....	5329, 5469	18.....	5195	154.....	5201
811.....	5255	10 CFR		19 CFR	
813.....	5255	50.....	5491	8.....	5190
905.....	5488, 5489	12 CFR		12.....	5190
908.....	5187, 5489	271.....	5188	20 CFR	
910.....	5187, 5490	272.....	5188	262.....	5398
912.....	5329	523.....	5391	21 CFR	
915.....	5135	543.....	5391	3.....	5428
916.....	5256	13 CFR		18.....	5429
917.....	5256, 5329, 5330	PROPOSED RULES:		19.....	5429
922.....	5187	107.....	5271, 5439	37.....	5498
980.....	5330	111.....	5219	121.....	5258, 5429, 5430
987.....	5257	14 CFR		141a.....	5499
1133.....	5136	22.....	5331	141b.....	5499
1195.....	5331	42.....	5391	141c.....	5499
PROPOSED RULES:		49.....	5392, 5393	141d.....	5499
905.....	5432	221.....	5494	141e.....	5499
1001.....	5335	507.....	5137, 5393	146.....	5430
1003.....	5195	514.....	5137, 5138	146a.....	5498, 5499
1005.....	5402	600.....	5257	146b.....	5499
1006.....	5335	601.....	5423, 5424, 5495, 5496	146c.....	5430, 5499
1007.....	5335	602.....	5424, 5425, 5496, 5497	146d.....	5499
1011.....	5402				

21 CFR—Continued	Page	32 CFR—Continued	Page	45 CFR	Page
146e.....	5499	825.....	5331	PROPOSED RULES:	
191.....	5431	890.....	5331	301.....	5151
PROPOSED RULES:		33 CFR		46 CFR	
29.....	5198	203.....	5503	146.....	5279
120.....	5438	36 CFR		147.....	5279
121.....	5439, 5510	1.....	5398	530.....	5504
26 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:		PROPOSED RULES:	
PROPOSED RULES:		7.....	5195	401.....	5509
20.....	5268	38 CFR		47 CFR	
25.....	5268	3.....	5141, 5431	2.....	5334
27 CFR		39 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:	
5.....	5259	95.....	5398	2.....	5345-5347
28 CFR		112.....	5265	3.....	5347
0.....	5162	151.....	5265	9.....	5345-5347
29 CFR		41 CFR		15.....	5201
PROPOSED RULES:		PROPOSED RULES:		49 CFR	
530.....	5438	50-202.....	5437	95.....	5141, 5399
32 CFR		42 CFR		187.....	5142
590.....	5259	53.....	5503	PROPOSED RULES:	
591.....	5259	43 CFR		72.....	5143
592.....	5260	PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:		73.....	5143, 5407
593.....	5261	125.....	5334	74.....	5146
595.....	5261	948.....	5334	77.....	5147
596.....	5261	2686.....	5266	78.....	5147
598.....	5261	2687.....	5266	50 CFR	
600.....	5263	2688.....	5266	10.....	5505
602.....	5264	2689.....	5334	33.....	5399-5401
606.....	5265	2690.....	5504	260.....	5506



Telephone

WOrth 3-3261

prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

1. The first part of the report deals with the general situation of the country. It mentions the fact that the country is a developing one and that it has a large population. It also mentions that the country has a long history and a rich culture.

2. The second part of the report deals with the economic situation of the country. It mentions that the country has a large agricultural sector and that it is a major source of food for the population. It also mentions that the country has a growing industrial sector and that it is a major source of employment for the population.

3. The third part of the report deals with the social situation of the country. It mentions that the country has a high literacy rate and that it has a growing middle class. It also mentions that the country has a growing urban population and that it is a major source of labor for the industrial sector.

4. The fourth part of the report deals with the political situation of the country. It mentions that the country has a democratic government and that it is a member of the United Nations. It also mentions that the country has a growing political party and that it is a major force in the political arena.

5. The fifth part of the report deals with the foreign relations of the country. It mentions that the country has a friendly relationship with the United States and that it is a member of the Organization of American States. It also mentions that the country has a growing trade relationship with the United States and that it is a major source of goods for the United States.

RECEIVED

1. The first part of the report deals with the general situation of the country. It mentions the fact that the country is a developing one and that it has a large population. It also mentions that the country has a long history and a rich culture.

2. The second part of the report deals with the economic situation of the country. It mentions that the country has a large agricultural sector and that it is a major source of food for the population. It also mentions that the country has a growing industrial sector and that it is a major source of employment for the population.

3. The third part of the report deals with the social situation of the country. It mentions that the country has a high literacy rate and that it has a growing middle class. It also mentions that the country has a growing urban population and that it is a major source of labor for the industrial sector.

4. The fourth part of the report deals with the political situation of the country. It mentions that the country has a democratic government and that it is a member of the United Nations. It also mentions that the country has a growing political party and that it is a major force in the political arena.

5. The fifth part of the report deals with the foreign relations of the country. It mentions that the country has a friendly relationship with the United States and that it is a member of the Organization of American States. It also mentions that the country has a growing trade relationship with the United States and that it is a major source of goods for the United States.



